#### COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY

#### BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In the Matter of:

ADJUSTMENT OF RATES OF THE GOSHEN UTILITIES, INC., SEWER DIVISION

CASE NO. 93-482

#### ORDER

On February 7, 1994, Goshen Utilities, Inc. ("Goshen") completed the filing of its application for Commission approval of proposed sewer rates. Commission Staff, having performed a limited financial review of Goshen's operations, has prepared the attached Staff Report containing Staff's findings and recommendations regarding the proposed rates. All parties should review the report carefully and provide any written comments or requests for a hearing or informal conference no later than 15 days from the date of this Order.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that all parties shall have no more than 15 days from the date of this Order to provide written comments regarding the attached Staff Report or requests for a hearing or informal conference. If no request for a hearing or informal conference is received, this case will be submitted to the Commission for a decision.

Done at Frankfort, Kentucky, this 17th day of June, 1994.

ATTEST:

Executive Director

PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

For the Commission

#### COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY

#### BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In the Matter of:

ADJUSTMENT OF RATES OF THE GOSHEN UTILITIES, INC., SEWER DIVISION

) CASE NO. 93-482

STAFF REPORT

Prepared By: Jack Scott Lawless Public Utility Financial Analyst Water and Sewer Revenue Requirements Branch Financial Analysis Division

Prepared By: Brent Kirtley Public Utility Rate Analyst Communications, Water and Sewer Rate Design Branch Rates and Research Division

#### STAFF REPORT

ON

#### GOSHEN UTILITIES, INC.

#### CASE NO. 93-482

# A. Preface

On February 7, 1994, Goshen Utilities, Inc. ("Goshen") submitted its application to the Public Service Commission ("Commission") seeking approval to increase its tariffed sewer rates by 67 percent. Goshen's application purports that this increase will result in an increase in annual operating revenues of \$133,389. The application was filed reflecting Goshen's operating results for the year ended September 30, 1993.

In order to evaluate the requested increase, the Commission Staff ("Staff") chose to perform a limited financial review of Goshen's operations for the test period. Jack Scott Lawless of the Commission's Financial Analysis Division began his review on March 1, 1994 at Goshen's office in Louisville, Kentucky. Brent Kirtley of the Commission's Division of Rates and Research performed a review of Goshen's reported revenues at the offices of the Commission.

The findings of the field review are contained in this report. Mr. Kirtley is responsible for the sections related to operating revenues and rate design. The remaining sections of this report were prepared by Mr. Lawless. Based upon the findings of this report, Staff recommends that Goshen be allowed to increase its normalized revenues by \$48,671 or 25 percent.

PSC CN 93-482 Goshen Utilities Page 2 of 8

#### Scope

The scope of the review was limited to obtaining information to determine whether test-period operating revenues and expenses were representative of normal operations. Insignificant or immaterial discrepancies were not pursued and are not addressed.

During the course of the review, Goshen was advised that all proposed adjustments to test year expenses must be supported by some form of documentation and that all such adjustments must be known and measurable as well as reasonable.

# B. Determination of Adjusted Test Period Operations Operating Revenue

Goshen reported test-period revenue from rates of \$196,174 in its application. In reviewing the application, Staff adjusted revenue from rates by deducting \$23. Goshen had \$5,257 in other operating revenue that was collected from late charges. Therefore, for the purpose of this report, total test-period normalized operating revenue shall be considered to be \$201,408.

## Operating Expenses

Goshen reported operating expenses of \$269,723 for the test year which it proposed to increase by \$19,000. Staff has calculated pro forma adjustments that decrease operating expenses by \$50,805. Staff's adjustments are shown on Appendix B attached to this report. Goshen's and Staff's pro forma adjustments to test period operations are discussed in the following sections of this report.

PSC CN 93-482 Goshen Utilities Page 3 of 8

#### Salaries and Wages

Goshen reported test year salaries and wages of \$77,125. Staff has increased this amount by \$5,183 to reflect Goshen's employees and their pay rates as of the date of Staff's field review.

