COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY

BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In the Matter of:

THE APPLICATION OF THE GARRISON—

QUINCY-KY-0-HEIGHTS WATER DISTRICT

OF LEWIS COUNTY, KENTUCKY, FOR

APPROVAL OF CONSTRUCTION, FINANCING

AND INCREASED WATER RATES

)

ORDER

IT IS ORDERED that:

- 1. The Staff Report for Garrison-Quincy-Ky-O-Heights Water District ("Garrison") attached hereto as Appendix A shall be included as a part of the record in this proceeding. In the event a public hearing is held, Staff preparing the Staff Report will be available for cross-examination.
- 2. Garrison shall have until the close of business December 5, 1986, to file written comments concerning the contents of Appendix A. In the event Garrison desires a public hearing, it shall file a Motion requesting such hearing, with a copy to all parties to record.

Done at Frankfort, Kentucky, this 20th day of November, 1986.

PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

For the Commission

ATTEST:

Executive Director

COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

STAPP REPORT

ON

GARRISON-QUINCY-KY-0-HEIGHTS WATER DISTRICT

CASE NO. 9658

Prepared By: Jeff Shaw Public Utilities Financial Analyst, Chief Water and Sewer Revenue Requirements Branch Rates and Tariffs Division

Prepared By: Carryn Lee Public Utilities Rate Analyst, Chief Communication, Water and Sewer Rate Design Branch Rates and Tariffs Division

APPENDIX A

APPENDIX TO AN ORDER OF THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION IN CASE NO. 9658

DATED 11/20/86

COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY

BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

STAFF REPORT

ON

GARRISON-QUINCY-KY-0-HEIGHTS WATER DISTRICT

Prepared By: Jeff Shaw Public Utilities Financial Analyst, Chief Sewer and Water Revenue Requirement Branch Rates and Tariffs Division

Prepared By: Carryn Lee
Public Utilities Rate
Analyst, Chief
Communication, Water and
Sewer Rate Design Branch
Rates and Tariffs Division

STAFF REPORT

ON

GARRISON-QUINCY-KY-0-HEIGHTS WATER DISTRICT CASE NO. 9658

PREFACE

On July 30, 1986, Garrison-Quincy-Ky-O-Heights Water District ("Garrison") filed its application in Case No. 9658 seeking approval of construction, financing and increased rates for water service. The proposed rates were designed to generate additional revenues of \$46,754 on an annual basis, which represents an increase of approximately 91 percent above the revenues generated by Garrison's existing rates.

In order to shorten and simplify the processing of this case the Commission chose to have its staff perform a limited financial audit of Garrison's operations and prepare an analysis Garrison's billings for the purpose of evaluating the requested increase in rates. The Commission's objective was to reduce the need for written data requests and, hopefully, reduce the time and application. Jeff Shaw expense related to this of the Commission's staff performed the audit and Carryn Lee, also of the Commission's staff, performed the billing analysis.

SCOPE

The scope of the staff's work was limited to obtaining information to determine whether the operating expenses reported in the test year were representative of normal operating conditions, to evaluate the pro forma adjustments proposed in Garrison's application and to analyze Garrison's customer billings

for the purpose of calculating an appropriate schedule of rates. Insignificant or immaterial discrepancies were not pursued and are not addressed herein. The findings and recommendations included in this report do not pertain to Garrison's proposed construction or its proposed plan of financing.

PINDINGS

Test Year

In its application Garrison proposed as its test year calendar year 1984 during which total operating expenses were reported to be \$54,435. A review of Garrison's operations for calendar years 1983 and 1985 showed that during those years the \$44,658 and \$43,415 expenses were district's operating In view of the significantly greater level of respectively. expense incurred during the proposed test year the staff attempted to determine whether calendar year 1984 was representative of normal operating conditions for Garrison and whether the more current period, calendar year 1985, would better reflect Garrison's current and ongoing operations.

Through a review of Garrison's financial records and discussions with Mr. James Vergne, Treasurer and Commissioner for the water district, the staff has determined that calendar year 1984 is not representative of Garrison's normal operating conditions and should not be used as the test year for rate-making purposes. Garrison's previous management, during the years 1981, 1982, and part of 1983 neglected to maintain and repair the system to such an extent that in 1984 the new management had to make significant expenditures for maintenance, repairs and outside

labor to adequately operate the system. These "catch-up" expenditures resulted in an abnormal level of operating expenses during calendar year 1984 which cause that 12-month period to be less representative of current conditions than the more recent period, calendar year 1985. The staff, therefore, recommends that calendar year 1985 be used as the test year in this case in lieu of calendar year 1984.

