COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In the Matter of:

APPLICATION OF WIRELESSCO, L.P. FOR)
ISSUANCE OF A CERTIFICATE OF PUBLIC)
CONVENIENCE AND NECESSITY TO)
CONSTRUCT A PERSONAL COMMUNICATION) CASE NO. 96-240
SERVICES FACILITY IN THE LOUISVILLE)
MAJOR TRADING AREA (LONGRUN PCS)
FACILITY))

ORDER

On September 24, 1996, WirelessCo, L.P. ("WirelessCo") amended its application to relocate the site of the proposed personal communication services facility from 775 Colt Run Road in Simpsonville, Kentucky, to 513 Brooks Lane, Simpsonville, Shelby County, Kentucky. In response to the September 24 amendment, the Commission has received the attached letters from Judith and Ken Kortas, Joy P. Holmes, Violet M. and Charles E. Stalker, Scott E. and Cherry Stalker, Philip M. Greenberg, Gerald and Judith Arnold, Ralph Dillihay, Shirley and Frank Vogel, Edna Higdon, Robert G. Vogel, and Charles W. and Marjorie C. Cox (hereinafter referred to collectively as "Petitioners").

The Commission was unaware of the proposed relocation until the amendment was filed on September 24. Consequently, it issued its September 17, 1996 Order directing WirelessCo to respond to Judith and Kenneth Kortas's concerns regarding the Colt Run Road Site. The September 17 Order is inapposite and should be vacated.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that:

- WirelessCo shall respond to the Petitioners' concerns by certified letter, within
 days from the date of this Order.
- 2. WirelessCo shall file a copy of the certified letter and dated receipt, within 7 days of the date of the receipt.
- 3. The Order of September 17, 1996 directing WirelessCo to respond to Judith and Kenneth Kortas's concerns regarding the originally proposed Colt Run Road site is hereby vacated.

Done at Frankfort, Kentucky, this 4th day of October, 1996.

PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

For the Commission

ATTEST:

Executive Director

06/500 9-18-9RECEIVE

SEP 2 3 1996

Executive Director, Public Service Commission Case # 96-240

PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

I am writing in response
to your letter dated 9-17-96.
The letter saip the communication
service facility is to be built
at 775 Celt Run Rd. They husband
if I have no opposition to that
site. Our previous letter had
site. Our previous letter had
soid, it was to be built at
513 Brooks he and this is what

also, if it is decided to be the tielt at 513. Brooks In, Ite are requesting a full intervention hearing with the commission, but only for the Brooks In, oite

Sincerely,

Judgea Ann Hortan

Jeneth Color

466 Brooks Land

51 mpsonville, ky 40067

CASE 96-240 Dolson Joy P Holmes 516 Brooks Lane Simpsonville, KY 40067 Please and my vame to those opposing the intent to place at SPRINT lover on Courtney Rankin property at 513 Blrooks Lane. These owner do not live on this property; one resides on Dunder Rt in Tourvelle And The other a good distance away en Sheldy Co. explains their indeference to near ky neighbors are Those affected most their trever to som country lands cape. 20 Sept. 96 Jay P. Holmes Received Sept 2396 (Mrs. E. M.)

RECEIVED Case # 96-240 I have already witten
a letter opposing commission
witent to place a SPRINT tower on property at 513 Brooks Lave! I now understand I must also request a sublie hearing har entervention. I now do so !! Enclased is an article appearing in the Sept 23 nd G.J. - hairing the concerns Should ours he my less? They would you want to det augthrifte make the landscape of sheldy Co. Joy & Holmer Jo. Brackedw. 23 Sept. 96 Simpronuèlee Ky. 20067

STAMOOR FARMS 10053 SHELBYVILLE ROAD SIMPSONVILLE, KENTUCKY 40067

RECEIVED

SEP 2 4 1996

PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

September 22, 1996

Executive Director's Office Public Service Commission of Kentucky Post Office Box 615 Frankfort, Ky 40602

Ref: Case No 96-240

To Whom It May Concern:

Attached is a copy of a letter received by myself and my husband. Our given names and the address is correct. The surname is incorrect. The correct last name is Stalker.

However, the company the letter is from approached us to allow them to erect a tower on our property. We declined because the area, in our opinion, is not a place where a tower should be erected. 513 Brooks lane joins our farm. We were told a location on Colt Run was being considered. This is nearby.

If this letter was intended for Charles E. and Violet Stalker we object to the construction of a tower and request a hearing from the commission.

Thank you for your attention in this matter.

Sincerely,

Violet M. Stalker Charle & Stalker

Johet m. Stalker

Charles E. Stalker

RECEIVED

SEP 2 4 1996

PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

September 22, 1996

Executive Director's Office Public Service Commission of Kentucky P.O. Box 615 Frankfort, KY 40602

Dear Executive Director,

We are opposed to the construction of a Sprint® tower on the property at 513 Brooks Lane. We request a public hearing to be held for intervention of this proposed site. The case for this issue is #96-240. (Per a phone conversation last week, your records showed this as #775, the Colt Run site.)

Thank you for your assistance in this matter.

