COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In the Matter of:

APPLICATION OF NEW CINGULAR WIRELESS)
PCS, LLC FOR ISSUANCE OF A CERTIFICATE OF)
PUBLIC CONVENIENCE AND NECESSITY TO)
CONSTRUCT A WIRELESS COMMUNICATIONS) CASE NO.
FACILITY IN THE COMMONWEALTH OF) 2014-00098
KENTUCKY IN THE COUNTY OF KNOTT)
)
SITE NAME: ALICE LLOYD)

ORDER

On April 21, 2014, New Cingular Wireless PCS, LLC, d/b/a AT&T Mobility ("New Cingular Wireless"), filed an application seeking a Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity to construct and operate a wireless telecommunications facility. The proposed facility consists of a self-supporting antenna tower not to exceed 265 feet in height, with attached antenna, to be located at 448 Jacobs Ridge Road, Pippa Passes, Knott County, Kentucky. The coordinates for the proposed facility are North Latitude 37° 20' 33.171" by West Longitude 82° 53' 0.004".

New Cingular Wireless has provided information regarding the structure of the tower, safety measures, and antenna design criteria for the proposed facility. Based upon the information contained in the application, the design of the tower and foundation conforms to applicable nationally recognized building standards, and a Licensed Professional Engineer has certified the plans.

Pursuant to 807 KAR 5:063, New Cingular Wireless has notified the County Judge/Executive of the proposed construction and filed evidence of the appropriate

notices provided pursuant to 807 KAR 5:063. The notices solicited comments and informed the recipients of their right to request intervention. Public comments have been filed with the Commission by East Kentucky Network, d/b/a Appalachian Wireless ("Appalachian Wireless"), and by Clinton R. Jacobs ("Mr. Jacobs"), which are discussed below.

New Cingular Wireless has filed applications with the Federal Aviation Administration and the Kentucky Airport Zoning Commission seeking approval for the construction and operation of the proposed facility. Both applications have been approved.

On May 20, 2014, Appalachian Wireless, the owner and operator of a cellular tower located adjacent to New Cingular Wireless's proposed cellular tower site, filed a motion to intervene in this case. In its motion, Appalachian Wireless asserted that New Cingular Wireless, in its application, improperly concluded that there was no reasonable opportunity to co-locate its proposed cellular equipment and facilities on Appalachian Wireless's tower. In support of its assertion, Appalachian Wireless filed the Affidavit of Appalachian Wireless's Manager of Technical Operations, Michael Johnson, who stated that he was contacted by New Cingular Wireless in 2011 regarding co-location on a different cell tower and that he could not recall any other contact with New Cingular Wireless regarding co-location since that date.

On June 4, 2014, New Cingular Wireless filed an objection to Appalachian Wireless's motion to intervene. In support of its objection, New Cingular Wireless attached documents that demonstrated that Appalachian Wireless had previously rebuffed requests to co-locate. New Cingular Wireless attached to its objection a

certified letter, dated June 20, 2013, sent to Mr. Johnson describing multiple in-person and telephonic attempts to discuss co-location with Appalachian Wireless, and requesting a response to the correspondence.¹ In a July 1, 2013 e-mail, attached to New Cingular Wireless's motion, Mr. Johnson rejected New Cingular Wireless's request for co-location, stating that all potential co-locates were on hold due to network modifications.²

On June 23, 2014, Appalachian Wireless filed notice of withdrawal of its motion to intervene, stating that it remained committed to the timely consideration of future colocation opportunities. The Commission will treat Appalachian Wireless's notice of withdrawal as a motion to withdraw the motion to intervene.

Pursuant to 807 KAR 5:063, Section 1(s), to apply for a certificate of public convenience and necessity to construct a wireless telecommunications facility, a utility must state that the utility attempted to co-locate on an existing structure and that there is no reasonably available opportunity to co-locate. The record reflects that New Cingular Wireless pursued co-location on an existing tower owned by Appalachian Wireless and that Appalachian Wireless denied that request for co-location. Thus, as an initial matter, New Cingular Wireless met the requirement of the regulation when the application was filed.

Appalachian Wireless subsequently raised the possibility of co-location in a procedurally circuitous manner 30 days after New Cingular Wireless filed its application.

¹ Case No. 2013-00427, Application of New Cingular Wireless Pcs, LLC for Issuance of a Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity to Construct a Wireless Communications Facility in the Commonwealth of Kentucky in the County of Knott, Site Name: Hindman, AT&T Mobility's Response and Objection to Appalachian Wireless's Motion to Intervene, Exhibit A (Ky. PSC filed June 2, 2014).

² Id.

New Cingular Wireless's responses to Appalachian Wireless's request to intervene and pursue co-location, and Appalachian Wireless's subsequent withdrawal of its request to intervene, have caused an unusual delay in the review of this application. Federal law requires the Commission to act upon a siting application for a wireless facility, such as this case, within 150 days of its being duly filed.³ The application was deemed filed on April 21, 2014; therefore, the Commission must rule on this application by September 18, 2014, to meet the 150-day statutory deadline.