Operating Revenues

For the test year Garrison reported operating revenues of \$53,507. Based on the billing analysis attached as Appendix A to this report, the staff accepts this amount as Garrison's test period operating revenues subject to the adjustment addressed below.

Garrison collected \$59 in sales tax during the test year and reported it as operating revenue. A tax collected by Garrison is not revenue of the district and should not be reported as such for accounting or rate-making purposes. Therefore, the staff recommends making an adjustment of \$59 to remove sales tax from operating revenues.

Operation and Maintenance Expenses

For the 1985 test year Garrison reported \$43,415 in total operation and maintenance expenses. The staff's examination revealed some minor discrepancies in Garrison's accounting for expenses and several areas in which adjustments should be made. The following recommendations outline these items.

For the test year Garrison reported \$59 as expense for sales taxes. Taxes imposed on Garrison's customers are not an expense

to the district. Garrison merely acts as a collection agent for the taxing authority. To record such a tax as an expense of the district is improper both for accounting and rate-making purposes. Therefore, the staff recommends an adjustment of \$59 to remove this tax from Garrison's operating expenses.

During the 1985 test year Garrison's pumping expense for electricity was \$5,777. Since the test year Garrison has received two increases in rates from its electric supplier which will increase pumping expense significantly. The staff, therefore, recommends an adjustment to increase pumping expense by \$2,657 to reflect the rates currently charged by Garrison's electric supplier.

Garrison's billing is done by the Buffalo Trace Area Development District at a current rate of \$.64 per bill. Based on the 440 customers served by Garrison at the end of the test year the normalized annual expense is \$3,380 compared to the 1985 test year expense of \$3,250. To reflect this increase in billing costs the staff recommends an adjustment of \$130 be made.

The staff reviewed Garrison's insurance expense for the 1985 test year and for the first nine months of 1986. For the test period Garrison's insurance expense was \$1,436. The increased premiums Garrison has received in 1986 result in an annual expense of \$2,008 which is an increase of \$572 over the test year expense. The staff recommends this increase be reflected with an adjustment in the amount of \$572.

During the 1985 test year Garrison's labor-related expenses for its treatment plant operator were \$14,154 including salary and

overheads. The operator resigned in August 1986 and a new treatment plant operator began working in September 1986. The staff has calculated an annual expense of \$15,569 for salary and overheads based on the \$275 per week salary the new operator will receive. To reflect this increase the staff recommends an adjustment of \$1,415 be made to the test year operating expenses.

In the application Garrison calculated annual depreciation of \$16,458 on non-contributed property after reflecting the increase in plant in service resulting from the proposed construction The staff has reviewed this calculation and recommends project. this level depreciation included of expense be in the determination of Garrison's revenue requirements. This inclusion requires an adjustment of \$9,536 to the reported test year depreciation expense of \$6,922.

During the test year Garrison received interest income of \$1,468. Since the test year Garrison's balance of funds available for investment has declined to \$10,000 and its current interest rate on certificates of deposit is 5.5 percent. Given these circumstances, the staff recommends an adjustment, for rate-making purposes, to decrease Garrison's interest income by \$913 to \$550.

ACCOUNTING ISSUES

The staff observed one significant instance of improper classification of expenses as reported in Garrison's 1985 Annual Report. This item has been incorporated into the summary section of this report.

The salary of the treatment plant operator was charged entirely to Customer Accounts Expense and allocated between meter

reading labor and accounting and collecting labor. While the operator does read meters, he also operates the treatment plant and performs various maintenance services. Accordingly, a portion of his salary should be charged to these functions. For purposes of this report the staff has allocated this salary equally between Water Treatment Expense and Customer Accounts Expense.

SUMMARY

Based on the staff's recommendations contained in this report Garrison's adjusted operating statement would appear as follows:

	Test Year Recommended Actual Adjustments		Test Year Adjusted	
Operating Revenues	\$53,507	\$ <59>	\$53,448	
Operating Expenses				
Pumping Expense	\$ 5,908	\$ 2,657	\$ 8,565	
Treatment Expense	11,603	650	12,253	
Transmission and	·		·	
Distribution Expenses	2,401	-0-	2,401	
Customer Accounts	6,500	650	7,150	
Administrative and	-,	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	,,,,,,	
General Expenses	8,747	643	9,390	
Depreciation Expense	6,922	9,536	16,458	
Taxes	1,334	115	1,449	
Total	\$43,415	\$ 14,251	\$57,666	
Operating Income	10,092	<14,310>	<4,218>	
Other Income	1,468	<u><918</u> >	550	
Income Available for Debt Service	\$11,560	\$<15,228>	\$<3,668>	

