

COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY
BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In the Matter of:

| | | |
|--------------------------------------|---|------------|
| BELLSOUTH TELECOMMUNICATIONS, INC.'S |) | |
| PETITION REQUESTING THE COMMISSION'S |) | CASE NO. |
| INTERVENTION IN NANPA NXX CODE |) | 2005-00342 |
| ASSIGNMENTS (NPA 502) |) | |

O R D E R

On August 29, 2005, BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc. ("BellSouth") filed a petition requesting this Commission review and overturn a determination of the North American Numbering Plan Administration ("NANPA").¹ The petition was filed pursuant to 47 C.F.R. Section 52.15(g)(4) wherein the Commission is granted the authority to "overturn the NANPA's decision to withhold numbering resources from the carrier based on its determination that the carrier has demonstrated a verifiable need for numbering resources and has exhausted all other available remedies."

BellSouth explains that Jewish Hospital of Louisville, a customer of BellSouth, requested 400 consecutive Direct-Inward-Dialing ("DID") numbers within a thousands-block beginning with 3 in Louisville, Kentucky.² BellSouth does not currently have the numbers within the Louisville Armory Place switch needed to meet the customer's need. Hence, on August 26, 2005, BellSouth electronically submitted to the NANPA an

¹ The NANPA is an independent non-governmental entity selected by the Federal Communications Commission ("FCC") and is responsible for administering and managing the North American Numbering Plan ("NANP"). Neustar, Inc. is currently contracted by the FCC as the NANPA and Pooling Administrator.

² See BellSouth's Petition for Review.

application requesting assignment of a thousands-block beginning with 3 (NXX-3) for the Louisville rate center in order to address the business needs of Jewish Hospital of Louisville.³ The application process with the NANPA requires the submission of information used for a months-to-exhaust (“MTE”) and utilization certification worksheet (“Worksheet”) pertaining to the affected rate center.⁴ Based on the submitted information and resulting calculations, the NANPA concluded that BellSouth did not meet the FCC’s required guideline for rate center utilization⁵ and determined that BellSouth’s request for additional numbering resources should be denied.

The NANPA is not a policy-making entity. In making assignment decisions, the NANPA follows regulatory directives and industry-developed guidelines. The NANPA’s responsibilities are defined in FCC rules and in comprehensive technical requirements drafted by the telecommunications industry and approved by the FCC.⁶

Pursuant to 47 C.F.R. Section 52.15(g)(4), this Commission may overturn the determination of the NANPA if the requesting carrier has demonstrated a verifiable need for numbering resources and that all other available remedies have been exhausted. The Commission finds that BellSouth has demonstrated a verifiable need for additional

³ Specifically, the thousands-block request submitted by BellSouth was for its Louisville Armory Place switch, but current FCC rules require the evaluation of number utilization for the entire rate center before assignment of new numbering resources.

⁴ In accordance with 47 C.F.R. Section 52.15(g)(3), the MTE and utilization level are calculated by the Worksheet based on various inputs supplied by the applying carrier.

⁵ According to the Worksheet, the rate center utilization was 73.762 percent for the Louisville rate center at the time of the filing of the Code request.

⁶ See generally, 47 C.F.R. Section 52.

numbering resources by presenting the request of a specific customer, Jewish Hospital of Louisville, for 400 consecutive DID numbers within a thousands-block beginning with 3 in the 502 NPA. BellSouth advises that it will be unable to provide telecommunications services requested by the customer without additional numbering resources in the Louisville rate center. The Commission further finds that BellSouth has exhausted all available remedies in the Louisville rate center to the extent that no combination of existing numbering resources in the Louisville rate center can be employed to meet the customer's demand for 400 consecutive DID numbers. According to BellSouth, none of its switches serving the Louisville rate center have a large enough block of sequential numbers to meet the customer's need.

This Commission finds that the NANPA determination to deny BellSouth the additional numbering resources described herein should be overturned and the NANPA directed to assign to BellSouth a new thousands-block beginning with 3 in the Louisville Armory Place switch in the Louisville rate center. The Commission notes that the numbering resources considered in this Order are to be assigned for the sole use of serving BellSouth's customer, Jewish Hospital of Louisville, in the Louisville rate center. If the service requested by Jewish Hospital of Louisville is withdrawn, declined, or terminated, the associated numbering resources approved in this Order should be returned to the NANPA and may not be utilized to serve other customers without first meeting the NANPA numbering resource guidelines.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that:

1. BellSouth's Petition regarding the NANPA's denial of its application for assignment of additional numbering resources in the 502 Numbering Plan Area is granted.
2. The decision of the NANPA denying BellSouth's request for assignment of an additional thousands-block in the Louisville rate center is hereby overturned.
3. The NANPA shall assign BellSouth an available thousands-block beginning with 3 (NXX-3) for the Louisville Armory Place switch in the Louisville rate center.
4. The numbering resources considered in this Order are to be assigned for the sole use of serving BellSouth's customer, Jewish Hospital of Louisville, in the Louisville rate center. If the service requested by Jewish Hospital of Louisville is withdrawn, declined, or terminated, the associated numbering resources approved in this Order shall be returned to the NANPA.

Done at Frankfort, Kentucky, this 7th day of October, 2005.

By the Commission

ATTEST:


Executive Director

Case No. 2005-00342