

COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY

BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In the Matter of:

THE INVESTIGATION AND REVIEW OF)	ADMINISTRATIVE
CUSTOMER-OWNED, COIN-OPERATED)	CASE NO. 337
TELEPHONE REGULATION)	

O R D E R

On December 23, 1991, AT&T Communications of the South Central States, Inc. ("AT&T") filed a petition for clarification of the Commission's October 7, 1991 and November 8, 1991 Orders in this proceeding. Specifically, AT&T seeks an express determination that it is not a customer-owned, coin-operated telephone ("COCOT") provider. In support of its petition, AT&T states that the Commission language in the Orders of this proceeding indicates that it has not considered interexchange carriers to be COCOT providers. However, the Commission's October 7, 1991 Order listed AT&T as a COCOT vendor in its Appendix A to the Order. AT&T, therefore, seeks to have the Commission clarify the apparent misconception by stating that AT&T is not a COCOT provider. On January 7, 1992, MCI Telecommunications Corporation ("MCI") also filed a petition for clarification in support of AT&T's petition. MCI has the same position as AT&T and specifically asserts that the Commission's October 7, 1991 Order did not denote interexchange carriers as COCOT providers.

On December 30, 1991, AmeriCall Dial-0 Services, Inc. ("Dial-0") filed a response to AT&T's petition. Dial-0 contends that AT&T's petition seeking a wide exemption from the Commission's Orders governing pay phone providers is inappropriate and should not be granted unless there is a record of evidence developed. Dial-0 also asserts that the Commission's Orders in this proceeding are applicable to any entity which meets the statutory definition of a utility and orders public access lines from a COCOT tariff. As such, AT&T would be subject to the Commission's Orders. There have been no other responses or replies received by the Commission.


The conditions of service for the provision of pay phones should be applicable to all pay phone or COCOT providers, including interexchange carriers.

This Commission being otherwise sufficiently advised,


IT IS ORDERED that the motions of AT&T and MCI are hereby denied and all interexchange carriers shall comply with the Commission's Orders in this proceeding.

Done at Frankfort, Kentucky, this 22nd day of January, 1992.

PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION


Chairman


Vice Chairman


Commissioner

ATTEST:


Executive Director