Based on the approval of the proposed construction Garrison's average annual debt service will increase to \$32,200. Based on the adjusted test period operations, Garrison's Debt Service Coverage ("DSC") is -.11X. The staff is of the opinion that this coverage is inadequate and fails to meet the requirements of KRS

278.030. The staff is further of the opinion that a DSC of 1.2x is necessary to allow Garrison to meet its operating expenses, service its debt and provide for reasonable equity growth. Therefore, the staff recommends that Garrison be allowed to increase its annual revenues by \$42,308, calculated as follows:

Operating Expenses	\$57,666
Average Annual Debt Service	32,200
20 Percent DSC	6,440
Total Revenue Requirement	\$96,306

LESS:

Normalize	d Operating	Revenues	\$53,448
Interest	Income		<u>550</u>
Required	Increase		\$42,308

The rates required to generate this increase in revenue are set out in Appendix B to this report.

Prepared By: Jeff Shaw
Public Utilities Financial
Analyst, Chief
Water and Sewer Revenue
Requirements Branch
Rates and Tariffs Division

Prepared By: Carryn Lee Public Utilities Rate

Analyst, Chief

Communication, Water and Sewer Rate Design Branch Rates and Tariffs Division

APPENDIX A

GARRISON-QUINCY-KY-0-HEIGHTS WATER DISTRICT

CASE 1
NO.
9658
TEST
YEAR
1985

USAGE TABLE - PRESENT

First 2,000 gal. 1,610 1,585,800 1,5 Next 3,000 gal. 2,057 7,122,000 4,1 Next 5,000 gal. 1,263 8,770,900 2,5 Next 10,000 gal. 319 4,151,600 6 Over 20,000 gal. 72 3,082,600 1 Total 5,321 24,712,900 9,0	Increments Bills 1,000 2	First 2,000 gal. 1,610 1,585,800 1,585,800 Next 3,000 gal. 2,057 7,122,000 4,114,000 Next 5,000 gal. 1,263 8,770,900 2,526,000 Next 10,000 gal. 319 4,151,600 638,000 Next 10,000 gal. 41 981,000 82,000 Over 30,000 gal. 31 2,101,600 62,000 Total 5,321 24,712,900 9,007,800 USAGE TABL	Increments Bills 1,000's 2,000
1,585,800 4,114,000 2,526,000 638,000 144,000 9,007,800 7,970,000	First Next 2,000 3,000	100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100	Next Next 5,000
2,455,900 1,595,000 360,000 4,410,900	Next	2,455,900 1,595,000 961,600 205,000 410,000 155,000 310,000 4,410,900 1,681,600	10,000
961,600 720,000 1,681,600	Next 10,000	161,000 310,000 471,000	10,000
1,642,600	Over 20,000	1,171,600 1,171,600	over 30,000

APPENDIX A

GARRISON-QUINCY-KY-O-HEIGHTS WATER DISTRICT

CASE
Š.
9658
TEST
YEAK
1861

	First 2,000 gal. Next 3,000 gal. Next 5,000 gal. Next 10,000 gal. Over 20,000 gal.		REVENUE TABLE - PROPOSED			5,000	First 2,000 gal. Next 3,000 gal.		REVENUE TABLE - PRESENT
	5,321 7,970.0 4,410.9 1,681.6 1,642.6	Bills/Gallons		Uncollectibles Total	471.6 1,171.6	4,410.9 1,681.6	5,321 7,970.0	Bills/Gallons	
Total	\$10.00 4.00 3.00 2.00 1.50	Proposed Rates		tibles	• . 80 55	. 90	\$ 6.50 1.65	Present Rates	
\$104,149.80	\$ 53,210.00 31,880.00 13,232.70 3,363.20 2,463.90	Revenue		\$54,683 < 1,176> \$53,507	376.80 644.38	1,513.44	\$34,586.50 13,150.50	Revenue	

APPENDIX B

The following monthly rates have been designed to produce \$96,306 annually.

First	2,000	gallons	\$10.00	Mini	imum B	111
		gallons	3.40	per	1,000	gallons
Next	5,000	gallons	2.70	per	1,000	gallons
Next	10,000	gallons	2.00	per	1,000	gallons
Over	20,000	gallons	1.50	per	1,000	gallons