## COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

I	ln	ŧΙ	he	N/	lat	tΔi	· O	f٠
ı	111	u	110	ıv	เสเ	ıeı	()	Ι.

REVIEW OF FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS	)	
COMMISSION S TRIENNIAL REVIEW ORDER	)	CASE NO.
REGARDING UNBUNDLING REQUIREMENTS	)	2003-00379
FOR INDIVIDUAL NETWORK ELEMENTS	)	

## <u>DATA REQUEST OF COMMISSION STAFF TO</u> INCUMBENT LOCAL EXCHANGE CARRIERS

On October 2, 2003, the Commission initiated its review of the unbundling requirements for individual network elements pursuant to the Triennial Review Order of the Federal Communications Commission (FCC). The Commission provided for an initial data request to incumbent local exchange carriers (ILEC).

Accordingly, an original and four copies of the following information should be filed with the Commission by October 31, 2003, with a copy to all parties of record.<sup>1</sup>

1. For each corporate entity, including affiliates, for whom the ILEC is providing any type of collocation service, transport service, loop service, DS1 or greater switching service, DS0 switching service, or interconnection service, provide the following information. In the response, distinguish those companies that are known to own and provide at least a portion of their own switching services from those entities that do not own or provide a portion of their own switching services.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The Commission Staff anticipates that a procedural Order regarding electronic filing requirements will be issued in the near future. Procedural matters, including filing requirements, will be discussed at the October 14, 2003 informal conference.

- a. The identity of the corporate entity, indicating whether the ILEC has any ownership or affiliate interest.
- b. A list of all specific services (bundled or otherwise) for which the entity is billed by wirecenter, indicating whether the service is being provided on either a retail or wholesale basis.
- c. The non-recurring and recurring prices of all bundled or individual services for which the entity is billed, indicating which are being provided on an unbundled basis. The rates in this response should correspond to the listed services provided in (b) above.
- d. If different terms, conditions, or rates exist from published tariffs (i.e., by special contract), identify each term, condition, or rate differential for each service for each entity.
  - e. The total annual revenue generated (billed) for each entity.
- 2. For each wirecenter containing a DS0 type switch and serving the Mass Market, provide the following information:
  - a. The total number of Mass Market customer accounts.
- b. The total annual revenue generated from these accounts, including a breakout of Plain Old Telephone Service revenue, long distance revenue, wireless (phone and pager) revenue, Internet revenue, and all other revenue.
- c. The number of customers and the revenue per customer account lost in 2002 and 2003 to a competitor. Identify the competitor.

- Identify each wirecenter for which Mass Market customers are being served by switches other than DS0 switches. Explain how these customers are being served.
- 4. Provide a copy of the ILEC's most current Strategic Plan/Outlook for 2003 2006 pertaining to the Mass Market as defined by the FCC, including discussions of current and future market conditions, company reactions to potential and actual competitive entry, company market preservation and recapture strategies, and revenue projections.
- 5. Explain whether or not a batch-cut migration process needs to be implemented by ILECs in the state. Identify any and all factors that warrant this conclusion.
- 6. Assume that a batch-cut migration process will be implemented, and respond to the following:
- a. What is the appropriate volume of loops to be included in the batch and why?
- b. Identify and explain the specific processes that should be employed when a batch cut is performed.
- c. What type of completion interval metric should be used to monitor performance and why?
- d. Identify and explain why any existing loop performance metrics should be expanded to account for a batch-cut process.
  - e. What are the appropriate TELRIC rates for the batch-cut activities?

William H. Bowker

Deputy Executive Director Public Service Commission

Post Office Box 615

Frankfort, Kentucky 40602

DATED October 10, 2003

cc: All Parties