

COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY  
BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In the Matter of:

APPLICATION FOR APPROVAL OF )  
THE TRANSFER OF CONTROL OF )  
ALLTEL KENTUCKY, INC. AND )  
KENTUCKY ALLTEL, INC. AND FOR )  
AUTHORIZATION TO GUARANTEE )  
INDEBTEDNESS )


CASE NO. 2005-00534

RESPONSES TO DATA REQUESTS

PART 2 OF 2

\*\*\*\*\*

RECEIVED  
MAR 13 2006  
PUBLIC SERVICE  
COMMISSION



**COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY  
BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION**


**In the Matter of:**

<b>KENTUCKY ALLTEL, INC., AND ALLTEL</b>	)	
<b>KENTUCKY, INC.'S INTENT TO TRANSFER</b>	)	<b>CASE NO. 2005-00534</b>
<b>ASSETS TO VALOR COMMUNICATIONS</b>	)	
<b>GROUP, INC.</b>	)	


**JOINT APPLICANTS' RESPONSES TO DATA REQUESTS OF INTERVENOR,  
COMMUNICATION WORKERS OF AMERICA**

Alltel Kentucky, Inc., Kentucky Alltel, Inc., Alltel Communications, Inc., Alltel Holding Corp., Valor Communications Group, and Alltel Holding Corporate Services, Inc. (collectively, "Applicants") file the following Responses to the Initial Data Requests of the Communication Workers of America (CWA).

Respectfully submitted,



Mark R. Overstreet  
R. Benjamin Crittenden  
STITES & HARBISON PLLC  
421 West Main Street  
P.O. Box 634  
Frankfort, Kentucky 40602-0634  
(502) 223-3477



CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that a true and accurate copy of the foregoing was served via overnight delivery or as noted below upon the following:

Douglas F. Brent  
Stoll Keenon & Ogden, PLLC  
2650 Aegon Center  
400 West Market Street  
Louisville, Kentucky 40202  
e-mail: [brent@skp.com](mailto:brent@skp.com)

John E. Selent  
Dinsmore & Shohl, LLP  
1400 PNC Plaza  
500 West Jefferson Street  
Louisville, Kentucky 40202  
e-mail: [selent@dinslaw.com](mailto:selent@dinslaw.com)


David Barberie  
Department of Law  
Lexington-Fayette Urban County Government  
200 East Main Street  
Lexington, Kentucky 40507  
e-mail: [dbarberi@lfucg.com](mailto:dbarberi@lfucg.com)

Dennis Howard  
Larry Cook  
Office of the Attorney General  
Suite 200  
1024 Capital Center Drive  
Frankfort, Kentucky 40601  
e-mail: [dennis.howard@ag.ky.gov](mailto:dennis.howard@ag.ky.gov)


Jonathon Amlung  
Amlung Law Offices  
616 South Fifth Street  
Louisville, Kentucky 40202

Don Meade  
Priddy, Isenberg, Miller & Meade, PLLC  
800 Republic Building  
429 West Muhammad Ali  
Louisville, Kentucky 40202  
e-mail: [dmeade@pimmlaw.com](mailto:dmeade@pimmlaw.com)

on this the 13<sup>th</sup> day of March, 2006.



Mark R. Overstreet




1. Provide a copy of the most recent annual and quarterly reports of Alltel Communications Corp. and Valor Corp.

**Response:**

**Attached hereto are the 10-K/A for 2004 and the 10-Q for the Third Quarter of 2005 for Alltel Corporation. The 10-K for 2005 will be filed on March 10, 2006 and will be provided when available.**

**Also attached hereto are the latest 10-Q and 10-K filings made by Valor Communications Group, Inc.**



**Financial Statements for Alltel Corporation and Valor**

**Responsive to CWA 1**



UNITED STATES  
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION  
WASHINGTON, D. C. 20549

FORM 10-Q

QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE  
SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the quarterly period ended September 30, 2005

OR

TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE  
SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

Commission file number 1-4996

ALLTEL CORPORATION

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Delaware	34-0868285
(State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or organization)	(I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)
One Allied Drive, Little Rock, Arkansas	72202
(Address of principal executive offices)	(Zip Code)
Registrant's telephone number, including area code	<u>(501) 905-8000</u>

(Former name, former address and former fiscal year, if changed since last report)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days.

YES  NO

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is an accelerated filer (as defined by Rule 12b-2 of the Act).

YES  NO

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined by Rule 12b-2 of the Act).

YES  NO

Number of common shares outstanding as of October 31, 2005: 382,944,791

The Exhibit Index is located on page 52.

**ALLTEL CORPORATION  
FORM 10-Q  
TABLE OF CONTENTS**

**Page No.**

**PART I – FINANCIAL INFORMATION**

Item 1.	Financial Statements	2
Item 2.	Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations	19
Item 3.	Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk	49
Item 4.	Controls and Procedures	50

**PART II – OTHER INFORMATION**

Item 1.	Legal Proceedings	*
Item 2.	Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds	50
Item 3.	Defaults Upon Senior Securities	*
Item 4.	Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders	*
Item 5.	Other Information	*
Item 6.	Exhibits	50

\* No reportable information under this item.

**Forward-Looking Statements**

This Report on Form 10-Q includes, and future filings by the Company on Form 10-K, Form 10-Q and Form 8-K and future oral and written statements by ALLTEL Corporation (“Alltel”) and its management may include, certain “forward-looking statements” within the meaning of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. Forward-looking statements are subject to uncertainties that could cause actual future events and results to differ materially from those expressed in the forward-looking statements. These forward-looking statements are based on estimates, projections, beliefs, and assumptions and are not guarantees of future events and results. Words such as “expects”, “anticipates”, “intends”, “plans”, “believes”, “seeks”, “estimates”, and “should”, and variations of these words and similar expressions, are intended to identify these forward-looking statements. Alltel disclaims any obligation to update or revise any forward-looking statement based on the occurrence of future events, the receipt of new information, or otherwise.

Actual future events and results may differ materially from those expressed in these forward-looking statements as a result of a number of important factors. Representative examples of these factors include (without limitation) adverse changes in economic conditions in the markets served by Alltel; the extent, timing, and overall effects of competition in the communications business; material changes in the communications industry generally that could adversely affect vendor relationships with equipment and network suppliers and customer relationships with wholesale customers; changes in communications technology; the risks associated with pending acquisitions and dispositions, including the pending acquisition of the Idaho markets and the pending dispositions of Western Wireless’ Kansas and Nebraska markets and international assets; the risks associated with the integration of acquired businesses, including the integration of Western Wireless; the uncertainties related to any discussions or negotiations regarding the sale of any of the international assets or the wireline repositioning; adverse changes in the terms and conditions of the wireless roaming agreements of Alltel; the uncertainties related to Alltel’s strategic investments; the effects of litigation; and the effects of federal and state legislation, rules, and regulations governing the communications industry.

In addition to these factors, actual future performance, outcomes and results may differ materially because of more general factors including (without limitation) general industry and market conditions and growth rates, economic conditions, and governmental and public policy changes.

**ALLTEL CORPORATION**  
**FORM 10-Q**  
**PART I - FINANCIAL INFORMATION**

**Item 1. Financial Statements**

**CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS (UNAUDITED)**

(Dollars in millions, except per share amounts)	September 30, 2005	December 31, 2004
<b>Assets</b>		
<b>Current Assets:</b>		
Cash and short-term investments	\$ 69.4	\$ 484.9
Accounts receivable (less allowance for doubtful accounts of \$76.4 and \$53.6, respectively)	1,117.0	912.7
Inventories	177.0	156.8
Prepaid expenses and other	131.2	62.4
Assets held for sale	<u>2,739.6</u>	<u>-</u>
Total current assets	<u>4,234.2</u>	<u>1,616.8</u>
Investments	359.3	804.9
Goodwill	8,827.9	4,875.7
Other intangibles	1,977.3	1,306.1
<b>Property, Plant and Equipment:</b>		
Land	287.6	278.1
Building and improvements	1,189.7	1,134.8
Wireline	6,886.8	6,735.8
Wireless	6,664.6	5,764.0
Information processing	1,150.2	1,048.4
Other	494.3	489.9
Under construction	<u>494.3</u>	<u>385.3</u>
Total property, plant and equipment	17,167.5	15,836.3
Less accumulated depreciation	<u>9,158.5</u>	<u>8,288.2</u>
Net property, plant and equipment	<u>8,009.0</u>	<u>7,548.1</u>
Other assets	389.0	452.1
<b>Total Assets</b>	<b>\$ 23,796.7</b>	<b>\$ 16,603.7</b>
<b>Liabilities and Shareholders' Equity</b>		
<b>Current Liabilities:</b>		
Current maturities of long-term debt	\$ 22.9	\$ 225.0
Accounts payable	496.0	448.2
Advance payments and customer deposits	224.2	219.3
Accrued taxes	224.1	158.2
Accrued dividends	145.5	105.9
Accrued interest	81.3	120.2
Current deferred income taxes	490.5	-
Other current liabilities	244.1	183.5
Liabilities related to assets held for sale	<u>398.0</u>	<u>-</u>
Total current liabilities	<u>2,326.6</u>	<u>1,460.3</u>
Long-term debt	5,920.2	5,352.4
Deferred income taxes	1,681.9	1,715.1
Other liabilities	955.7	947.2
<b>Shareholders' Equity:</b>		
Preferred stock, Series C, \$2.06, no par value, 11,492 and 12,288 shares issued and outstanding, respectively	0.3	0.3
Common stock, par value \$1 per share, 1.0 billion shares authorized, 382,914,314 and 302,267,959 shares issued and outstanding, respectively	382.9	302.3
Additional paid-in capital	5,313.4	197.9
Unrealized holding gain on investments	23.5	153.9
Foreign currency translation adjustment	26.9	0.5
Retained earnings	<u>7,165.3</u>	<u>6,473.8</u>
Total shareholders' equity	<u>12,912.3</u>	<u>7,128.7</u>
<b>Total Liabilities and Shareholders' Equity</b>	<b>\$ 23,796.7</b>	<b>\$ 16,603.7</b>

See the accompanying notes to the unaudited interim consolidated financial statements.

**CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF INCOME (UNAUDITED)**

(Millions, except per share amounts)	Three Months Ended September 30,		Nine Months Ended September 30,	
	2005	2004	2005	2004
<b>Revenues and sales:</b>				
Service revenues	\$ 2,229.4	\$ 1,885.4	\$ 6,116.9	\$ 5,476.9
Product sales	289.7	217.7	788.3	629.5
<b>Total revenues and sales</b>	<b>2,519.1</b>	<b>2,103.1</b>	<b>6,905.2</b>	<b>6,106.4</b>
<b>Costs and expenses:</b>				
Cost of services (excluding depreciation of \$256.2, \$232.0, \$742.3 and \$695.6, respectively, included below)	719.7	624.4	2,006.9	1,769.4
Cost of products sold	343.7	262.6	933.6	775.9
Selling, general, administrative and other	470.9	373.6	1,298.9	1,121.7
Depreciation and amortization	389.0	324.7	1,078.5	967.2
Restructuring and other charges	18.9	-	18.9	51.8
<b>Total costs and expense</b>	<b>1,942.2</b>	<b>1,585.3</b>	<b>5,336.8</b>	<b>4,686.0</b>
<b>Operating income</b>	<b>576.9</b>	<b>517.8</b>	<b>1,568.4</b>	<b>1,420.4</b>
Equity earnings in unconsolidated partnerships	10.5	24.3	36.4	53.5
Minority interest in consolidated partnerships	(20.6)	(23.7)	(57.8)	(60.9)
Other income, net	27.3	15.7	156.0	23.2
Interest expense	(83.4)	(86.7)	(246.4)	(265.0)
Gain on exchange or disposal of assets and other	30.5	-	218.8	-
<b>Income from continuing operations before income taxes</b>	<b>541.2</b>	<b>447.4</b>	<b>1,675.4</b>	<b>1,171.2</b>
<b>Income taxes</b>	<b>206.1</b>	<b>143.7</b>	<b>625.2</b>	<b>415.1</b>
<b>Income from continuing operations</b>	<b>335.1</b>	<b>303.7</b>	<b>1,050.2</b>	<b>756.1</b>
Discontinued operations (net of income taxes of \$33.3 in 2005 and income tax benefit of \$19.5 in 2004)	26.0	19.5	26.0	19.5
<b>Net income</b>	<b>361.1</b>	<b>323.2</b>	<b>1,076.2</b>	<b>775.6</b>
Preferred dividends	-	-	0.1	0.1
<b>Net income applicable to common shares</b>	<b>\$ 361.1</b>	<b>\$ 323.2</b>	<b>\$ 1,076.1</b>	<b>\$ 775.5</b>
<b>Earnings per share:</b>				
<b>Basic:</b>				
Income from continuing operations	\$ .92	\$ .99	\$ 3.21	\$ 2.45
Income from discontinued operations	.07	.06	.08	.06
<b>Net income</b>	<b>\$ .99</b>	<b>\$ 1.05</b>	<b>\$ 3.29</b>	<b>\$ 2.51</b>
<b>Diluted:</b>				
Income from continuing operations	\$ .91	\$ .99	\$ 3.19	\$ 2.44
Income from discontinued operations	.07	.06	.08	.06
<b>Net income</b>	<b>\$ .98</b>	<b>\$ 1.05</b>	<b>\$ 3.27</b>	<b>\$ 2.50</b>

See the accompanying notes to the unaudited interim consolidated financial statements.

**CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS (UNAUDITED)**

(Millions)	Nine Months Ended September 30,	
	2005	2004
<b>Cash Provided from Operations:</b>		
Net income	\$ 1,076.2	\$ 775.6
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided from operations:		
Income from discontinued operations	(26.0)	(19.5)
Depreciation and amortization	1,078.5	967.2
Provision for doubtful accounts	152.0	137.3
Non-cash portion of gain on exchange or disposal of assets and other	(232.7)	-
Non-cash portion of restructuring and other charges	10.0	25.6
Increase in deferred income taxes	18.1	188.6
Reversal of income tax contingency reserves	-	(19.7)
Other, net	12.1	(8.5)
Changes in operating assets and liabilities, net of effects of acquisitions and dispositions:		
Accounts receivable	(205.4)	(164.3)
Inventories	16.2	10.9
Accounts payable	(3.7)	(93.0)
Other current liabilities	6.2	64.1
Other, net	0.4	(20.2)
Net cash provided from operations	<u>1,901.9</u>	<u>1,844.1</u>
<b>Cash Flows from Investing Activities:</b>		
Additions to property, plant and equipment	(915.5)	(757.3)
Additions to capitalized software development costs	(38.9)	(23.6)
Additions to investments	(1.0)	(2.8)
Purchases of property, net of cash acquired	(1,135.8)	-
Proceeds from the sale of assets	36.2	-
Proceeds from the sale of investments	353.9	-
Proceeds from the return on investments	30.9	67.1
Other, net	7.9	(0.6)
Net cash used in investing activities	<u>(1,662.3)</u>	<u>(717.2)</u>
<b>Cash Flows from Financing Activities:</b>		
Dividends on common and preferred stock	(345.2)	(345.3)
Repayments of long-term debt	(2,656.6)	(255.0)
Repurchases of common stock	-	(506.9)
Distributions to minority investors	(44.8)	(49.7)
Long-term debt issued	927.7	-
Common stock issued	1,442.8	20.7
Net cash used in financing activities	<u>(676.1)</u>	<u>(1,136.2)</u>
Net cash provided from discontinued operations	36.2	-
Effect of exchange rate changes on cash and short-term investments	(15.2)	(0.1)
Decrease in cash and short-term investments	(415.5)	(9.4)
<b>Cash and Short-term Investments:</b>		
Beginning of the period	484.9	657.8
End of the period	<u>\$ 69.4</u>	<u>\$ 648.4</u>
<b>Non-Cash Investing and Financing Activities:</b>		
Change in fair value of investments in equity securities	\$ (200.6)	\$ 32.2
Change in fair value of foreign currency exchange contracts	\$ 41.6	\$ -
Change in fair value of interest rate swap agreements	\$ (27.0)	\$ (2.0)

See the accompanying notes to the unaudited interim consolidated financial statements.

**CONSOLIDATED STATEMENT OF SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY (UNAUDITED)**

(Millions)	Preferred Stock	Common Stock	Additional Paid-In Capital	Unrealized Holding Gain On Investments	Foreign Currency Translation Adjustment	Retained Earnings	Total
Balance at December 31, 2004	\$ 0.3	\$ 302.3	\$ 197.9	\$ 153.9	\$ 0.5	\$ 6,473.8	\$ 7,128.7
Net income	-	-	-	-	-	1,076.2	1,076.2
Other comprehensive loss, net of tax: (See Note 12)							
Unrealized holding losses on investments, net of reclassification adjustments	-	-	-	(130.4)	-	-	(130.4)
Foreign currency translation adjustment	-	-	-	-	26.4	-	26.4
Comprehensive income	-	-	-	(130.4)	26.4	1,076.2	972.2
Acquisitions (See Note 2)	-	54.3	3,690.5	-	-	-	3,744.8
Settle purchase obligation related to equity units (See Note 4)	-	24.5	1,360.5	-	-	-	1,385.0
Employee plans, net	-	1.6	57.0	-	-	-	58.6
Restricted stock, net of unearned compensation	-	0.2	3.9	-	-	-	4.1
Tax benefit for non-qualified stock options	-	-	3.5	-	-	-	3.5
Conversion of preferred stock	-	-	0.1	-	-	-	0.1
Dividends:							
Common - \$1.14 per share	-	-	-	-	-	(384.6)	(384.6)
Preferred	-	-	-	-	-	(0.1)	(0.1)
Balance at September 30, 2005	\$ 0.3	\$ 382.9	\$ 5,313.4	\$ 23.5	\$ 26.9	\$ 7,165.3	\$ 12,912.3

See the accompanying notes to the unaudited interim consolidated financial statements.

## NOTES TO UNAUDITED INTERIM CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

### 1. General:

**Basis of Presentation** – The consolidated financial statements at September 30, 2005 and December 31, 2004 and for the three and nine month periods ended September 30, 2005 and 2004 of ALLTEL Corporation (“Alltel” or the “Company”) are unaudited. The consolidated financial statements have been prepared in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles for interim financial reporting and Securities and Exchange Commission rules and regulations. Certain information and footnote disclosures have been condensed or omitted in accordance with those rules and regulations. The consolidated financial statements reflect all adjustments (consisting only of normal recurring adjustments) which are, in the opinion of management, necessary for a fair presentation of the financial position and operating results for the interim periods presented.

### 2. Acquisitions:

On August 1, 2005, Alltel and Western Wireless Corporation (“Western Wireless”) completed the merger of Western Wireless into a wholly-owned subsidiary of Alltel. As a result of the merger, Alltel added approximately 1.3 million domestic wireless customers, adding wireless operations in nine new states, including California, Idaho, Minnesota, Montana, Nevada, North Dakota, South Dakota, Utah and Wyoming, and expanding its wireless operations in Arizona, Colorado, New Mexico and Texas. In the merger, each share of Western Wireless common stock was exchanged for 0.535 shares of Alltel common stock and \$9.25 in cash unless the shareholder made an all-cash election, in which case the shareholder received \$40 in cash. Western Wireless shareholders making an all-stock election were subject to proration and received approximately 0.539 shares of Alltel common stock and \$9.18 in cash. In the aggregate, Alltel issued approximately 54.3 million shares of stock valued at \$3,430.4 million and paid approximately \$933.4 million in cash. Through its wholly-owned subsidiary that merged with Western Wireless, Alltel also assumed debt of approximately \$2.1 billion and acquired cash of \$14.4 million. On the date of closing, Alltel repaid approximately \$1.3 billion of term loans representing all borrowings outstanding under Western Wireless’ credit facility that, as a result of a change in control, became due and payable immediately upon the closing of the merger. On August 1, 2005, Alltel also announced a tender offer to purchase all of the issued and outstanding 9.25 percent senior notes due July 15, 2013 of Western Wireless, representing an aggregate principal amount of \$600.0 million, as well as a related consent solicitation to amend the indenture governing the senior notes. During the third quarter of 2005, Alltel repurchased all \$600.0 million of the senior notes.

As a condition of receiving approval for the merger from the U.S. Department of Justice (“DOJ”) and Federal Communications Commission (“FCC”), Alltel agreed to divest certain wireless operations of Western Wireless in 16 markets in Arkansas, Kansas and Nebraska, as well as the “Cellular One” brand. On September 13, 2005, Alltel announced an agreement to exchange wireless properties with United States Cellular Corporation (“U.S. Cellular”) that meets a substantial portion of the divestiture requirements related to the merger. On September 15, 2005, Alltel completed the sale of international operations in Georgia and Ghana acquired from Western Wireless. Alltel also has pending definitive agreements to sell the acquired international operations in Ireland and Austria, and the Company is actively pursuing the disposition of all remaining international operations acquired from Western Wireless. Accordingly, the acquired international operations and interests of Western Wireless and the 16 domestic markets to be divested by Alltel have been classified as assets held for sale and discontinued operations in the accompanying consolidated financial statements. (See Note 10).

