COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY

BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

i	ln	th	ne	M	la	tt	e	r	U.	F٠

PETITION OF CRICKET COMMUNICATIONS,)
INC. FOR ARBITRATION OF RATES, TERMS) CASE NO
AND CONDITIONS OF INTERCONNECTION) 2010-0013
WITH BELLSOUTH TELECOMMUNICATIONS,)
INC. D/B/A AT&T KENTUCKY)

ORDER

On May 5, 2010, the parties, Cricket Communications, Inc. ("Cricket") and BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc. d/b/a AT&T Kentucky ("AT&T Kentucky"), filed a joint motion for the Commission to consider two threshold issues in this proceeding and to adopt the parties' proposed briefing and procedural schedule.

In the joint motion, the parties request that the Commission render legal determinations on two threshold issues prior to moving forward with a full procedural schedule. The threshold issues identified by the parties are as follows:

1. Whether the Commission has jurisdiction in this proceeding to adjudicate whether the current term of Cricket's interconnection agreement ("ICA") with AT&T Kentucky shall be extended pursuant to Merger Commitment 7.4¹ and, if so, whether the ICA shall be extended pursuant to Merger Commitment 7.4; and

¹ This refers to the merger commitments issued by the Federal Communications Commission as a condition of approval of the corporate merger of AT&T, Inc. and BellSouth Corporation in 2006.

2. Whether AT&T Kentucky must provide transit traffic service to Cricket for intrastate traffic pursuant to terms and conditions in the ICA arbitrated in this proceeding.

In support of the motion, the parties state that the ICA term-extension issue is appropriately treated as a threshold issue because, if the Commission concludes that the terms of the parties' current ICA shall be extended, all other disputed issues, including the second threshold issue concerning transit traffic, will become moot. However, if the Commission finds that it is without jurisdiction over Merger Commitment 7.4 or that the current ICA will not be extended, then the question of whether terms governing transit traffic service must be included in an ICA developed pursuant to 47 U.S.C. §§ 251 and 252 would be appropriately treated as a threshold issue. The parties submitted a proposed briefing and procedural schedule with the motion that includes dates for the submission of briefs, a date for issuance of the Commission's Order on the threshold issues, and the submission of another joint scheduling proposal.

Having reviewed the pleadings, the Commission finds that the motion should be granted and the proposed schedule should be adopted. The proposed schedule is included in the Appendix to this Order.

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:

1. The joint motion to consider the threshold issues and the proposed briefing schedule is granted.

-2-

2. The parties shall follow the procedural schedule provided in the Appendix to this Order.

By the Commission

ENTERED

MAY 1 8 2010

KENTUCKY PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

ATTEST:

=xecurive Livector

APPENDIX

APPENDIX TO AN ORDER OF THE KENTUCKY PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION IN CASE NO. 2010-00131 DATED MAY 1 8 2010

EXHIBIT A

COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY

BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In	the	Matter	of.
TIL	u_1v_2	matter	OI.

PETITION OF CRICKET COMMUNICATIONS,)	
INC. FOR ARBITRATION OF RATES, TERMS)	
AND CONDITIONS OF INTERCONNECTION)	Case No. 2010-00131
WITH BELLSOUTH TELECOMMUNICATIONS,)	Case No. 2010-00131
INC. D/B/A AT&T KENTUCKY)	

PROPOSED BRIEFING/PROCEDURAL SCHEDULE

Initial Briefs on Threshold Issues May 19, 2010

Reply Briefs June 2, 2010

Initial Decision June 30, 2010

Joint Scheduling Proposal July 9, 2010

(as appropriate in light of

Initial Decision on threshold issues)

Initial Joint Issues Matrix

First Data Requests³

Responses and Objections to Data Requests

Ruling on Objections to Data Requests

Simultaneous Direct Testimony

Second Data Requests

Responses and Objections to Second Data Requests

Ruling on Objections to Data Requests

Simultaneous Rebuttal Testimony

Final Joint Issues Matrix

The Parties have agreed that each Party may propound a total of no more than 40 data requests, including subparts, in the two rounds of data requests. That Parties have also agreed that data requests will be limited to inquiries into factual matters, and shall not be used to elicit positions or legal or policy grounds for positions.

Hearing

Simultaneous Post-Hearing Briefs

Simultaneous Reply Briefs

Commission Decision

30 days after transcript

20 days after initial briefs

45 days after reply briefs

Honorable Douglas F Brent Attorney at Law Stoll Keenon Ogden, PLLC 2000 PNC Plaza 500 W Jefferson Street Louisville, KY 40202-2828

Richard Gibbs Davis, Wright, Tremaine LLP 1919 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW Suite 200 Washington, DC 20006

K.C. Halm Davis, Wright, Tremaine LLP 1919 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW Suite 200 Washington, DC 20006

Honorable Mary K Keyer General Counsel/Kentucky BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc. dba AT&T 601 W. Chestnut Street 4th Floor East Louisville, KY 40203