#### Rent

Goshen's test year operating expenses did not include an amount for building rental while Goshen's water division reported building rent of \$3,736 for the twelve months ended September 30, 1993. Goshen shares the office building equally with the water utility and should therefore be charged for half of the rent. Staff has therefore increased Goshen's operating expenses by \$1,868.

#### Owner/Manager Fee

During the test year Goshen paid Mr. Al Schneider, part owner of Goshen Utilities, \$10,000 in managing fees. After reviewing the work being performed, Staff is of the opinion that the services provided by Mr. Schneider in this capacity are similar to those performed by the owners of similar utilities. Therefore, Staff recommends that this expense be decreased by \$7,600 so that Goshen may recover the maximum owner-manager fee currently allowable by the Commission of \$2,400.

PSC CN 93-482 Goshen Utilities Page 4 of 8

#### Consulting Fee

Staff has decreased test year operating expenses by \$1,664 to eliminate consulting fees paid to Mr. James F. Stone under a contract which has since expired and was not renewed.

#### Rate Case Expense

Goshen reported test year rate case expense of \$7,592. Of this amount, \$1,638 was associated with this case with the remainder being the amortization of prior rate case expenses which have been fully amortized. Goshen proposed to increase this amount by \$6,000 (estimated rate case expense of \$18,000 amortized over a 3 year period). Goshen's estimate was based on the last rate case proceeding which included three informal conferences and one formal hearing. Staff does not anticipate that those proceedings will be necessary in this case and has decreased the rate case expense accordingly. Staff has calculated pro forma rate case expense of \$5,638, consisting of actual rate case expenses of \$1,638 and estimated rate case expense of \$4,000 as provided by Goshen's engineer, and proposes to amortize this amount over three years. Staff has therefore reduced Goshen's test-year expenses by \$5,7131 to reflect this amortization.

1	Rate case expenses Amortize over 3 years	\$ 5,638 3
	Annual recovery Less: Test year	1,879 (7,592)
	Adjustment	\$ (5,713)

PSC CN 93-482 Goshen Utilities Page 5 of 8

#### Maintenance

Goshen increased test year maintenance expenses by \$13,000 to recover the annual cost associated with the installation of dechlorination and aeration systems. After consulting with the Commission's Division of Engineering, Staff determined that these items should have been capitalized and depreciated over their estimated useful lives. Therefore, Staff recommends that Goshen's adjustment to maintenance expenses be denied and that test-year depreciation be adjusted as discussed later in this report.

#### Depreciation

Goshen reported test year depreciation expense of \$56,647. It is the Commission's practice for rate-making purposes to disallow depreciation expense on property funded by Contributions in Aid of Construction ("CIAC") for private water utilities operating under its jurisdiction.

Staff has determined that 85.77 percent<sup>2</sup> of Goshen's test-year depreciation expense is on property funded by CIAC and should be disallowed. Therefore, this expense has been decreased by \$48,587. This is the same methodology that was used in Case No. 89-081, Goshen's previous rate case.<sup>3</sup>

Sewer 1992 Contributions in
Aid of Construction \$1,240,314
Divided by: Sewer 1992 Utility
Plant in Service 1,446,053
Unallowable depreciation 85.77%

Adjustment of Rates of the Goshen Utilities, Inc., Sewer Division, Order dated February 22, 1990.

PSC CN 93-482 Goshen Utilities Page 6 of 8

Staff has increased test year depreciation by \$5,708 to allow for the recovery of the following items previously discussed in this report:

Plant Item	Cost	Useful* Life	Annual Expense
Remove existing aerators and bridges Bridges Blower and motor Header Diffuser and service man start-up Ultraviolet disinfection system	\$ 3,500 9,217 9,077 6,016 5,925 38,620	5 25 5 20 10 20	\$ 700 369 1,815 301 593 1,931
Total Adjustment			\$5,708

#### C. Revenue Requirements

The approach frequently used by this Commission to determine revenue requirements for small, privately owned utilities is the operating ratio. This approach is used primarily when there is no basis for rate of return determination or the cost of the utility plant has fully or largely been recovered through the receipt of contributions. Staff recommends the use of this approach in determining Goshen's revenue requirements in this case.