Under the purchase method of accounting, the assets and liabilities of Western Wireless were recorded at their respective fair values as of the date of acquisition. The Company is in the process of completing third-party valuations of the acquired property, plant and equipment and intangible assets. Given the size of the Western Wireless transaction, the values of certain assets and liabilities have been based on preliminary valuations and are subject to adjustment as additional information is obtained. Such additional information includes, but may not be limited to, the following: valuations and physical counts of property, plant and equipment, disposition of certain assets acquired and the exit from certain contractual arrangements. Accordingly, the purchase price allocation is subject to adjustment based upon completion of the third party valuations and the final determination of fair values.

As part of the acquisition, Alltel assumed \$115.0 million of 4.625 percent convertible subordinated notes due 2023 that were issued by Western Wireless in June 2003 (the “Western Wireless notes”). Upon closing of the merger, each \$1,000 principal amount of Western Wireless notes became convertible into 34.6144 shares of Alltel common stock and \$598.47 in cash based on the mixed-election exchange ratio. The Western Wireless notes have been recorded at fair value as of the merger date, with a portion of the fair value allocated to the conversion component. The fair value of the conversion component of \$216.6 million has been reflected as an increase in Alltel’s additional paid in capital balance as of the merger date.

## NOTES TO UNAUDITED INTERIM CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS-(Continued)

### 2. Acquisitions, Continued:

Employee stock options issued by Western Wireless that were outstanding as of the merger date were exchanged for an equivalent number of Alltel stock options based on the specified exchange ratio of the Western Wireless stock options to Alltel common stock equivalents of .6762 per share. Compensation expense attributable to the vested Western Wireless stock options that were exchanged totaling \$97.8 million was capitalized as part of the purchase price and resulted in a corresponding increase in Alltel's additional paid in capital balance as of the merger date. In addition, Alltel also incurred \$28.3 million of direct costs for legal, financial advisory and other services related to the transaction that were also capitalized as part of the purchase price.

Alltel's integration of the acquired operations of Western Wireless is currently underway. In connection with this integration, the Company expects to incur significant nonrecurring expenses over the next several quarters, principally consisting of branding, signage, retail store redesigns and computer system conversion costs. (See Note 7 for a discussion of integration expenses recorded by Alltel in the third quarter of 2005). In addition, employee termination benefits of \$15.7 million, including involuntary severance and related benefits to be provided to 384 former Western Wireless employees, and employee retention bonuses of \$10.5 million payable to approximately 1,800 former Western Wireless employees were recorded during the third quarter of 2005. These employee benefit costs were recognized in accordance with EITF Issue No. 95-3, "Recognition of Liabilities in Connection with a Purchase Business Combination", as liabilities assumed in the business combination. As of September 30, 2005, Alltel had paid \$7.2 million in employee termination and retention benefits, and 160 of the scheduled employee terminations had been completed.

Alltel assigned the excess of the aggregate purchase price over the fair market value of the tangible net assets acquired of \$4,269.7 million to customer list, cellular licenses and goodwill. The customer list recorded in connection with this transaction is being amortized over a weighted-average period of five years using the sum-of-the-years digits method. The cellular licenses are classified as indefinite-lived intangible assets and are not subject to amortization. Alltel assigned goodwill resulting from the acquisition of Western Wireless to the Company's wireless business segment. None of the goodwill or other intangible assets recorded in this acquisition are deductible for income tax purposes.

The premium paid by Alltel in this transaction is attributable to the strategic importance of the Western Wireless merger. As a result of the merger, Alltel increased its wireless revenue mix from approximately 60 percent to nearly 70 percent of its total consolidated revenues. The Company also achieved additional scale by adding approximately 1.3 million domestic wireless customers in 19 midwestern and western states that are contiguous to Alltel's existing wireless properties, increasing the number of wireless customers served by Alltel to more than 10 million customers in 34 states. In addition, the merger increased Alltel's retail position in these domestic, rural markets where it can leverage the Company's brand and marketing experience and bring significant value to customers by offering competitive national rate plans. In addition, the Company became a leading independent roaming partner for the four national carriers in the markets served by Alltel. Finally, Alltel expects to achieve reductions in centralized operations costs and interest expense savings as a result of merger.

On April 15, 2005, Alltel and Cingular Wireless LLC ("Cingular"), a joint venture between SBC Communications, Inc. and BellSouth Corporation, exchanged certain wireless assets. Under the terms of the agreement, Alltel acquired former AT&T Wireless properties, including licenses, network assets, and subscribers, in select markets in Kentucky, Oklahoma, Texas, Connecticut and Mississippi covering approximately 2.7 million potential customers ("POPs"). Alltel also acquired 20MHz of spectrum and network assets owned by AT&T Wireless in Kansas and wireless spectrum in several counties in Georgia and Texas. In addition, Alltel and Cingular exchanged partnership interests, with Cingular receiving interests in markets in Kansas, Missouri and Texas, and Alltel receiving more ownership in majority-owned markets it manages in Michigan, Louisiana and Ohio. Alltel also paid Cingular approximately \$153.0 million in cash. During the second quarter of 2005, Alltel completed the purchase price allocation for this exchange based upon a fair value analysis of the tangible and identifiable intangible assets acquired and the partnership interests relinquished. The excess of the aggregate purchase price over the fair market value of the tangible net assets acquired of \$370.9 million was assigned to customer list, cellular licenses and goodwill. The customer list recorded in connection with this transaction is being amortized on a straight-line basis over its estimated useful life of three years. The cellular licenses are classified as indefinite-lived intangible assets and are not subject to amortization. In connection with this transaction, Alltel recorded a pretax gain of approximately \$127.5 million in the second quarter of 2005 and an additional gain of \$30.5 million in the third quarter of 2005 (see Note 9).

**NOTES TO UNAUDITED INTERIM CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS-(Continued)**

**2. Acquisitions, Continued:**

On February 28, 2005, Alltel completed the purchase of certain wireless assets from Public Service Cellular, Inc. ("PS Cellular") for \$48.1 million in cash, acquiring wireless properties with a potential service area covering approximately 900,000 POPs in Alabama and Georgia. During the first quarter of 2005, Alltel completed the purchase price allocation for this acquisition based upon a fair value analysis of the tangible and identifiable intangible assets acquired. The excess of the aggregate purchase price over the fair market value of the tangible net assets acquired of \$36.6 million was assigned to customer list, cellular licenses and goodwill. The customer list recorded in connection with this transaction is being amortized on a straight-line basis over its estimated useful life of four years. The cellular licenses are classified as indefinite-lived intangible assets and are not subject to amortization.

The accompanying consolidated financial statements include the accounts and results of operations of the wireless properties acquired from Cingular and PS Cellular from the dates of acquisition. The purchase prices paid for these two acquisitions were based on estimates of future cash flows of the properties acquired. Alltel paid a premium (i.e. goodwill) over the fair value of the net tangible and identifiable intangible assets acquired because the purchase of wireless properties expanded the Company's wireless footprint into new markets in Alabama, Georgia, Kentucky, Oklahoma, Texas, Connecticut and Mississippi and added 266,000 new customers to Alltel's communications customer base. Additionally, in the wireless properties acquired, Alltel should realize, over time, accelerated customer growth and higher average revenue per customer as a result of the Company's higher revenue national rate plans.

During the first nine months of 2005, Alltel also acquired additional ownership interests in wireless properties in Michigan, Ohio and Wisconsin in which the Company owned a majority interest. In connection with these acquisitions, the Company paid \$15.7 million in cash and assigned the excess of the aggregate purchase price over the fair market value of the tangible net assets acquired of \$8.4 million to goodwill.

The following table summarizes the assets acquired, liabilities assumed, common stock issued and assets exchanged for the various business combinations completed during 2005:

<u>(Millions)</u>	<u>Western Wireless</u>	<u>Cingular</u>	<u>PS Cellular and Other</u>	<u>Combined Totals</u>
Fair value of assets acquired:				
Current assets	\$ 200.5	\$ 1.1	\$ 4.3	\$ 205.9
Investments	132.2	-	-	132.2
Property, plant and equipment	515.8	38.0	10.2	564.0
Other assets	7.1	-	-	7.1
Assets held for sale	2,799.9	-	-	2,799.9
Goodwill	3,638.7	269.0	39.7	3,947.4
Cellular licenses	315.0	91.0	3.4	409.4
Customer lists	316.0	10.9	1.9	328.8
Total assets acquired	<u>7,925.2</u>	<u>410.0</u>	<u>59.5</u>	<u>8,394.7</u>
Fair value of liabilities assumed:				
Current liabilities	(166.9)	(5.5)	(2.4)	(174.8)
Current deferred income taxes established on acquired assets	(490.5)	-	-	(490.5)
Long-term debt	(2,112.9)	-	-	(2,112.9)
Other liabilities	(42.9)	-	-	(42.9)
Liabilities related to assets held for sale	(448.2)	-	-	(448.2)
Less minority interest liability acquired	-	14.4	6.7	21.1
Total liabilities acquired (assumed)	<u>(3,261.4)</u>	<u>8.9</u>	<u>4.3</u>	<u>(3,248.2)</u>
Common stock issued	(3,744.8)	-	-	(3,744.8)
Fair value of assets exchanged	-	(265.9)	-	(265.9)
Cash paid, net of cash acquired	<u>\$ 919.0</u>	<u>\$ 153.0</u>	<u>\$ 63.8</u>	<u>\$ 1,135.8</u>

**NOTES TO UNAUDITED INTERIM CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS-(Continued)**

**2. Acquisitions, Continued:**

The following unaudited pro forma consolidated results of operations of the Company for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2005 and 2004 assume that the acquisition of wireless properties from Western Wireless occurred as of January 1, 2004:

