COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY

BEFORE THE UTILITY REGULATORY COMMISSION

* * * * *

In the Matter of

PETITION OF RESIDENTS OF GALLATIN

COUNTY, KENTUCKY, REQUESTING A

SURVEY TO DETERMINE THE NEED FOR

EXTENDED AREA TELEPHONE SERVICE

BETWEEN GALLATIN COUNTY, KENTUCKY

AND BOONE COUNTY, KENTUCKY INCLUDING

THE CINCINNATI, OHIO METROPOLITAN

AREA.

CASE NO. 7472

AND

In the Matter of

REQUESTS OF RESIDENTS OF GRANT
COUNTY, KENTUCKY FOR THE ESTABLISHMENT
OF EXTENDED AREA TELEPHONE SERVICE
BETWEEN GRANT COUNTY, KENTUCKY AND
BOONE COUNTY, KENTUCKY INCLUDING
THE CINCINNATI, OHIO METROPOLITAN
AREA

CASE NO. 7685

ORDER

On January 9, 1981, the Commission issued its final Order in Case No. 7472, and on January 23, 1981, the Commission issued its Order in Case No. 7685. In both Cases, the requested Extended Area Telephone Service (EAS) was denied, and Cincinnati Bell Telephone Company (Bell) was required to offer Optional Calling Plans (OCP) to subscribers in the petitioning exchanges, such OCP to offer calling to areas within the Commission's jurisdiction. Both Orders required Bell to file these OCP tariffs within thirty (30) days of the dates of the Orders, respectively.

By letter received February 5, 1981, Bell requested that the implementation date of the OCP be deferred until November 1, 1981. Bell stated as its reason that under the existing Message Toll Processing System, OCP implementation would require (680) manhours of computer programming time at an approximate cost of \$20,000.00 and that at least (90) days would be required to develop the practices and procedures necessary to implement the OCP.

Bell further stated that the Company is developing a new computerized Message Toll Processing System which is scheduled for completion by November 1, 1981. If the OCP tariffs could be implemented coincident with the introduction of the new system, minimum additional time, effort, and expense would be required because the computer programming requirements must be included in the new system in any event.

The Commission, after considering this request, and being advised, is of the opinion and FINDS that:

- 1) If Bell is required to implement the OCP tariffs as soon as possible, the effective date would be approximately June 1, 1981. The estimated savings to subscribers who elect the OCP between June 1, 1981, and November 1, 1981, would be far more than offset by the required expenditure of \$20,000.00, which must be paid by all of Bell's subscribers;
- 2) Bell's request to defer the OCP implementation until November 1, 1981, with an associated savings of \$20,000.00 is fair, just, and reasonable, is in the public interest, and should be approved.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that the implementation dates of the Optional Calling Plans required in Cases' No. 7472, and 7685, dated January 9, 1981, and January 23, 1981, respectively, be and they hereby are deferred until November 1, 1981.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Cincinnati Bell Telephone
Company shall refile its Optional Calling Plan tariffs within
thirty (30) days of the date of this Order, to reflect an effective
date of November 1, 1981.

1981.	Done at Frankfort,	Kentucky, thi	s 27th day of February, UTILITY REGULATORY COMMISSION
			Chairman
			Vice Chairman
			Commissioner
ATTE	ST:		

Secretary