The Commission has long encouraged co-location as the preferred method in expanding telecommunication networks in underserved areas. However, in this matter, due to the delays arising from Appalachian Wireless's initial denial of New Cingular Wireless's co-location request, followed by Appalachian Wireless's subsequent request to intervene to pursue co-location, and concluding with Appalachian Wireless's withdrawal of its request, the Commission must balance its preference for co-location against the federal statutory deadline for action and the need to improve Kentucky's wireless network without undue delay. In this case, the Commission concludes that it is not feasible to pursue co-location and meet the federal statutory deadline by which the Commission must rule on New Cingular Wireless's application. Based upon the facts presented in this case, it is neither reasonable nor in the public's interest or convenience to require New Cingular Wireless to further pursue co-location. Therefore,

-4-

³ 47 U.S.C. § 332(c)(7)(B)(ii) requires state or local government to act upon a wireless facility siting application within a "reasonable" period of time. The Federal Communications Commission issued a declaratory ruling defining the time frame as 150 days. See, In the Matter of Petition for Declaratory Ruling to Clarify Provisions of Section 332(c)(7)(B) to Ensure Timely Siting Review and to Preempt Under Section 253 State and Local Ordinances that Classify All Wireless Siting Proposals as Requiring a Variance, FCC 09-99 (Nov. 18, 2009).

we will not require New Cingular Wireless to further pursue co-location, and Appalachian Wireless's motion to withdraw its motion to intervene should be granted.

On June 9, 2014, and again on June 10, 2014, Mr. Jacobs filed public comments stating only that he owned property adjoining the proposed tower site. The Commission takes note that Mr. Jacobs was neither listed among the owners of property continguous to or within 500 feet of the proposed site to whom New Cingular Wireless sent notice as required by 807 KAR 5:063, Sections 1(I)-(m) and 2, nor was Mr. Jacobs listed as a property owner on maps filed with the Application that purport to show ownership of property adjacent to the proposed site.

On June 12, 2014, New Cingular Wireless responded to Mr. Jacobs's comments, noting that Mr. Jacobs did not object to the proposed site or request to intervene, but merely asserted that he owned property adjoining the proposed site. On June 19, 2014, New Cingular Wireless filed a further response to Mr. Jacobs's comments, asserting that, pursuant to on-site verification, New Cingular Wireless's statutory notice to adjoining property owners was not deficient. New Cingular Wireless asserts that Mr. Jacobs owns or leases property that is located greater than 500 feet from the proposed site, thus New Cingular Wireless does not have a statutory duty to send individual notice to Mr. Jacobs pursuant to 807 KAR 5:063, Sections 1(I)-(m) and 2. In support of its response, New Cingular Wireless filed maps and documents obtained from the Knott County Property Valuation Administrator that demonstrate that the parcels owned and leased by Mr. Jacob all fall outside the distance from the proposed tower site for which statutory notice is required. Mr. Jacobs did not file a reply to New Cingular Wireless's responses to his public comments. Inasmuch as Mr. Jacobs did not respond to New

Cingular Wireless's assertions regarding the location of his property nor raise an objection to the proposed site, and in that Mr. Jacobs received actual notice of the proposed tower site, the Commission, having considered the evidence of record, finds that New Cingular Wireless complied with the statutory notice requirement to owners of property contiguous to or within 500 feet of the proposed site.

The Commission, having considered the evidence of record and being otherwise sufficiently advised, finds that New Cingular Wireless has demonstrated that a facility is necessary to provide adequate utility service and that, therefore, a Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity to construct the proposed facility should be granted.

Pursuant to KRS 278.280, the Commission is required to determine proper practices to be observed when it finds, upon complaint or on its own motion, that the facilities of any utility subject to its jurisdiction are unreasonable, unsafe, improper, or insufficient. To assist the Commission in its efforts to comply with this mandate, New Cingular Wireless should notify the Commission if it does not use this antenna tower to provide service in the manner set out in its application and this Order. Upon receipt of such notice, the Commission may, on its own motion, institute proceedings to consider the proper practices, including removal of the unused antenna tower, which should be observed by New Cingular Wireless.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that:

1. New Cingular Wireless is granted a Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity to construct a wireless telecommunications facility. The proposed facility consists of a self-supporting antenna tower not to exceed 265 feet in height, with attached antenna, and is to be located at 448 Jacobs Road, Pippa Passes, Knott

County, Kentucky. The coordinates for the proposed facility are North Latitude 37° 20' 33.171" by West Longitude 82° 53' 0.004".

- 2. New Cingular Wireless shall immediately notify the Commission in writing, if, after the antenna tower is built and utility service is commenced, the tower is not used for a period of three months in the manner authorized by this Order.
- 3. Documents filed, if any, in the future pursuant to ordering paragraph 2 herein shall reference this case number and shall be retained in the utility's general correspondence files.
- 4. Appalachian Wireless's motion to withdraw its motion to intervene is granted.

ENTERED

AUG 25 2014

KENTUCKY PUBLIC

Executive Director

Honorable David A Pike Attorney at Law Pike Legal Group PLLC 1578 Highway 44 East, Suite 6 P. O. Box 369 Shepherdsville, KENTUCKY 40165-0369

Patrick W. Turner Attorney AT&T Kentucky 1600 Williams Street Columbia, SOUTH CAROLINA 29201