(Millions, except per share amounts)	Three Months Ended		Nine Months Ended	
	2005	2004	2005	2004
Revenues and sales	\$ 2,612.5	\$ 2,352.8	\$ 7,501.3	\$ 6,816.0
Income from continuing operations	\$ 343.8	\$ 309.5	\$ 1,079.9	\$ 797.8
Combined earnings per share from continuing operations:				
Basic earnings per share	\$ .90	\$ .80	\$ 2.83	\$ 2.06
Diluted earning per share	\$ .89	\$ .79	\$ 2.79	\$ 2.02
Net income	\$ 369.8	\$ 329.0	\$ 1,105.9	\$ 817.3
Combined earnings per share:				
Basic earnings per share	\$ .97	\$ .85	\$ 2.90	\$ 2.11
Diluted earning per share	\$ .96	\$ .84	\$ 2.85	\$ 2.07

The pro forma amounts represent the historical operating results of the properties acquired from Western Wireless with appropriate adjustments that give effect to depreciation and amortization and interest expense. The pro forma amounts also give effect to the May 17, 2005 issuance of approximately 24.5 million shares of Alltel common stock to settle the purchase contract obligation related to the Company's outstanding equity units (see Note 4), the proceeds of which were used to finance the cash portion of the merger transaction and a portion of the repayment of Western Wireless' long-term debt. The pro forma amounts for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2005 and 2004 include the effects of non-acquisition-related special charges and unusual items, as more fully discussed in Notes 7, 8 and 9 below. The pro forma amounts are not necessarily indicative of the operating results that would have occurred if the Western Wireless properties had been operated by Alltel during the periods presented. In addition, the pro forma amounts do not reflect potential cost savings related to full network optimization and the redundant effect of selling and general and administrative expenses. Unaudited pro forma financial information related to the acquisitions of wireless properties from Cingular and PS Cellular and Alltel's acquisitions of wireless properties in Florida, Georgia and Ohio from U.S. Cellular and TDS Telecommunications Corporation completed on December 1, 2004 and in Louisiana from SJI completed on November 2, 2004 has not been included because these acquisitions were not material to Alltel's consolidated results of operations for all periods presented.

**3. Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets:**

Goodwill represents the excess of cost over the fair value of net identifiable tangible and intangible assets acquired through various business combinations. The Company has acquired identifiable intangible assets through its acquisitions of interests in various wireless and wireline properties. The cost of acquired entities at the date of the acquisition is allocated to identifiable assets, and the excess of the total purchase price over the amounts assigned to identifiable assets is recorded as goodwill. As of January 1, 2005, Alltel completed the annual impairment reviews of its goodwill and other indefinite-lived intangible assets and determined that no write-down in the carrying value of these assets was required. The changes in the carrying amount of goodwill by business segment for the nine months ended September 30, 2005 were as follows:

(Millions)	Wireless	Wireline	Communications	
			Support Services	Total
Balance at December 31, 2004	\$ 3,625.8	\$ 1,247.6	\$ 2.3	\$ 4,875.7
Acquired during the period	3,947.4	-	-	3,947.4
Other adjustments	4.8	-	-	4.8
Balance at September 30, 2005	\$ 7,578.0	\$ 1,247.6	\$ 2.3	\$ 8,827.9

The carrying values of indefinite-lived intangible assets other than goodwill were as follows:

(Millions)	September 30, 2005	December 31, 2004
Cellular licenses	\$ 1,185.0	\$ 775.6
Personal Communications Services licenses	79.1	79.1
Franchise rights – wireline	265.0	265.0
	\$ 1,529.1	\$ 1,119.7

**NOTES TO UNAUDITED INTERIM CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS-(Continued)**

**3. Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets, Continued:**  
Intangible assets subject to amortization were as follows:

	September 30, 2005		
(Millions)	Gross Cost	Accumulated Amortization	Net Carrying Value
Customer lists	\$ 726.4	\$ (284.7)	\$ 441.7
Franchise rights	<u>22.5</u>	<u>(16.0)</u>	<u>6.5</u>
	<u>\$ 748.9</u>	<u>\$ (300.7)</u>	<u>\$ 448.2</u>

	December 31, 2004		
(Millions)	Gross Cost	Accumulated Amortization	Net Carrying Value
Customer lists	\$ 397.6	\$ (218.8)	\$ 178.8
Franchise rights	<u>22.5</u>	<u>(14.9)</u>	<u>7.6</u>
	<u>\$ 420.1</u>	<u>\$ (233.7)</u>	<u>\$ 186.4</u>

Amortization expense for intangible assets subject to amortization was \$34.5 million and \$67.0 million for the three and nine month periods ended September 30, 2005, compared to \$15.0 million and \$45.2 million for the same periods of 2004. Amortization expense for intangible assets subject to amortization is estimated to be \$110.3 million in 2005, \$145.4 million in 2006, \$107.8 million in 2007, \$77.5 million in 2008 and \$41.9 million in 2009.

**4. Equity Units:**

During 2002, Alltel issued and sold 27.7 million equity units in an underwritten public offering and received net proceeds of \$1.34 billion. Each equity unit consisted of a corporate unit, with a \$50 stated amount, comprised of a purchase contract and a \$50 principal amount senior note. The purchase contract obligated the holder to purchase, and obligated Alltel to sell, on May 17, 2005, a variable number of newly-issued common shares of Alltel common stock for \$50. The number of Alltel shares issued to the holders of each equity unit to settle the purchase contract was calculated by dividing \$50 by the average closing price per share of Alltel's common stock for the 20 consecutive trading days that ended May 12, 2005. Upon settlement of the purchase contract obligation, Alltel received cash proceeds of approximately \$1,385.0 million and delivered approximately 24.5 million shares of Alltel common stock in the aggregate to the holders of the equity units. The proceeds from the stock issuance were utilized to finance certain obligations associated with Alltel's merger with Western Wireless, as further discussed in Note 2.

The \$50 principal amount senior notes become payable on May 17, 2007. The senior notes accrued interest through May 17, 2005 at an initial annual rate of 6.25 percent. On February 17, 2005, Alltel completed a remarketing of the senior notes that reset the annual interest rate on the notes to 4.656 percent for periods subsequent to May 17, 2005. The proceeds of the remarketed senior notes were used to purchase a portfolio of U.S. Treasury securities that were pledged to secure the corporate unit holders' obligations under the purchase contract component of the corporate unit until settlement.

**5. Stock-Based Compensation:**

Under the Company's stock-based compensation plans, Alltel may grant fixed and performance-based incentive and non-qualified stock options, restricted stock, and other equity securities to officers and other management employees. The Company accounts for these plans under the recognition and measurement principles of Accounting Principles Board Opinion No. 25, "Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees" and related Interpretations. For fixed stock options granted under these plans, the exercise price of the option equals the market value of Alltel's common stock on the date of grant. Accordingly, Alltel does not record compensation expense for any of the fixed stock options granted, and no compensation expense related to stock options was recognized in the three and nine month periods ended September 30, 2005 or 2004.

In January 2005 and 2004, the Company granted to certain senior management employees restricted stock of approximately 205,000 and 173,000 shares, respectively. The restricted shares granted in 2005 vest three years from the date of grant, except that one-third of the restricted shares may vest after each of the first two-year anniversaries from the grant date if the Company achieves a certain targeted total stockholder return for its peer group during the three-year period preceding each of those two years. The restricted shares granted in 2004 will vest in equal increments over a three-year period following the date of grant. Compensation expense related to the foregoing shares amounted to \$1.6 million and \$4.8 million and \$0.7 million and \$2.1 million for the three and nine month periods ended September 30, 2005 and 2004, respectively.

**NOTES TO UNAUDITED INTERIM CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS-(Continued)**

**5. Stock-Based Compensation, Continued:**

At September 30, 2005 and 2004, unrecognized compensation expense for the restricted shares amounted to \$5.8 million and \$6.4 million, respectively, and was included in additional paid-in capital in the accompanying consolidated balance sheet and statement of shareholders' equity. The following table illustrates the effects on net income and earnings per share had the Company applied the fair value recognition provisions of SFAS No. 123, "Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation", to its stock-based employee compensation plans for the three and nine months ended September 30:

(Millions, except per share amounts)	Three Months Ended		Nine Months Ended	
	2005	2004	2005	2004
Net income as reported	\$ 361.1	\$ 323.2	\$ 1,076.2	\$ 775.6
Add stock-based compensation expense included in net income, net of related tax effects	1.1	0.5	3.1	1.4
Deduct stock-based employee compensation expense determined under fair value method for all awards, net of related tax effects	(5.5)	(7.0)	(18.5)	(19.9)
<b>Pro forma net income</b>	<b>\$ 356.7</b>	<b>\$ 316.7</b>	<b>\$ 1,060.8</b>	<b>\$ 757.1</b>
Basic earnings per share:				
As reported	\$.99	\$1.05	\$3.29	\$2.51
Pro forma	\$.98	\$1.03	\$3.25	\$2.45
Diluted earnings per share:				
As reported	\$.98	\$1.05	\$3.27	\$2.50
Pro forma	\$.97	\$1.03	\$3.22	\$2.44

The pro forma amounts presented above may not be representative of the future effects on reported net income and earnings per share, since the pro forma compensation expense is allocated over the periods in which options become exercisable, and new option awards may be granted each year.

On December 16, 2004, the Financial Accounting Standards Board ("FASB") issued SFAS No. 123(R), "Share-Based Payment", which is a revision of SFAS No. 123 and supercedes APB Opinion No. 25. SFAS No. 123(R) requires all share-based payments to employees, including grants of employee stock options, to be valued at fair value on the date of grant, and to be expensed over the applicable vesting period. Pro forma disclosure of the income statement effects of share-based payments is no longer an alternative. SFAS No. 123(R) is effective for all stock-based awards granted on or after July 1, 2005. In addition, companies must also recognize compensation expense related to any awards that are not fully vested as of the effective date. Compensation expense for the unvested awards will be measured based on the fair value of the awards previously calculated in developing the pro forma disclosures in accordance with the provisions of SFAS No. 123. On March 25, 2005, the SEC staff issued Staff Accounting Bulletin ("SAB") 107, which summarizes the staff's views regarding the interaction between SFAS No. 123(R) and certain SEC rules and regulations and provides additional guidance regarding the valuation of share-based payment arrangements for public companies. In addition, on April 15, 2005, the SEC amended Rule 4-01(a) of Regulation S-X regarding the date public companies are required to comply with the provisions of SFAS No. 123(R), such that calendar year companies will now be required to comply with the standard beginning January 1, 2006. Alltel is currently assessing the impact of adopting SFAS No. 123(R), as interpreted by SAB 107, to its consolidated results of operations.

