COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY

BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In the Matter of:

RONALD J. BIDDLE

COMPLAINANT

V.

CASE NO. 2006-00381

LOUISVILLE GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY

DEFENDANT

<u>COMMISSION STAFF'S FIRST DATA REQUEST TO</u> <u>LOUISVILLE GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY</u>

Pursuant to 807 KAR 5:001, Commission Staff requests that Louisville Gas and Electric Company ("LG&E") file the original and 5 copies of the following information within 20 days of the date of this Order, with a copy to each party of record. Each copy of the information requested should be placed in a bound volume with each item tabbed. When a number of sheets are required for an item, each sheet should be appropriately indexed, for example, Item 1(a), Sheet 2 of 6. Include with each response the name of the person who will be responsible for responding to questions relating to the information provided. Careful attention should be given to copied material to ensure is legibility.

1. Refer to page 2, Item 3(a), of LG&E's Answer to the Complaint of Ronald J. Biddle ("Answer") filed on August 11, 2006. LG&E states that it sent Mr. Biddle a bill in the amount of \$931.63 for service at 9305 Woodmont Ridge Drive over a 61-day

period. Explain why the bill covers 61 days when all other bills issued from January 2005 through November 2005 were issued monthly. Also state why LG&E did not bill for the 9,049 kWh recorded in September.

2. Refer to page 2 of LG&E's Answer. Explain why "the bill was pulled to confirm its accuracy." Additionally, explain the process used to confirm its accuracy and provide documentation of this confirmation process.

3. Refer to page 2 of LG&E's Answer. LG&E states that Mr. Biddle's meter was tested on July 3, 2006. Explain why LG&E did not check the meter for accuracy in September 2005 when it pulled Mr. Biddle's bill to check its accuracy.

4. When Mr. Biddle's meter was pulled for testing, was the meter seal broken or did the meter show any signs of tampering?

5. Provide the date that electric meter 805580 was originally installed at 9305 Woodmont Ridge Drive.

6. Explain whether or not 9305 Woodmont Ridge Drive is now or has ever been a natural gas customer of LG&E. Would LG&E be the natural gas provider at this address if gas service were provided?

7. Is 9305 Woodmont Ridge Drive heated electrically?

8. Each of the 11 copies of bills rendered for service at 9305 Woodmont Ridge Drive that LG&E included with its Answer states that the meter reading is an actual reading.

a. Do all of the billings reflect actual readings or was any bill generated by an estimate of usage?

Case No. 2006-00381

-2-

b. Identify the individual(s) who read the meter at 9305 Woodmont Ridge Drive from January 1, 2005 to December 31, 2005.

c. Did LG&E use automated metering to register the amount of electricity used at 9305 Woodmont Ridge Drive? If yes, identify the type of meterreading equipment used and state the date on which LG&E began using that equipment at 9305 Woodmont Ridge Drive.

9. Is 9305 Woodmont Ridge Drive new construction? Was new construction taking place on any adjacent property from August 2005 through October 2005.

10. Was Mr. Biddle or DB Real Estate, LLC responsible for electric service at any property adjacent to 9305 Woodmont Ridge Drive from August 2005 through October 2005?

11. Provide all correspondence that LG&E has received from or sent to the Complainant.

12. Provide all correspondence, internal memoranda, electronic mail messages, and other internal documents in which electric service to Complainant is discussed.

Beth O'Donnell Executive Director Public Service Commission P. O. Box 615 Frankfort, KY 40602

DATED: <u>September 19, 2006</u>

cc: Parties of Record