

COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY  
BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In the Matter of:

KENTUCKY UTILITIES COMPANY'S	)	
ANNUAL EARNINGS SHARING	)	CASE NO. 2002-00072
MECHANISM FILING	)	
FOR CALENDAR YEAR 2001	)	

COMMISSION STAFF'S FIRST DATA REQUEST  
TO KENTUCKY UTILITIES COMPANY

Pursuant to Administrative Regulation 807 KAR 5:001, Commission Staff requests that Kentucky Utilities Company ( KU ) file the original and 5 copies of the following information with the Commission no later than May 17, 2002, with a copy to all parties of record. Each copy of the information requested should be placed in a bound volume with each item tabbed. When a number of sheets are required for an item, each sheet should be appropriately indexed, for example, Item 1(a), Sheet 2 of 6. Include with each response the name of the witness who will be responsible for responding to questions relating to the information provided. Careful attention should be given to copied material to ensure its legibility. When the requested information has been previously provided in this proceeding in the requested format, reference may be made to the specific location of that information in responding to this request.

1. Refer to the March 1, 2002 filing, Form 3f.
  - a. Provide a schedule showing the determination of the Total Company Balances at 12/31/2002 (1994 Plan) amount for the accounts listed below. Include all supporting calculations and assumptions.

(1) Line 3, Spare Parts.

(2) Line 4, Limestone.

b. Match the account balances listed in part (a) above with the amounts reported to the Commission by KU in its monthly Environmental Surcharge reports. Explain any differences between the account balances shown on the monthly Environmental Surcharge reports and the balances used to determine the Total Company Balances at 12/31/2001 shown on Form 3f.

c. Provide a schedule showing the determination of the Total Company 13-Month Average (1994 Plan) amount for the accounts listed below. Include all supporting calculations and assumptions.

(1) Line 3, Spare Parts.

(2) Line 4, Limestone.

(3) Line 5, Emission Allowances.

(4) Line 7, Accumulated Depreciation on Pollution Control Utility

Plant.

(5) Line 8, Accumulated Deferred Taxes.

d. Match the account balances listed in part (c) above with the amounts reported to the Commission by KU in its monthly Environmental Surcharge reports. Explain any differences between the account balances shown on the monthly Environmental Surcharge reports and the balances used to determine the 13-month average balance shown on Form 3f.

e. Refer to line 21 of Form 3f. Provide the calculations used to determine the amount shown for Emission Allowance Expense. Match the information

used to determine the reported Emission Allowance Expense with the amounts reported to the Commission by KU in its monthly Environmental Surcharge reports. Explain any differences between the Emission Allowance Expense shown on the monthly Environmental Surcharge reports and the amounts used to determine the expense shown on line 21.

2. Refer to the March 1, 2002 filing, Form 3a, column 7. Explain why KU believes the Settlement Agreement should be an adjustment to Common Equity only.

3. Refer to the March 1, 2002 filing, Form 3g. Explain why KU reflected the impacts of the Value Delivery Team workforce reduction ( VDT reduction ) as a lump sum adjustment to its 13-month average capitalization rather than recalculating the capitalization for each month impacted by the Global Settlement.

4. Refer to the March 1, 2002 filing, Form 3. For purposes of this question, assume the following:

- The depreciation rates approved as part of the Global Settlement in Case No. 2001-00140<sup>1</sup> were in effect for all of calendar year 2001.
- Costs associated with the VDT reduction were not expensed in March 2001.
- The costs associated with the VDT reduction were deferred and the deferred asset was created on March 31, 2001.
- Amortization of the VDT reduction deferred asset began in April 2001, following the amortization approach approved in the Global Settlement in Case No. 2001-00169.<sup>2</sup>

---

<sup>1</sup> Case No. 2001-00140, Application of Kentucky Utilities Company for an Order Approving Revised Depreciation Rates.

<sup>2</sup> Case No. 2001-00169, Joint Application of Louisville Gas and Electric Company and Kentucky Utilities Company for an Order Approving Proposed Deferred Debits and Declaring the Amortization of the Deferred Debits to be Included in Earnings Sharing Mechanism Calculations.

- The adjustments to the VDT reduction deferred asset to reflect employees decisions to rescind their acceptance of voluntary termination or retirement are to be made in December 2001.
- The VDT surcredit began in April 2001 in accordance with the provisions of the Global Settlement in Case No. 2001-00169.

Using the above assumptions:

a. Recalculate the 13-Month Average Capitalization Schedule shown on pages 2 and 3 of 3 and the Adjusted Jurisdictional Capitalization determination shown on page 1 of 3.

b. To the extent the calculations required in part (a) above impact other forms provided in the March 1, 2002 filing, provide revised forms reflecting this impact on KU s determination of its Earnings Sharing Mechanism Factor.

5. Refer to Appendix B of the March 1, 2002 filing. In the December 3, 2001 Order in Case No. 2001-00169 approving the Global Settlement, the Commission stated:

In conjunction with our approval of the Settlement Agreement, the Commission finds it necessary to establish a monitoring mechanism to track employment and contractor levels. LG&E and KU should file an annual schedule that details the numbers of additional employees hired and the number of additional contractors utilized. The schedule should compare the reporting period's levels with the levels in effect as of December 31, 2001, and detail the additional expenses incurred for each group.<sup>3</sup> (emphasis added)

In the response provided in Appendix B, KU states that it does not track the specific number of contractors and this data is not available.


- a. Explain in detail why KU does not track the contractor information.

---

<sup>3</sup> Case No. 2001-00169, December 3, 2001 Order at 11.

b. Considering the Commission's December 3, 2001 Order, explain why KU did not establish a system to track the required contractor information.

c. Provide the number of contractors utilized by KU as of December 31, 2001. Separately indicate the number of former employees retained on contract to provide KU with services such as consulting and other personal services.



William H. Bowker  
Deputy Executive Director  
Public Service Commission  
211 Sower Boulevard  
P. O. Box 615  
Frankfort, Kentucky 40602

DATED: May 3, 2002

cc: Parties of Record