**6. Employee Benefit Plans and Postretirement Benefits Other Than Pensions:**

Alltel maintains a qualified defined benefit pension plan, which covers substantially all employees. Prior to January 1, 2005, employees of the Company's directory publishing subsidiary did not participate in the plan. Alltel also maintains a supplemental executive retirement plan that provides unfunded, non-qualified supplemental retirement benefits to a select group of management employees. In addition, the Company has entered into individual retirement agreements with certain retired executives providing for unfunded supplemental pension benefits. The Company funds the accrued costs of the unfunded plans as benefits are paid. The components of pension expense, including provision for executive retirement agreements, were as follows for the three and nine month periods ended September 30:

(Millions)	Three Months Ended		Nine Months Ended	
	2005	2004	2005	2004
Benefits earned during the year	\$ 8.7	\$ 7.7	\$ 25.4	\$ 23.1
Interest cost on benefit obligation	14.7	13.0	43.9	38.9
Amortization of prior service cost	0.1	-	0.4	0.2
Recognized net actuarial loss	7.7	5.0	23.0	14.8
Expected return on plan assets	(20.8)	(17.6)	(62.2)	(52.8)
<b>Net periodic benefit expense</b>	<b>\$ 10.4</b>	<b>\$ 8.1</b>	<b>\$ 30.5</b>	<b>\$ 24.2</b>

**NOTES TO UNAUDITED INTERIM CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS-(Continued)**

**6. Employee Benefit Plans and Postretirement Benefits Other Than Pensions, Continued:**

Alltel disclosed in its financial statements for the year ended December 31, 2004 that it expected to contribute \$5.2 million for retirement benefits in 2005 consisting solely of amounts necessary to fund the expected benefit payments related to the unfunded supplemental retirement plans. Through September 30, 2005, Alltel had contributed \$4.2 million to fund the supplemental retirement plans. Alltel does not expect that any contribution to the qualified defined pension plan calculated in accordance with the minimum funding requirements of the Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974 will be required in 2005. Future discretionary contributions to the plan will depend on various factors, including future investment performance, changes in future discount rates and changes in the demographics of the population participating in Alltel's qualified pension plan.

The Company provides postretirement healthcare and life insurance benefits for eligible employees. Employees share in the cost of these benefits. The Company funds the accrued costs of these plans as benefits are paid. The components of postretirement expense were as follows for the three and nine month periods ended September 30:

(Millions)	Three Months Ended		Nine Months Ended	
	2005	2004	2005	2004
Benefits earned during the year	\$ 0.1	\$ 0.1	\$ 0.3	\$ 0.5
Interest cost on benefit obligation	3.5	3.9	10.5	11.8
Amortization of transition obligation	0.2	0.2	0.6	0.6
Amortization of prior service cost	0.5	0.4	1.5	1.2
Recognized net actuarial loss	1.7	2.3	5.1	6.7
Expected return on plan assets	-	-	-	-
Net periodic benefit expense	\$ 6.0	\$ 6.9	\$ 18.0	\$ 20.8

Under the Medicare Prescription Drug, Improvement and Modernization Act of 2003, (the "Act") beginning in 2006, the Act will provide a prescription drug benefit under Medicare Part D, as well as a federal subsidy to plan sponsors of retiree healthcare plans that provide a prescription drug benefit to their participants that is at least actuarially equivalent to the benefit that will be available under Medicare. The amount of the federal subsidy will be based on 28 percent of an individual beneficiary's annual eligible prescription drug costs ranging between \$250 and \$5,000. On May 19, 2004, the FASB issued Staff Position No. 106-2, "Accounting and Disclosure Requirements Related to the Medicare Prescription Drug, Improvement and Modernization Act of 2003" ("FSP No. 106-2"). FSP No. 106-2 clarified that the federal subsidy provided under the Act should be accounted for as an actuarial gain in calculating the accumulated postretirement benefit obligation and annual postretirement expense. Based on its understanding of the Act, Alltel determined that a substantial portion of the prescription drug benefits provided under its postretirement benefit plan would be deemed actuarially equivalent to the benefits provided under Medicare Part D. Effective July 1, 2004, Alltel prospectively adopted FSP No. 106-2 and remeasured its accumulated postretirement benefit obligation as of that date to account for the federal subsidy, the effects of which resulted in an \$18.3 million reduction in the Company's accumulated postretirement obligation and a \$2.9 million reduction in the Company's 2004 postretirement expense. On January 21, 2005, the Department of Health and Human Services issued final federal regulations related to the federal subsidy. These final rules did not have a material effect on Alltel's benefit costs or accumulated postretirement benefit obligation.

**7. Restructuring and Other Charges:**

A summary of the restructuring and other charges recorded during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2005 was as follows:

(Millions)	Wireless	Wireline	Total
Severance and employee benefit costs	\$ -	\$ 4.6	\$ 4.6
Relocation costs	0.3	-	0.3
Computer system conversion and other integration expenses	14.0	-	14.0
Total restructuring and other charges	\$ 14.3	\$ 4.6	\$ 18.9

In connection with the exchange of wireless assets with Cingular, the Company incurred \$11.9 million of integration expenses, primarily consisting of handset subsidies incurred to migrate the acquired customer base to CDMA handsets. Alltel also incurred \$2.4 million of integration expenses related to its acquisition of Western Wireless, primarily consisting of computer system conversion and other integration costs. These expenses included internal payroll and employee benefit costs, contracted services, relocation expenses and other programming costs incurred in converting Western Wireless'

**NOTES TO UNAUDITED INTERIM CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS-(Continued)**

**7. Restructuring and Other Charges, Continued:**

customer billing and operational support systems to Alltel's internal systems, a process which is expected to be completed during the second quarter of 2006. In addition, the Company incurred \$4.6 million of severance and employee benefit costs related to a planned workforce reduction in its wireline operations. As of September 30, 2005, the Company had paid \$1.1 million in severance and employee-related expenses, and all of the employee reductions and relocations had been completed.

A summary of the restructuring and other charges recorded during the nine months ended September 30, 2004 was as follows:

(Millions)	Communications				Total
	Wireless	Wireline	Support Services	Corporate Operations	
Severance and employee benefit costs	\$ 8.8	\$ 11.2	\$ 0.5	\$ 2.1	\$ 22.6
Relocation costs	3.2	1.4	0.1	0.1	4.8
Lease and contract termination costs	0.5	(1.9)	-	(0.1)	(1.5)
Write-down in carrying value of certain facilities	0.7	-	-	24.1	24.8
Other exit costs	<u>0.4</u>	<u>0.7</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>1.1</u>
Total restructuring and other charges	\$ 13.6	\$ 11.4	\$ 0.6	\$ 26.2	\$ 51.8

In January 2004, the Company announced its plans to reorganize its operations and support teams. Also, during February 2004, the Company announced its plans to exit its Competitive Local Exchange Carrier ("CLEC") operations in the Jacksonville, Florida market due to the continued unprofitability of these operations. In connection with these activities, the Company recorded a restructuring charge of \$29.3 million consisting of \$22.9 million in severance and employee benefit costs related to a planned workforce reduction, \$4.8 million of employee relocation expenses, \$0.5 million in lease termination costs and \$1.1 million of other exit costs. The severance and employee benefit costs included a \$1.2 million payment to a former employee of the Company's sold financial services division that became payable in the first quarter of 2004 pursuant to the terms of a change in control agreement between the employee and Alltel. During the fourth quarter of 2004, the Company recorded a \$0.9 million reduction in the liabilities associated with the restructuring efforts initiated in the first quarter of 2004, consisting of \$0.7 million in employee relocation expenses and \$0.2 million in severance and employee benefit costs. The reductions primarily reflected differences between estimated and actual costs paid in completing the employee relocations and terminations. As of September 30, 2005, the Company had paid \$22.7 million in severance and employee-related expenses, and all of the employee reductions and relocations had been completed.

During the first quarter of 2004, Alltel also recorded a \$2.3 million reduction in the liabilities associated with various restructuring activities initiated prior to 2003, consisting of \$2.0 million in lease and contract termination costs and \$0.3 million in severance and employee benefit costs. The reductions primarily reflected differences between estimated and actual costs paid in completing the previous planned workforce reductions and lease and contract terminations. During the first quarter of 2004, the Company also recorded a write-down in the carrying value of certain corporate and regional facilities to fair value in conjunction with the 2004 organizational changes and the 2003 sale of the Company's financial services division to Fidelity National Financial Inc. ("Fidelity National").

The following is a summary of the activity related to the liabilities associated with the Company's restructuring and other charges for the nine months ended September 30, 2005:

(Millions)	
Balance, beginning of period	\$ 0.7
Accrued severance and employee benefit costs during the period	4.6
Reversal of accrued liabilities	(0.3)
Cash outlays during the period	<u>(1.3)</u>
Balance, end of period	\$ 3.7

At September 30, 2005, the remaining unpaid liability related to Alltel's restructuring activities consisted of severance and employee benefit costs of \$3.5 million and lease and contract termination costs of \$0.2 million and is included in other current liabilities in the accompanying consolidated balance sheets.

## NOTES TO UNAUDITED INTERIM CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS-(Continued)

### 8. Investments – Special Cash Dividend:

On March 28, 2005, Alltel received a special \$10 per share cash dividend from Fidelity National totaling \$111.0 million, related to the shares of Fidelity National common stock received as partial consideration for the sale of Alltel's financial services business to Fidelity National on April 1, 2003. As further discussed in Note 9, on April 6, 2005, Alltel completed the sale of all of its shares of Fidelity National common stock. The special cash dividend is included in other income, net in the accompanying consolidated statement of income for the nine months ended September 30, 2005.

### 9. Gain on Exchange or Disposal of Assets and Other:

As previously discussed in Note 2, on April 15, 2005, Alltel and Cingular exchanged certain wireless assets. Primarily as a result of certain minority partners' rights-of-first-refusal, three of the wireless partnership interests to be exchanged between Alltel and Cingular were not completed until July 29, 2005. As a result of completing the exchange transaction, Alltel recorded pretax gains of \$127.5 million in the second quarter of 2005 and \$30.5 million in the third quarter of 2005. On April 6, 2005, Alltel completed the sale of all of its shares of Fidelity National common stock to Goldman Sachs for approximately \$350.8 million and recognized a pretax gain of approximately \$75.8 million. On April 8, 2005, Alltel redeemed all of the issued and outstanding 7.50 percent senior notes due March 1, 2006, representing an aggregate principal amount of \$450.0 million. Concurrent with the debt redemption, Alltel also terminated the related pay variable/receive fixed, interest rate swap agreement that had been designated as a fair value hedge against the \$450.0 million senior notes. In connection with the early termination of the debt and interest rate swap agreement, Alltel incurred net pretax termination fees of approximately \$15.0 million. These transactions increased net income \$136.7 million or \$.42 per share in the nine month period ended September 30, 2005.

