COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY

BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In the Matter of:

TARIFF FILING OF US SPRINT

COMMUNICATIONS COMPANY TO REVISE) CASE NO. 90-103

ITS CURRENT TARIFF)

ORDER

On March 30, 1990, US Sprint Communications Company Limited Partnership ("US Sprint") filed certain tariff revisions, which the Commission suspended on April 30, 1990. On May 17, 1990, US Sprint filed a motion for interim approval of VPN network services and permanent approval of all other tariff provisions. On June 5, 1990 South Central Bell Telephone Company ("South Central Bell") filed a motion for limited intervention, which was granted on June 15, 1990.

US Sprint contends that continued suspension of VPN network services will place it at a competitive disadvantage vis-a-vis other interexchange carriers, as other interexchange carriers have approved tariffs for similar services. The Commission agrees and finds that US Sprint's motion should be granted.

VPN network services are generically similar to SDN network services offered by AT&T Communications of the South Central States, Inc. 1 and VNET network services offered by MCI

Case No. 9519, AT&T Communications' Tariff Filing for Software Defined Network Service.

Telecommunications Corporation. Each of these service offerings can be used to complete intraLATA calls, which are reserved to local exchange carriers. Therefore, South Central Bell contends that approval of VPN network services should be subject to the usage reporting and other requirements imposed in Case Nos. 9519 and 89-011, and subject to any compensation for unauthorized intraLATA traffic the Commission might require in Administrative Case No. 323. The Commission agrees with South Central Bell.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that:

- VPN network services are approved on an interim basis, effective the date of this order and pending a final resolution of this case.
- 2. Non-VPN related tariff changes are approved on a permanent basis, effective the date of this Order.
- 3. US Sprint shall measure and report interstate and intrastate jurisdictional usage and interLATA and intraLATA usage associated with VPN network services, and file usage reports with the Commission on a quarterly basis.
- 4. US Sprint shall inform prospective VPN network services customers that their use of the service to complete intraLATA calls is not authorized by this Commission.

Case No. 89-011, MCI Telecommunications Corporations' Tariff Filing to Establish VNET Service.

Administrative Case No. 323, An Inquiry Into IntraLATA Toll Competition, An Appropriate Compensation Scheme for Completion of IntraLATA Calls by Interexchange Carriers, and WATS Jurisdictionality.

5. Within 30 days from the date of this Order, US Sprint shall file revised tariff pages with the Commission stating the rates and charges and terms and conditions of service approved herein.

Done at Frankfort, Kentucky, this 9th day of July, 1990.

PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

halaman

Vice Chairman

Commissioner

ATTEST:

Executive Director