Sincerely,

Scott E. & Cherry Stalker
Scott E. & Cherry Stalker

399 Brooks Lane

Simpsonville, KY 40067

PHILIP M. GREENBERG NEW ESTATE • P.O. BOX 363 • SIMPSONVILLE, KENTUCKY 40067 (502) 348-4144

REPLY	\$760.	ı	The state of the s	2602 dirais in among		the way	7 N	ea My M	Ring)	W > + ()					SIGNED
MESSAGE	TEVE DIE MILI.		Po Box 615	Frankt Ky. 40602	76 12016		Pul: CASE 96-240	Towns on Brooks and No	Ñ		I am the aliens land a	deit the the arrival	3	Privat.	34

RECEIVED
SEP 2 5 1996
PUBLIC SERVICE
COMMISSION

September 23, 1996

Executive Director's Office
Public Service Commission of Kentucky
P.O. Box 615
Frankfort, KY 40602

RE: #96-240

Dear Sir:

Please reconsider your decision to place a cellular tower on Brooks Lane. We feel that this type of construction has no place in the country where people have moved to get away from the stresses of city living.

Place it in Simpsonville near the industrial area or at least on some other public property. If it must be near the Jefferson County line, then the new rest area on 1-64 could be used.

if this tower is to serve Jefferson County residents, then the tower should be placed in that jurisdiction.

Sincerely,

Serve J. Grade Justich Ceruslaf Gerald and Judith Arnold

1911 Westmoorland Way

Louisville, KY 40242

(We own acreage in Shelby County and are planning to build our home there next year.)

RECEIVED

SEP 2 0 1996

PUBLIC SERVICE

Ralph E. and Suzanne Dillihay 601 Brookstone Way Louisville, KY 40223

September 19, 1996

Executive Director
Public Service Commission of Kentucky
P.O. Box 615
Frankfort, KY 40602

Re: Case No. 96-240 Wireless Co./Sprint

Dear Sir or Madam:

We purchased 5 acres on Brooks Lane about a year ago and plan to begin construction of our new home there within the next few months. The proposed tower would be about 1/4 of a mile from our home. We do not believe this is the best location for the proposed tower.

During the past year Brooks Lane has changed dramatically from what was previously a few farm fields to a very residential area. The farm on the East side of Brooks Lane was subdivided into 5 acre lots and sold over the past two years. Every lot has been sold and most either have had homes built on them or construction is imminent. Every 250 feet along the East side of Brooks Lane, is the boundary line for another home site. The tower simply does not fit into this type of neighborhood.

I saw a copy of the letter sent to Mr. Scott Stalker from Gearon & Co., Inc. Mr. Stalker received the letter, as the letter states, ..."because you own property within a 500' radius of the proposed monopole." To think that the only properties affected by such a monstrosity are those within 500' is ludicrous. Since this is now a residential neighborhood, every home along Brooks Lane will feel the negative effect of such a structure.

I realize that many people will benefit from additional such towers. I also believe they should be as inconspicuous as possible. They are not attractive! I believe both objectives can be met by further examination at a public hearing.

The easy course of action would be to simply approve the present plans. Seldom, however, is the best action chosen by selecting the easy route. Let's do what's right for all parties involved. Let's have a public hearing and consider other alternatives.

Sincerely

PECEIVED

Datson

SEP 2 3 1996

PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

SEPTEMBER 20, 1996 RE: CASE #96-240

EXECUTIVE DIRECTORS OFFICE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION:

THE ABOVE MATTER HAS JUST BEEN BROUGHT TO MY HUSBAND AND MYSELF ATTENTION AS WE HAVE NOT RECEIVED ANYTHING FROM ANYONE CONCERNING THIS PROPOSED TOWER WHICH WOULD BE ACROSS FROM OUR PROPERTY.

WE ARE MOST CERTAILY OPPOSED TO THIS TOWER. WE DO NOT WANT IT AND WILL JOIN FORCES TO TRY AND STOP IT. WE HAVE WORKED AND SAVED ALL OUR WORKING LIVES FOR OUR NEW HOME AND CHOSE TO BUILD IT ON ACREAGE TO LIVE THE REMAINDING OF OUR LIVES IN PEACE AND NOW THIS. PUT THE TOWER ELSEWHERE NOT IN OUR COMMUNITY.

IF THE OWNER OR OWNERS OF THE PROPOSED TRACT WANT IT PUT IT IN THEIR FRONT YARDS NOT OURS.

WE DO NOT WANT THIS 165 FOOT TOWER IN OUR NEIGHBORHOOD.

SINCERELY

SHIRLEY VOCEL

FRANK VOGEL

EDNA HIGDON

374 BROOKS LANE

SIMPSONVILLE, KY. 40067

Dots or RECEIVED

. To whom it may concern: SEP 2 0 1996 This letter is in reference to CASE # 96-240. WE ARE STRONGLY opposed to the choice of location for sprints New tower to be installed on Brooks LANE We feel it would be AN inappropriate use of the land. This Site is in the middle of A fast growing residential ARRA. I'm sure AN ALternative site can be used that would meet Sprint's needs And pot be as disruptive to so many families in our community. Thank you for your time And consideration of this matter. Yours Truly, my D. Nogel No Address on 328 Brooks LANE Enveloper Simpsonville, Ky 40067

RECEIVED

SEP 23 1996

PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

September 20, 1996

Dotson

Executive Director's Office Public Service Commission of Kentucky Post Office Box 615 Frankfort, KY 40602

Re: Case No. 96-240

Dear Executive Director:

I am requesting a public hearing intervention in case No. 96-240 concerning the proposed tower on Brooks Lane at Simpsonville, KY. This is a residential area with very nice homes - several homes in the area are valued at 1/2 million to 1 million dollars. We know that Sprint needs a tower, but there are many locations in the area that are commercial locations and this is where the tower should be placed. We will be glad to work with you in finding a new location, we have several locations in mind.

This is to advise you that we and our neighbors will pursue this case to the fullest extent of the law if necessary. We will not tolerate this tower being placed on Brooks Lane.

Yours truly,

Charles W. & Marjorie C. Cqx

500 Broks Rome

Simpsonville, ky 40067