

COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY  
BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In the Matter of:

THE JOINT APPLICATION OF THE LOUISVILLE	)	
GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY AND KENTUCKY	)	
UTILITIES COMPANY FOR THE REVIEW,	)	CASE NO.
MODIFICATION AND CONTINUATION OF DSM	)	2000-459
PROGRAMS AND COST RECOVERY MECHANISMS	)	

SUPPLEMENTAL DATA REQUEST OF COMMISSION STAFF TO  
LOUISVILLE GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY  
AND KENTUCKY UTILITIES COMPANY

Louisville Gas and Electric Company (“LG&E”) and Kentucky Utilities Company (“KU”) are requested, pursuant to 807 KAR 5:001, to file with the Commission the original and seven copies of the following information, with a copy to all parties of record. Each copy of the data requested should be placed in a bound volume with each item tabbed. When a number of sheets are required for an item, each sheet should be appropriately indexed, for example, Item 1(a), Sheet 2 of 6. Include with each response the name of the person who will be responsible for responding to questions relating to the information provided. Careful attention should be given to copied material to ensure that it is legible. Where information requested herein has been provided, in the format requested herein, reference may be made to the specific location of said information in responding to this information request.

1. Refer to the response to the Commission Staff’s First Data Request, dated January 4, 2001, Items 1 and 2.


a. For both the Residential Conservation and Commercial Conservation Programs, explain in detail how the interest cost “buy-down” will operate.

b. The application indicates that the interest cost “buy-down” is part of the “Rebates/Incentives” line item of the annual budgets for the Residential Conservation and Commercial Conservation Programs. For each program, indicate the amount included in the annual budget for the program. This should be shown for each year reflected in the annual budget that was included in the application. Also state for each year how many program participants are reflected by the “buy-down” budget amount.

2. Refer to the response to the Commission Staff’s First Data Request, dated January 4, 2001, Item 4.

a. The Residential Low Income Program has a much greater rate impact than the other programs included in that response. Given its rate impact and recognizing that this is one of the programs referenced in Item 3 of the same response that does not benefit non-participating ratepayers, provide a detailed explanation for why this program should be included in LG&E’s Demand-Side Management plan.

b. Explain in detail how LG&E determined the number of participants that would be expected to participate in the Residential Low Income Program.



---

Thomas M. Dorman  
Executive Director  
Public Service Commission  
211 Sower Boulevard  
P. O. Box 615  
Frankfort, Kentucky 40602

DATED February 8, 2001  
cc: All Parties