## COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY

## BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In the Matter of:

| APPLICATION OF CABLE & WIRELESS    | )        |        |
|------------------------------------|----------|--------|
| COMMUNICATIONS, INC., FOR A        | Ì        |        |
| CERTIFICATE OF CONVENIENCE AND     | CASE NO. | 90-150 |
| NECESSITY TO PROVIDE INTEREXCHANGE | j        |        |
| TELECOMMUNICATIONS SERVICES IN     | )        |        |
| KENTUCKY                           | j        |        |

## ORDER

On April 30, 1990, Cable & Wireless Communications, Inc. ("CWC") filed its application with the Commission seeking a Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity to provide intrastate interLATA telecommunications services including operator-assisted services in the Commonwealth of Kentucky.

The Commission ordered CWC to file additional information by Order dated July 9, 1990. CWC filed its responses on August 17, 1990 and August 24, 1990. On September 10, 1990, CWC revised two of its proposed tariff sheets.

On July 2, 1990, South Central Bell Telephone Company filed a motion for full intervention in this case which subsequently was granted.

On September 8, 1989, the Commission established Administrative Case No.  $330^{1}$  in order to address the restrictions and guidelines for the provision of operator-assisted services by all

Administrative Case No. 330, Policy and Procedures in the Provision of Operator-Assisted Telecommunications Services.

non-local exchange carriers. The non-local exchange carriers were given 30 days from the date of the Order to provide evidence why they should not have to comply with the restrictions and conditions of service contained in that Order and request a public hearing. By Order dated January 15, 1990, the Commission modified some of those requirements. The case is still pending the Commission's final decision.

Based on its August 17, 1990 response, CWC appears fully aware of Administrative Case No. 330 and has stated its ability and intent to comply with the Orders issued in that case.

CWC has the financial, technical, and managerial capabilities to provide service. CWC should be granted authority to provide intrastate interLATA telecommunications services including intrastate interLATA operator-assisted services, subject to all guidelines, requirements, restrictions, and conditions of service addressed in Administrative Case No. 330.

The Commission, having considered the evidence of record and being otherwise sufficiently advised, HEREBY ORDERS that:

- 1. CWC be and it hereby is granted authority to provide intrastate interLATA telecommunications services including intrastate interLATA operator-assisted services, subject to all restrictions, conditions of service, and guidelines described in the September 8, 1989 and January 15, 1990 Orders in Administrative Case No. 330. Those requirements are:
- a. Operator-assisted services shall be subject to rate regulation and rates shall not exceed AT&T Communications of the South Central States, Inc.'s ("AT&T's") maximum approved rates.

"Maximum approved rates" is defined as the rates approved by this Commission in AT&T's most recent rate proceeding for measured toll service applicable to operator-assisted calls as well as the additional charges for operator assistance. CWC is not permitted include any other surcharge or to bill for uncompleted calls. Time-of-day discounts shall also be applicable. CWC is also required to rate calls using the same basis that AT&T uses to rate calls, i.e., distance calculations based on points-of-call origination and termination, definitions of chargeable times, billing unit increments, rounding of fractional units, and minimum In Case No. 9889, 2 the Commission allowed AT&T to reduce certain rates up to a maximum of 10 percent without filing the full cost support normally required in a rate proceeding. CWC is not required to match AT&T's rate reduction resulting from this rate flexibility. However, when there is any change in AT&T's maximum approved rates, CWC shall file tariffs necessary to comply with the requirements herein within 30 days from the effective date of AT&T's rate change.

b. CWC shall be subject to regulation as delineated in the May 25, 1984 and October 26, 1984 Orders in Administrative Case No. 273, 3 as well as any subsequent modifications to non-dominant carrier regulations.

Case No. 9889, Adjustment of Rates of AT&T Communications of the South Central States, Inc.

Administrative Case No. 273, An Inquiry Into Inter- and IntraLATA Competition in Toll and Related Services Markets in Kentucky.

- c. Access to the operator services of competing carriers shall not be blocked or intercepted; however, this requirement does not pertain to situations where the customers who have control of premises equipment are also the users and billpayers of the services.
- d. Access to the local exchange companies' operators shall not be blocked or otherwise intercepted by traffic aggregators. Specifically, all "0 minus" calls shall be directed to the local exchange companies' operators. In equal access areas, "0 plus" intraLATA calls shall not be intercepted or blocked. In non-equal access areas, CWC is prohibited from blocking or intercepting "0 minus" calls; however, it is permissible to intercept "0 plus" calls.
- e. Blocking and interception prohibitions shall be included in CWC's tariffs and contracts by stating that violators will be subject to immediate termination of service after 20 days notice to the owners of non-complying customer premises equipment.
- f. CWC shall provide tent cards and stickers to be placed near or on telephone equipment provided by its traffic aggregators. CWC shall include provisions in tariffs and contracts that subject violators to termination of service.

A "0 minus" or "0-" call occurs when an end-user dials zero without any following digits.

A "0 plus" or "0+" call occurs when an end-user dials zero and then dials the digits of the called telephone number.

- g. CWC's operators shall be required to identify CWC, making reference to "Cable & Wireless Communications, Inc.," at least once during every call before any charges are incurred.
- h. CWC's operators shall provide an indication of its rates to any caller upon request.
- i. CWC shall not accept calling cards for billing purposes if it is unable to validate the card.
- 2. This authority to provide service is strictly limited to those services described in this Order, CWC's application and CWC's August 17, 1990 response.
- 3. CWC shall fully comply with the Commission's prohibition against offering intraLATA services.
- 4. CWC shall comply with any further Orders in Administrative Case No. 330.
- 5. CWC's 800 Service, Travel Service, and Travel WATS<sup>6</sup> shall only be provided under the following conditions:
- a. CWC shall measure and report interstate and intrastate jurisdictional usage and interLATA and intraLATA usage.

  CWC shall file the reports with the Commission on a quarterly basis.
- b. CWC shall inform its prospective customers that the use of these services to complete intraLATA calls is not authorized by the Commission.

Wide Area Telecommunications Service.

- c. CWC shall be prepared to compensate local exchange companies for unauthorized call completion.
- 6. Within 30 days from the date of this Order, CWC shall file its tariff sheets in accordance with 807 KAR 5:011 to conform to the restrictions and conditions of service contained herein and consistent with CWC's response to the Commission's July 9, 1990 Order as modified by CWC's August 24, 1990 and September 10, 1990 responses.

Done at Frankfort, Kentucky, this 2nd day of October, 1990.

PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

Chairman

Vice Chairman

COMMISSIONEY

ATTEST:

MM Machantum
Executive Director