### 10. Discontinued Operations:

As previously discussed in Note 2, as a condition of receiving approval for the merger with Western Wireless from the DOJ and the FCC, Alltel agreed to divest certain wireless operations of Western Wireless in 16 markets in Arkansas, Kansas and Nebraska, as well as the "Cellular One" brand. On September 13, 2005, Alltel announced an agreement to exchange wireless properties with U.S. Cellular that included a substantial portion of the divestiture requirements related to the merger. Under terms of the agreement, Alltel will acquire two Rural Service Area ("RSA") markets in Idaho that are adjacent to the Company's operations and receive approximately \$50 million in cash in exchange for 15 rural markets in Kansas and Nebraska owned by Western Wireless. The Company will retain ownership of the Lincoln, Nebraska market that was owned by Western Wireless, as well as retain ownership of all the properties in Kansas and Nebraska that Alltel owned prior to the merger. Alltel expects to be in compliance with the remaining requirements of the DOJ order to divest a rural market in southwest Arkansas and the "Cellular One" brand within the allotted time frame. The transaction with U.S. Cellular, which includes licenses, network assets, customers and employees, is subject to federal regulatory approval and is expected to close in the fourth quarter of 2005.

On September 15, 2005, Alltel completed the sale of Western Wireless' international operations in Georgia and Ghana for \$50.6 million in cash. In addition, on July 25, 2005, Western Wireless announced a definitive agreement to sell its international operations in Ireland to a subsidiary of eircom Group plc ("eircom"), the Irish fixed-line telecommunications operator, for 420 million euros or approximately \$500 million at then current exchange rates. Completion of the sale of the Irish operations is conditioned, among other things, on approval by eircom's shareholders of the transaction and of an eircom share issuance pursuant to a rights offering; closing of the rights offering, the proceeds of which would be used to pay the purchase price; and approval from Irish regulatory authorities. Assuming receipt of these approvals and completion of the rights offering, this transaction is expected to close in the fourth quarter of 2005. On August 10, 2005, Alltel announced a definitive agreement to sell the Western Wireless international operations in Austria to T-Mobile Austria GmbH, a subsidiary of Deutsche Telekom for 1.3 billion euros or approximately \$1.6 billion at then current exchange rates. The price is subject to downward adjustment if, among other things, certain operating performance targets are not met. Completion of the sale of the Austrian business is conditioned, among other things, on approval by the European Commission and Austrian regulatory authorities and is expected to close during the first quarter of 2006. Alltel is also actively pursuing the disposition of all remaining international operations and interests acquired from Western Wireless.

The acquired international operations and interests of Western Wireless and the domestic markets to be divested by Alltel have been classified as assets held for sale and discontinued operations in the Company's interim consolidated financial statements as of and for the period ended September 30, 2005. Depreciation of long-lived assets related to the international operations and the domestic markets to be divested was not recorded subsequent to the completion of the merger. The fair value of the net assets held for sale was based upon the expected proceeds to be received by Alltel from the disposition of these operations.

**NOTES TO UNAUDITED INTERIM CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS-(Continued)**

**10. Discontinued Operations, Continued:**

The following table includes certain summary income statement information related to the international operations and the domestic markets to be divested reflected as discontinued operations for both the three and nine months ended September 30, 2005:

<u>(Millions)</u>	
Revenues and sales	\$ 194.1
Operating expenses	<u>132.6</u>
Operating income	61.5
Other expense, net	<u>(2.2)</u>
Pretax income from discontinued operations	59.3
Income tax expense	<u>33.3</u>
Income from discontinued operations	<u>\$ 26.0</u>

The following table includes certain summary cash flow statement information related to the international operations and the domestic markets to be divested reflected as discontinued operations for the nine months ended September 30, 2005:

<u>(Millions)</u>	
Net cash provided by operating activities	\$ 100.2
Net cash provided by investing activities (a)	21.9
Net cash used in financing activities (b)	<u>(85.9)</u>
Net cash provided by discontinued operations	<u>\$ 36.2</u>

Notes:

- (a) Includes proceeds of \$50.6 million from the sale of the international operations in Georgia and Ghana.
- (b) Includes \$67.1 million for the repayment of the Slovenian credit facility.

The following table includes the net assets of the international operations and the domestic markets to be divested that are classified as held for sale in the accompanying unaudited consolidated balance sheet as of September 30, 2005:

<u>(Millions)</u>	
Current assets	\$ 228.1
Property, plant and equipment	535.3
Goodwill and other intangible assets (a)	1,934.8
Other assets	<u>41.4</u>
Assets held for sale	<u>\$ 2,739.6</u>
Current liabilities	(283.5)
Long-term debt	(49.2)
Other liabilities	<u>(65.3)</u>
Liabilities related to assets held for sale	<u>\$ (398.0)</u>

Notes:

- (a) Includes the fair value of licenses and customer lists. Because substantially all of the assets classified as held for sale will be disposed of by March 31, 2006, the Company will not complete third party valuations to assign specific fair values to the identifiable intangible assets of the international operations and the domestic markets to be divested.

**11. Commitments and Contingencies:**

The Company currently has outstanding various indemnifications related to the April 1, 2003 sale of the financial services division to Fidelity National. In conjunction with the sale, Alltel agreed to indemnify Fidelity National for any damages resulting from Alltel's breach of warranty or non-fulfillment of certain covenants under the sales agreement, that exceed 1.5 percent of the purchase price, or \$15.75 million, up to a maximum of 15 percent of the purchase price, or \$157.5 million. Because of the low probability of being required to pay any amount under this indemnification, Alltel determined the fair value of this obligation to be immaterial to the consolidated results of operations, cash flows and financial condition of the Company. Accordingly, the Company has not recorded a liability related to it. Alltel also agreed to indemnify Fidelity National from any future tax liability imposed on the financial services division related to periods prior to the date of sale. Alltel's obligation to Fidelity National under this indemnification is not subject to a maximum amount.

**NOTES TO UNAUDITED INTERIM CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS-(Continued)**

**11. Commitments and Contingencies, Continued:**

The Company has recorded a liability for tax contingencies of approximately \$8.3 million related to the operations of the financial services division for periods prior to the date of sale that management has assessed as probable and estimable, which should adequately cover any obligation under this indemnification.

**12. Comprehensive Income:**

Comprehensive income was as follows for the three and nine month periods ended September 30:

<u>(Millions)</u>	<u>Three Months Ended</u>		<u>Nine Months Ended</u>	
	<u>2005</u>	<u>2004</u>	<u>2005</u>	<u>2004</u>
Net income	\$ 361.1	\$ 323.2	\$ 1,076.2	\$ 775.6
Other comprehensive income (loss):				
Unrealized holding gains (losses) on investments:				
Unrealized holding gains (losses) arising in the period	36.2	8.5	(124.8)	32.2
Income tax expense (benefit)	<u>12.7</u>	<u>3.0</u>	<u>(43.7)</u>	<u>6.5</u>
	<u>23.5</u>	<u>5.5</u>	<u>(81.1)</u>	<u>25.7</u>
Reclassification adjustments for gains included in net income for the period	-	-	(75.8)	-
Income tax expense	<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>26.5</u>	<u>-</u>
	<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>(49.3)</u>	<u>-</u>
Net unrealized gains (losses) in the period	36.2	8.5	(200.6)	32.2
Income tax expense (benefit)	<u>12.7</u>	<u>3.0</u>	<u>(70.2)</u>	<u>6.5</u>
	<u>23.5</u>	<u>5.5</u>	<u>(130.4)</u>	<u>25.7</u>
Foreign currency translation adjustment	<u>26.4</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>26.4</u>	<u>(0.1)</u>
Other comprehensive income (loss) before tax	62.6	8.5	(174.2)	32.1
Income tax expense (benefit)	<u>12.7</u>	<u>3.0</u>	<u>(70.2)</u>	<u>6.5</u>
Other comprehensive income (loss)	<u>49.9</u>	<u>5.5</u>	<u>(104.0)</u>	<u>25.6</u>
Comprehensive income	<u>\$ 411.0</u>	<u>\$ 328.7</u>	<u>\$ 972.2</u>	<u>\$ 801.2</u>

**13. Earnings per Share:**

Basic earnings per share was computed by dividing net income applicable to common shares by the weighted average number of common shares outstanding during the period. Diluted earnings per share reflects the potential dilution that could occur assuming conversion or exercise of all dilutive unexercised stock options and outstanding preferred stock. The number of stock options that were not included in the computation of diluted earnings per share because the exercise price of the stock options was greater than the average market price of the common stock totaled 4.3 million and 7.1 million shares for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2005, respectively. Conversely, the number of stock options not included in the computation of diluted earnings per share were 9.2 million and 10.3 million shares for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2004, respectively. A reconciliation of the net income and number of shares used in computing basic and diluted earnings per share was as follows for the three and nine month periods ended September 30:

<u>(Millions, except per share amounts)</u>	<u>Three Months Ended</u>		<u>Nine Months Ended</u>	
	<u>2005</u>	<u>2004</u>	<u>2005</u>	<u>2004</u>
<b>Basic earnings per share:</b>				
Income from continuing operations	\$ 335.1	\$ 303.7	\$ 1,050.2	\$ 756.1
Income from discontinued operations	26.0	19.5	26.0	19.5
Less preferred dividends	-	-	(0.1)	(0.1)
Net income applicable to common shares	<u>\$ 361.1</u>	<u>\$ 323.2</u>	<u>\$ 1,076.1</u>	<u>\$ 775.5</u>
Weighted average common shares outstanding for the period	<u>363.6</u>	<u>306.8</u>	<u>326.7</u>	<u>308.8</u>
Basic earnings per share:				
From continuing operations	\$.92	\$.99	\$3.21	\$2.45
From discontinued operations	<u>.07</u>	<u>.06</u>	<u>.08</u>	<u>.06</u>
Net income	<u>\$.99</u>	<u>\$1.05</u>	<u>\$3.29</u>	<u>\$2.51</u>

