

COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY  
BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In the Matter of:

PROPOSED RESTRUCTURING AND REPRICING )  
OF SOUTH CENTRAL BELL TELEPHONE COMPANY'S ) CASE NO.  
PRIVATE LINE SERVICES TARIFF AND ACCESS ) 10477  
SERVICES TARIFF )

O R D E R

Several motions in this proceeding are pending Commission consideration as discussed herein.

On March 2, 1989, Telcor, Inc. d/b/a TMC of Louisville and Telemarketing Communications of Evansville, Inc. ("TMC") filed a motion for full intervention in this proceeding. The Commission having considered the motion and being sufficiently advised, is of the opinion and finds that TMC should be granted intervention.

On March 14, 1989, AT&T Communications of the South Central States, Inc. ("AT&T") filed a motion to compel South Central Bell Telephone Company ("SCB") to respond to data requests. The motion is based on responses AT&T received from SCB to its data requests of February 23, 1989. On March 28, 1989, SCB filed its response to AT&T's motion. On April 3, 1989, AT&T replied to SCB's response to its motion to compel. The items in question from AT&T's data request are Item Nos. 1-4 and 7.

In Item No. 1 AT&T requested a corrected copy of SCB's 1987 Embedded Direct Analysis ("EDA"). Also requested were workpapers, documents and resource materials used in the development of the

EDA. In response SCB objected to the request for reviewing workpapers, documents and resource materials claiming such information is not relevant to this case. The Commission finds that SCB should provide its workpapers for the 1987 EDA categories which are relevant to this proceeding, that is, for Special Access, Private Line, and Carrier Common Line categories.

Item No. 2 is a request for cost support data justifying rates proposed for Special Access and Private Line services. SCB asserted in its response that the information would be provided upon the execution of a protective agreement. The Commission finds that AT&T and SCB should execute a protective agreement covering this information and then SCB should provide this cost support data to AT&T.

Item No. 3 is a request for booked intrastate Special Access and intraLATA Private Line revenues for 1986, 1987, 1988, and forecasted 1989. SCB contends that the information is not relevant and that it is proprietary information. The Commission finds that the booked revenues of SCB are available in annual and monthly reports filed with the Commission and available for public inspection. Thus, AT&T can obtain the information. Further, the Commission finds that SCB should provide to AT&T the price-out for Special Access and Private Line which has previously been filed in this proceeding. SCB should provide 1989 forecasted revenue to AT&T to the extent such information is prepared and available.

In Item No. 4, AT&T described the Special Access revenues and Private Line revenue amounts shown in the EDA and the revenues for Private Line and Special Access shown in Exhibit 3 of the

application. AT&T requested an explanation for the differing amounts found in the two documents. SCB responded that questions concerning the EDA are not relevant. The Commission finds that SCB should provide an explanation of the variance between the amounts shown in the EDA and the amounts shown in Exhibit 3.

In Item No. 7 AT&T requested SCB to provide Carrier Common Line Charge Switched Access terminating and originating volumes for 1987, 1988, and forecasted volumes for 1989 and 1990. SCB replied that the information has been made available to the Commission and that SCB objected to providing forecasted volumes because of their confidential and proprietary nature. The Commission finds that SCB should provide this information to AT&T, to the extent it is available, and subject to the execution of a protective agreement.

On March 14, 1989, AT&T filed a motion for an extension of time to file its testimony, requesting an extension of time to file testimony of one week from the date SCB provides its responses to AT&T's data requests. By Order dated March 17, 1989, the Commission granted this motion to the extent that AT&T's testimony should not be due until the Commission addressed AT&T's motion to compel SCB to respond to data requests.

On March 24, 1989, AT&T filed a motion for an extension of time to file supplemental data requests, asking for an extension of one week from the date the Commission requires AT&T to file its testimony. The Commission, having considered AT&T's motion, finds that AT&T should not be granted an extension of time to file supplemental data requests, but instead will be given ample


opportunity to address any remaining concerns at the public hearing to be held concerning this tariff.


IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that:

1. TMC is hereby granted intervention.
2. SCB shall file its responses to AT&T's data requests, as described above, by no later than April 25, 1989.
3. AT&T shall file its testimony by no later than May 5, 1989.
4. TMC shall file data requests, if any, by no later than April 20, 1989, and SCB shall file its responses, if any, by no later than April 27, 1989.
5. TMC shall file its testimony, if any, by no later than May 5, 1989.
6. The decisions contained in this Order necessitate that the hearing currently set for April 25, 1989 be cancelled and the Commission hereby reschedules it for May 17, 1989, beginning at 9:00 a.m. Eastern Daylight Time, in the Commission's offices in Frankfort, Kentucky.

Done at Frankfort, Kentucky, this 17th day of April, 1989.

PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

  
Chairman

  
Vice Chairman

ATTEST:

\_\_\_\_\_  
Executive Director

  
Commissioner