

COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY  
BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

\* \* \* \* \*

In the Matter of

THE COMPLAINT OF MRS. ALTON TUCKER, )  
SHEPHERDSVILLE, KENTUCKY, AGAINST ) CASE NO. 8554  
ALLIED TELEPHONE COMPANY )

O R D E R

On June 25, 1981, the Commission received a letter from Mrs. Alton Tucker, Shepherdsville, Kentucky, (Appendix A) wherein she raises certain questions with regard to the southernmost boundary of the Zoneton exchange of Allied Telephone Company in Bullitt County, Kentucky.

The Commission, having considered the letter and being advised HEREBY ORDERS That this matter be and it hereby is set for hearing on July 14, 1982, at 1:00 p.m., Eastern Daylight Time, in the Commission's offices at Frankfort, Kentucky.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED That Allied Telephone Company shall appear at the hearing and present testimony relative to this matter.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED That Allied Telephone Company shall file with the Commission on or before July 7, 1982, a map drawn to suitable scale of the Zoneton exchange as it presently exists.

Done at Frankfort, Kentucky, this 25th day of June, 1982.

PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

  
FOR the Commission

ATTEST:

\_\_\_\_\_  
Secretary

Appendix A  
RECEIVED

JUN 25 1981

CHAIRMAN,  
P S C

June 24, 1981

R.#.6 Box 145-  
Shpherdsville, Ky. 40165-

8554

Chairman Martin Volz,  
Public Service Commission of Kentucky  
730 Sentinel Lane P.O. Box 615-  
Frankfort, Kentucky 40602

Dear Mr. Volz:

I filed a complaint with the Commission in August 1979 concerning Echo Telephone Co. providing E.A.S. to Louisville to some subscribers outside the Zantow boundary. (Administrative Case No. 218.)

Mr. Jim Johnson has been in touch with me several times concerning this matter. He told me he was waiting on the outcome of E.A.S. to Louisville before attempting to rectify my complaint.

In Echo's brief that was submitted to the Commission June 15, 1981 on page 2 Line 5, 6, & 7 it says: The order in Case

# 3908 had never been modified or rescinded except with regard to rates and, as such in binding upon Echo.

This is an untrue statement and I would like to be given the opportunity to prove to the Commission that it isn't true. I ask the Commission to send someone down to look at the situation. Instead of coming to me Mr. Johnson asked Echo to send the Commission a drawing of the boundary line.

Mr. Starnes came out with his local engineer and used an old beam as a landmark (which is not mentioned in case # 3908) and established a boundary line that will include a small subdivision in the Lanston exchange.

Before your decision on case # 6882 is made would you please have someone from the Commission come down and see for themselves that the boundary established by Starnes is not where the boundary was established in case # 3908 and approved by the Commission.

Enclosure:

Sincerely,  
Mrs. Alton Fisher

Phone 543-7324

NETON EXCHANGE

POINT EXCHANGE

SOU. BELL TEL. & TEL. CO.

BY: *W.D. Brown*

*W.D. Brown*

SOU. BELL TEL. & TEL. CO.


JEFFERSON COUNTY

*W.D. Brown*

SOU. BELL TEL. & TEL. CO.

CO. COUNTY

MT WASHINGTON EXCHANGE  
ECHO TELEPHONE CO.  
BY: *W.D. Brown* MGR.



NOT TO SCALE

ISSUED \_\_\_\_\_ EFFECTIVE *March 1966*

ECHO TELEPHONE COMPANY

BY


*W.D. Brown*

SHEPHERDSVILLE EXCHANGE  
ECHO TELEPHONE CO.  
BY: *W.D. Brown* MGR.

The red dot on the above Station  
wholes in 7/10 mile South  
of the boundary on the  
map.

EXCHANGE BOUNDARY — E —

SYSTEM BOUNDARY — U —



DETAIL MAP NUMBER 1

ZONE B.R.A.

TOWN DETAIL MAP NUMBER 4

TOWN DETAIL MAP NUMBER

EXHIBIT MAP

PRO 53