In this proceeding, an operating ratio of 88 percent and an allowance for interest expense and the appropriate state and

Per PSC Division of Engineering

PSC CN 93-482 Goshen Utilities Page 7 of 8

federal income taxes results in a revenue requirement of \$260,4885. Staff recommends that Goshen be allowed to increase its annual operating revenue by \$48,6716.

#### Rate Design

In its application, Goshen filed a schedule of proposed rates that did not include any changes in rate design. Staff agrees that the current rate structure should not be altered, and any increase granted in the case has been added to the existing rate design. The rates recommended by Staff will generate the required operating revenue from rates of \$244,822. Therefore, Staff recommends the rates in Appendix A be approved for sewer service.

Recommended operating expense before income taxes \$ 218,918 Divide by: Operating ratio 888 Required revenue before tax 248,770 Plus: Income Taxes 7,649 Interest Expense 4,069 Required revenue \$ 260,488 6 Revenue requirement \$ 260,488 Less: Other Operating Income (5,257)Interest Income (10,409) Revenue required from rates 244,822 Less: Normalized revenues (196,151) Required increase in revenues \$ 48,671

PSC CM 93-482 Goshen Utilities Page 8 of 8

### E. Signatures

Prepared By: Jack Scott Lawless, CPA
Public Utility Financial
Analyst
Water and Sewer Revenue
Requirements Branch

Prepared By: Brent Kirtley
Public Utility Rate Avalyst
Communications, Water and
Sewer Rate Design Branch
Rates and Research Division

Financial Analysis Division

### APPENDIX A

# TO STAFF REPORT CASE NO. 93-482

The Staff recommends the following rates be prescribed for customers of Goshen Utilities, Inc.

# Schedule of Rates

First	2,000	gallons	\$9.25	Minimum Bill		
Next	13,000	gallons	2.00	per	1,000	gallons
Next	15,000	gallons	1.70	per	1,000	gallons
Next	20,000	gallons	1.30	per	1,000	gallons
Over	50,000	gallons	.95	per	1,000	gallons

# APPENDIX E. TO STALL REPORT CASE (SHOLD)

# Figure 1. The first of the firs

⊅ C + CΩN () 45 € − CAT − DaΩ	legt		En o Londo Proposit	
Open dang Revenues Resenue 1 on Sales Pondted Discounts	195,174 5,257	( , : ) )	196,151 5,257	
tetal Operatino Revenues	201,431	(2.25)	201,400	
- Openating Expenses - Freetest Flant Expenses - Openation			entre en la competition de la	
Labor and Related Experies From that will France Chemically Rep. (1944)	MOLENCE The Attraction for Attraction for Attraction	9,100	THE LANGE TO THE LANGE TO THE LANGE TO THE LANGE TO LANGE TO THE	
tro storest Etast Espenses - Harmtenamo» Harmt> Collection Sys. Maint. of Pumping Sys. Drant. of Treatment Flant	ू2ल इ.स्ट्राइट अ.स.स्ट		1. 4. 14. 15. 15. 15. 15. 15. 15. 15. 15. 15. 15	
luglamet hadourt Expense a difert	1 22 T		1	
College of the state of some transport of the state of the some transport of the some tr	4.9{0 0.50 0.50 0.50 0.50 0.50 0.50 0.50 0.		) - 1   1	
Province of the Control of the Contr				
terior especie, interest temperature Tarrest temperature	·, : : : :	• • <u>• •</u>		
Taction (4) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1		and the recognition of solutions and the con-		