

COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY
BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

* * * * *

In the Matter of:

NOTICE OF ADJUSTMENT OF RATES OF)
KENTUCKY-AMERICAN WATER COMPANY) CASE NO. 9482

O R D E R

IT IS ORDERED that Kentucky-American Water Company ("Kentucky-American") shall file an original and 12 copies of the following information with the Commission by March 21, 1986, with a copy to all parties of record. Each copy of the data requested should be placed in a bound volume with each item tabbed. When a number of sheets is required for an item, each sheet should be appropriately indexed, for example, Item 1(a), Sheet 2 of 6. Include with each response the name of the witness who will be responsible for responding to questions relating to the information provided. Careful attention should be given to copied material to insure that it is legible. Where information requested herein has been provided along with the original application, in the format requested herein, reference may be made to the specific location of said information in responding to this information request. When applicable, the information requested herein should be provided for total company operations

and jurisdictional operations, separately. If neither the requested information nor a motion for an extension of time is filed by the stated date, the case may be dismissed.

1. What is the projected completion date of the City of Versailles construction program referred to in Mr. Edens' response to data request #2, item #15?

2. When does Kentucky-American expect to start standby service to Versailles?

3. In response to data request #2, item #15, Mr. Edens stated that contracts are in effect for both Lexington-South Elkhorn and Spears Water Districts. He also states that both districts have approached the Company about increasing the amounts they are currently purchasing but no modifications have been made to the existing contracts. Were demand projections for these two districts based on the number of customers served under the current contract? If not, what were they based on?

4. Describe all the revisions that have been made to the Kentucky-American Water Demand Model since the previous rate case.

5. How are the estimates of per capita use developed for each category of user in the Kentucky-American Water Demand Model?

6. What is the basis for projecting increases in per capita use for each category of user?

7. How were the per customer use estimates developed that were used to project individual customer water demand for outside counties?

8. Why is the historical water demand for Scott County in 1980 listed as .38 MGD in this case and .25 MGD in the previous case?

9. Why is the historical water demand for Commercial use in 1980 listed as 7.9 MGD in this case and 8.9 MGD in the previous case?

10. Why have the projections for commercial use decreased from those presented in the previous case?

Done at Frankfort, Kentucky, this 14th day of March, 1986.

PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

Richard D. Hemminger
Chairman

Vice Chairman

Commissioner

ATTEST:

Secretary