COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY

BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In the Matter of:

THE TARIFF FILING OF APACHE GAS TRANSMISSION COMPANY, INC. AND AN INVESTIGATION OF THE STATUS OF THE FORT KNOX LINE

CASE NO. 2000-483

COMMISSION STAFF'S THIRD INFORMATION REQUEST TO BURKESVILLE GAS COMPANY, INC.

Pursuant to Administrative Regulation 807 KAR 5:001, Commission Staff requests that Burkesville Gas Company, Inc. ("Burkesville") file the original and 5 copies of the following information with the Commission within 10 days of the date of this request, with a copy to all parties of record. Include with each response the name of the witness who will be responsible for responding to questions relating to the information provided. Careful attention should be given to copied material to ensure its legibility. When the requested information has been previously provided in this proceeding in the requested format, reference may be made to the specific location of that information in responding to this request. When applicable, the requested information should be provided for total company operations and jurisdictional operations, separately.

Refer to Commission's Staff second data request dated March 26, 2001.

 Item 2 requested the 1999 activity for the Fort Knox line escrow account, including the reasons for any withdrawals. Burkesville filed the information for 2000. Provide the information for 1999.

2. Item 3 requested the costs incurred by Burkesville in 1999 to maintain the Fort Knox line. Burkesville filed the information for 2000. Provide the information for 1999. In addition, state, yes or no, whether these costs were included in expenses in Burkesville's 1999 annual report.

3. Item 4(a) requested the type of service, labor hours and labor costs, and all other expenses incurred by Burkesville or its employees to perform maintenance service for the **Apache line in 1999**. Burkesville's response directed Commission Staff to see Attachment 3. The information was not filed. Provide the information as requested. In addition, state, yes or no, whether these costs were included in expenses in Burkesville's 1999 annual report.

4. Burkesville has requested the Commission "re-examine this [1990-290] order and do away with the establishment of a .05 per mcf in an escrow account...." If the Commission releases Burkesville from the escrow requirement, should the Commission also discontinue Burkesville's recovery of the .05 per mcf through its gas cost adjustment? If no, why not?

Thomas M. Dorman Executive Director Public Service Commission 211 Sower Boulevard P. O. Box 615 Frankfort, Kentucky 40602

DATED <u>5/17/01</u>

cc: All Parties