**NOTES TO UNAUDITED INTERIM CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS-(Continued)**

**13. Earnings per Share, Continued:**

<u>(Millions, except per share amounts)</u>	Three Months Ended		Nine Months Ended	
	2005	2004	2005	2004
<b>Diluted earnings per share:</b>				
Net income applicable to common shares	\$ 361.1	\$ 323.2	\$ 1,076.1	\$ 775.5
Adjustment for interest expense on convertible notes, net of tax	0.6	-	0.6	-
Adjustment for convertible preferred stock dividends	-	-	0.1	0.1
Net income applicable to common shares assuming conversion of preferred stock and convertible notes	<u>\$ 361.7</u>	<u>\$ 323.2</u>	<u>\$ 1,076.8</u>	<u>\$ 775.6</u>
Weighted average common shares outstanding for the period	363.6	306.8	326.7	308.8
Increase in shares resulting from:				
Assumed exercise of stock options	1.8	0.7	1.3	0.7
Assumed conversion of convertible notes	2.0	-	0.8	-
Assumed conversion of preferred stock	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.3
Non-vested restricted stock awards	0.1	-	0.1	-
Weighted average common shares assuming conversion	<u>367.8</u>	<u>307.8</u>	<u>329.2</u>	<u>309.8</u>
<b>Diluted earnings per share</b>				
From continuing operations	\$ .91	\$ .99	\$ 3.19	\$ 2.44
From discontinued operations	<u>.07</u>	<u>.06</u>	<u>.08</u>	<u>.06</u>
Net income	<u>\$ .98</u>	<u>\$ 1.05</u>	<u>\$ 3.27</u>	<u>\$ 2.50</u>

**14. Business Segment Information:**

Alltel manages its business operations based on differences in products and services. The Company evaluates performance of the segments based on segment income, which is computed as revenues and sales less operating expenses, excluding the effects of the items discussed in Notes 7, 8 and 9, respectively. These items are not allocated to the segments and are included in corporate operations. Segment operating results were as follows for the three and nine month periods ended September 30:

<u>(Millions)</u>	Three Months Ended		Nine Months Ended	
	2005	2004	2005	2004
<b>Revenues and Sales from External Customers:</b>				
Wireless	\$ 1,706.9	\$ 1,313.7	\$ 4,511.1	\$ 3,751.3
Wireline	552.7	562.6	1,664.6	1,688.7
Communications support services	203.0	170.9	578.1	508.7
Total business segments	<u>\$ 2,462.6</u>	<u>\$ 2,047.2</u>	<u>\$ 6,753.8</u>	<u>\$ 5,948.7</u>
<b>Intersegment Revenues and Sales:</b>				
Wireless	\$ 1.5	\$ -	\$ 4.6	\$ -
Wireline	39.6	40.3	116.4	123.3
Communications support services	60.2	56.3	171.0	166.7
Total business segments	<u>\$ 101.3</u>	<u>\$ 96.6</u>	<u>\$ 292.0</u>	<u>\$ 290.0</u>
<b>Total Revenues and Sales:</b>				
Wireless	\$ 1,708.4	\$ 1,313.7	\$ 4,515.7	\$ 3,751.3
Wireline	592.3	602.9	1,781.0	1,812.0
Communications support services	263.2	227.2	749.1	675.4
Total business segments	<u>2,563.9</u>	<u>2,143.8</u>	<u>7,045.8</u>	<u>6,238.7</u>
Less intercompany eliminations	(44.8)	(40.7)	(140.6)	(132.3)
Total revenues and sales	<u>\$ 2,519.1</u>	<u>\$ 2,103.1</u>	<u>\$ 6,905.2</u>	<u>\$ 6,106.4</u>

**NOTES TO UNAUDITED INTERIM CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS-(Continued)**

**14. Business Segment Information, Continued:**

<u>(Millions)</u>	<u>Three Months Ended</u>		<u>Nine Months Ended</u>	
	<u>2005</u>	<u>2004</u>	<u>2005</u>	<u>2004</u>
<b>Segment Income:</b>				
Wireless	\$ 376.0	\$ 287.6	\$ 954.5	\$ 760.1
Wireline	218.4	227.7	648.2	690.3
Communications support services	20.3	11.5	43.8	48.8
Total segment income	<u>614.7</u>	<u>526.8</u>	<u>1,646.5</u>	<u>1,499.2</u>
Corporate expenses	(18.9)	(9.0)	(59.2)	(27.0)
Restructuring and other charges	(18.9)	-	(18.9)	(51.8)
Equity earnings in unconsolidated partnerships	10.5	24.3	36.4	53.5
Minority interest in consolidated partnerships	(20.6)	(23.7)	(57.8)	(60.9)
Other income, net	27.3	15.7	156.0	23.2
Interest expense	(83.4)	(86.7)	(246.4)	(265.0)
Gain on exchange or disposal of assets and other	30.5	-	218.8	-
Income from continuing operations before income taxes	<u>\$ 541.2</u>	<u>\$ 447.4</u>	<u>\$ 1,675.4</u>	<u>\$ 1,171.2</u>

Segment assets were as follows:

<u>(Millions)</u>	September 30,	December 31,
	<u>2005</u>	<u>2004</u>
Wireless	\$ 15,469.1	\$ 9,881.5
Wireline	4,887.6	5,042.8
Communications support services	486.7	495.8
Total business segments	<u>20,843.4</u>	<u>15,420.1</u>
Corporate headquarters assets not allocated to segments	235.4	1,201.2
Assets held for sale	2,739.6	-
Less elimination of intersegment receivables	(21.7)	(17.6)
Total consolidated assets	<u>\$ 23,796.7</u>	<u>\$ 16,603.7</u>

Supplemental information pertaining to the communications support services segment was as follows for the three and nine month periods ended September 30:

<u>(Millions)</u>	<u>Three Months Ended</u>		<u>Nine Months Ended</u>	
	<u>2005</u>	<u>2004</u>	<u>2005</u>	<u>2004</u>
<b>Revenues and Sales from External Customers:</b>				
Product distribution	\$ 112.9	\$ 80.4	\$ 309.9	\$ 221.9
Long-distance and network management services	53.6	49.7	149.4	144.7
Directory publishing	32.4	31.1	106.0	106.0
Telecommunications information services	4.1	9.7	12.8	36.1
Total	<u>\$ 203.0</u>	<u>\$ 170.9</u>	<u>\$ 578.1</u>	<u>\$ 508.7</u>
<b>Intersegment Revenues and Sales:</b>				
Product distribution	\$ 33.2	\$ 28.8	\$ 92.9	\$ 82.3
Long-distance and network management services	27.0	27.5	78.1	84.4
Directory publishing	-	-	-	-
Telecommunications information services	-	-	-	-
Total	<u>\$ 60.2</u>	<u>\$ 56.3</u>	<u>\$ 171.0</u>	<u>\$ 166.7</u>
<b>Total Revenues and Sales:</b>				
Product distribution	\$ 146.1	\$ 109.2	\$ 402.8	\$ 304.2
Long-distance and network management services	80.6	77.2	227.5	229.1
Directory publishing	32.4	31.1	106.0	106.0
Telecommunications information services	4.1	9.7	12.8	36.1
Total revenues and sales	<u>\$ 263.2</u>	<u>\$ 227.2</u>	<u>\$ 749.1</u>	<u>\$ 675.4</u>

**ALLTEL CORPORATION**  
**FORM 10-Q**  
**PART I - FINANCIAL INFORMATION**

**Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations**

**GENERAL**

The following is a discussion and analysis of the historical results of operations and financial condition of ALLTEL Corporation ("Alltel" or the "Company"). This discussion should be read in conjunction with the unaudited consolidated financial statements, including the notes thereto, for the interim periods ended September 30, 2005 and 2004, and Alltel's Amendment No. 1 to Annual Report on Form 10-K/A for the year ended December 31, 2004.

**EXECUTIVE SUMMARY**

Alltel is a customer-focused communications company providing wireless, local telephone, long-distance, Internet and high-speed data services to more than 15 million residential and business customers in 36 states. Among the highlights in the third quarter of 2005:

- Wireless revenues and sales increased 30 percent over 2004 reflecting the effects of Alltel's August 1, 2005 acquisition of Western Wireless Corporation ("Western Wireless") and the exchange of wireless properties with Cingular Wireless LLC ("Cingular") completed during the second quarter of 2005. Excluding the effects of acquisitions, wireless revenues and sales increased 11 percent from a year ago driven by Alltel's continued focus on quality customer growth, improvements in data revenues, additional Eligible Telecommunications Carrier ("ETC") subsidies, and growth in wholesale minutes. Average revenue per customer increased 9 percent from a year ago to \$53.78, while retail revenue per customer increased to \$48.08, a 6 percent increase from a year ago. Excluding the acquired markets, both average revenue per customer and retail revenue per customer increased 5 percent from the same period a year ago, reflecting Alltel's continued focus on quality customer growth, improvements in data revenues and additional ETC subsidies. Retail minutes of use per wireless customer per month increased to 614 minutes, a 19 percent increase from the same period of 2004.
- Wireless gross customer additions were 730,000 in the quarter, and net customer additions were 21,000. Within its non-acquired or heritage markets, gross customer additions increased 9 percent from the second quarter of 2005 and were flat when compared to the same period a year ago. In its heritage markets, Alltel added 77,000 net postpay wireless customers and lost 17,000 net prepaid customers during the third quarter of 2005. In the acquired markets excluding Western Wireless, Alltel incurred approximate net losses of 67,000 customers, primarily driven by the conversion from GSM to CDMA technology in those markets. In the former Western Wireless markets, net customer additions for the quarter were 28,000, which includes the addition of 25,000 customers resulting from conforming these markets to Alltel's disconnect policies. Wireless postpay churn was 1.92 percent and total churn, which includes prepay customer losses, was 2.37 percent. Comparatively, in Alltel's heritage markets, postpay churn declined 7 basis points year-over-year to 1.73 percent.
- Wireless segment income increased 31 percent from a year ago, primarily reflecting the acquisition-related growth in revenues and sales noted above. Excluding the effects of acquisitions, wireless segment income increased 9 percent from the same period a year ago driven by revenue growth and solid expense management.
- In its wireline business, Alltel added 41,000 broadband customers, increasing Alltel's broadband customer base to 360,000. During the quarter, the Company lost approximately 33,000 wireline access lines, a year-over-year decline of 4 percent. Average revenue per wireline customer increased 2 percent from a year ago to \$67.21 due primarily to growth in broadband revenues and selling additional services and features to existing wireline customers. Wireline segment income decreased 4 percent from a year ago, reflecting a 2 percent decline in wireline revenues and sales attributable to the loss of access lines and additional costs related to the growth in broadband customers.

Alltel positioned its wireless business for future growth opportunities as a result of the Company's merger with Western Wireless. This transaction is significant to Alltel in several ways. First, it increased Alltel's wireless revenue mix to nearly 70 percent of the Company's total consolidated revenues. Second, the transaction increased the Company's retail position in markets where Alltel can bring significant value to customers by offering competitive national rate plans. Third, this transaction diversified Alltel's wireless roaming revenue sources, and, as a result of offering multiple technologies, the Company became the leading independent roaming partner for the four national carriers in the markets served by Alltel. Finally, Alltel enhanced its strategic options as the wireless industry continues to restructure while preserving the Company's strong financial position.

In integrating the acquired operations, Alltel began preparation for operational support system conversions within the former Western Wireless markets, which likely will occur in the second quarter of 2006, and Alltel recently launched a marketing campaign highlighting the Company's national service plans in the former Western Wireless markets. In the markets acquired from Cingular and Public Service Cellular, Inc. ("PS Cellular"), Alltel launched promotional campaigns specific to those markets. Alltel also continued expansion of its 1XRTT data footprint and expects to cover substantially all of its total potential customers ("POPs") by year-end. In addition, Alltel expects to have EV-DO service available in 10 to 12 markets by the end of the year.

In its wireline business, Alltel recently began offering DISH network satellite television service to wireline customers in its fifteen-state wireline service territory. During the third quarter of 2005, Alltel also announced it had initiated a formal process to assess the market environment for strategic repositioning options related to its wireline business. This process will likely conclude with either a spin-off of the local telephone business to Alltel's shareholders or a transaction that allows the Company to merge its wireline business into another independent local telephone company with Alltel's shareholders owning at least 51 percent of the merged business.

Throughout the remainder of 2005, the Company will continue to face significant challenges resulting from competition in the telecommunications industry and changes in the regulatory environment, including the effects of potential changes to the rules governing universal service and inter-carrier compensation. In addressing these challenges, Alltel will continue to focus its efforts on improving customer service, enhancing the quality of its networks, expanding its product and service offerings, and conducting vigorous advocacy efforts in favor of governmental policies that will benefit Alltel's business and its customers.

#### **ACQUISITIONS**

On August 1, 2005, Alltel and Western Wireless completed the merger of Western Wireless with and into a wholly-owned subsidiary of Alltel. In the merger, each share of Western Wireless common stock was exchanged for 0.535 shares of Alltel common stock and \$9.25 in cash unless the shareholder made an all-cash election, in which case the shareholder received \$40 in cash. Western Wireless shareholders making an all-stock election were subject to proration and received approximately 0.539 shares of Alltel common stock and \$9.18 in cash. In the aggregate, Alltel issued approximately 54.3 million shares of stock valued at \$3,430.4 million and paid approximately \$933.4 million in cash. Through its wholly-owned subsidiary that merged with Western Wireless, Alltel also assumed debt of approximately \$2.1 billion. As a result of the merger, Alltel added approximately 1.3 million domestic wireless customers in 19 midwestern and western states that are contiguous to the Company's existing wireless properties, increasing the number of wireless customers served by Alltel to more than 10 million customers in 34 states.

As a condition of receiving approval for the merger from the U.S. Department of Justice ("DOJ") and Federal Communications Commission ("FCC"), Alltel agreed to divest certain wireless operations of Western Wireless in 16 markets in Arkansas, Kansas and Nebraska, as well as the "Cellular One" brand. On September 13, 2005, Alltel announced an agreement to exchange wireless properties with United States Cellular Corporation ("U.S. Cellular") that included a substantial portion of the divestiture requirements related to the merger. On September 15, 2005, Alltel completed the sale of Western Wireless' international operations in Georgia and Ghana. Alltel also has pending definitive agreements to sell the Western Wireless international operations in Ireland and Austria, and the Company is actively pursuing the disposition of all remaining international operations acquired from Western Wireless. Accordingly, the acquired international operations and interests of Western Wireless and the 16 domestic markets to be divested by Alltel have been classified as assets held for sale and discontinued operations in the accompanying consolidated financial statements. Alltel's integration of the remaining acquired domestic operations of Western Wireless is currently underway. In connection with this integration, the Company expects to incur significant nonrecurring expenses over the next several quarters, principally consisting of branding, signage, retail store redesigns and computer system conversion costs. (See "Restructuring and Other Charges" below for a discussion of integration expenses recorded by Alltel in the third quarter of 2005).

On April 15, 2005, Alltel and Cingular exchanged certain wireless assets. Under the terms of the agreement, Alltel acquired former AT&T Wireless properties, including licenses, network assets, and subscribers, in selected markets in Kentucky, Oklahoma, Texas, Connecticut and Mississippi representing approximately 2.7 million POPs. Alltel also acquired 20MHz of spectrum and network assets formerly owned by AT&T Wireless in Kansas and wireless spectrum in several counties in Georgia and Texas. In addition, Alltel and Cingular exchanged partnership interests, with Cingular receiving interests in markets in Kansas, Missouri and Texas, and Alltel receiving more ownership in majority-owned markets it manages in Michigan, Louisiana, and Ohio. Alltel also paid Cingular approximately \$153.0 million in cash. In connection with this transaction, Alltel recorded a pretax gain of approximately \$127.5 million in the second quarter of 2005 and an additional gain of \$30.5 million in the third quarter of 2005 and added approximately 212,000 customers. On February 28, 2005, Alltel completed the purchase of wireless properties,

representing approximately 900,000 POPs in Alabama and Georgia, from PS Cellular for \$48.1 million in cash. Through the completion of this transaction, Alltel added approximately 54,000 customers. During the first nine months of 2005, Alltel also acquired additional ownership interests in wireless properties in Michigan, Ohio and Wisconsin in which the Company owned a majority interest. In connection with these acquisitions, the Company paid \$15.7 million in cash. (See Note 2 to the unaudited interim consolidated financial statements for additional information regarding these acquisitions.)

On December 1, 2004, Alltel completed the purchase of certain wireless assets from U. S. Cellular and TDS Telecommunications Corporation for \$148.2 million in cash, acquiring wireless properties with a potential service area covering approximately 584,000 POPs in Florida and Ohio. The Company also purchased partnership interests in seven Alltel-operated markets in Georgia, Mississippi, North Carolina, Ohio and Wisconsin. Prior to this acquisition, Alltel owned an approximate 42 percent interest in the Georgia market, with a potential service area covering approximately 229,000 POPs, and Alltel owned a majority interest in the Mississippi, North Carolina, Ohio and Wisconsin markets. On November 2, 2004, the Company purchased for \$35.6 million in cash wireless properties with a potential service area covering 275,000 POPs in south Louisiana from SJI, a privately held company. During the fourth quarter of 2004, Alltel also acquired additional ownership interests in wireless properties in Louisiana and Wisconsin in which the Company owned a majority interest in exchange for \$1.4 million in cash and a portion of the Company's ownership interest in a wireless partnership serving the St. Louis, Missouri market. Through these transactions, Alltel added approximately 92,000 wireless customers.

### CONSOLIDATED RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

(Millions, except per share amounts)	Three Months Ended		Nine Months Ended	
	September 30,		September 30,	
	2005	2004	2005	2004
<b>Revenues and sales:</b>				
Service revenues	\$ 2,229.4	\$ 1,885.4	\$ 6,116.9	\$ 5,476.9
Product sales	289.7	217.7	788.3	629.5
Total revenues and sales	<u>2,519.1</u>	<u>2,103.1</u>	<u>6,905.2</u>	<u>6,106.4</u>
<b>Costs and expenses:</b>				
Cost of services	719.7	624.4	2,006.9	1,769.4
Cost of products sold	343.7	262.6	933.6	775.9
Selling, general, administrative and other	470.9	373.6	1,298.9	1,121.7
Depreciation and amortization	389.0	324.7	1,078.5	967.2
Restructuring and other charges	18.9	-	18.9	51.8
Total costs and expenses	<u>1,942.2</u>	<u>1,585.3</u>	<u>5,336.8</u>	<u>4,686.0</u>
Operating income	576.9	517.8	1,568.4	1,420.4
Non-operating income, net	17.2	16.3	134.6	15.8
Interest expense	(83.4)	(86.7)	(246.4)	(265.0)
Gain on exchange or disposal of assets and other	30.5	-	218.8	-
Income from continuing operations before income taxes	541.2	447.4	1,675.4	1,171.2
Income taxes	206.1	143.7	625.2	415.1
Income from continuing operations	335.1	303.7	1,050.2	756.1
Income from discontinued operations, net of tax	26.0	19.5	26.0	19.5
Net income	<u>\$ 361.1</u>	<u>\$ 323.2</u>	<u>\$ 1,076.2</u>	<u>\$ 775.6</u>
<b>Basic earnings per share:</b>				
Income from continuing operations	\$ .92	\$ .99	\$ 3.21	\$ 2.45
Income from discontinued operations	.07	.06	.08	.06
Net income	<u>\$ .99</u>	<u>\$ 1.05</u>	<u>\$ 3.29</u>	<u>\$ 2.51</u>
<b>Diluted earnings per share:</b>				
Income from continuing operations	\$ .91	\$ .99	\$ 3.19	\$ 2.44
Income from discontinued operations	.07	.06	.08	.06
Net income	<u>\$ .98</u>	<u>\$ 1.05</u>	<u>\$ 3.27</u>	<u>\$ 2.50</u>

Total revenues and sales increased 20 percent, or \$416.0 million, and 13 percent, or \$798.8 million, for the three and nine month periods ended September 30, 2005, respectively, compared to the same periods of 2004. Service revenues increased by 18 percent, or \$344.0 million, and 12 percent, or \$640.0 million, in the 2005 periods as compared to the prior year. The acquisitions of wireless properties in the fourth quarter of 2004 and during the first nine months of 2005 previously discussed accounted for approximately \$243.9 million and \$318.0 million of the overall increases in service revenues in the three and nine month periods of 2005, respectively. In addition to the effects of the acquisitions, service revenues increased due to nonacquisition-related growth in Alltel's wireless customer base and the corresponding increases in wireless access revenues, which increased \$53.0 million and

\$208.3 million in the three and nine month periods ended September 30, 2005, respectively. Service revenues for both 2005 periods also reflected growth in revenues derived from wireless and wireline data services, which increased \$39.1 million and \$113.0 million in the three and nine month periods of 2005, respectively, primarily reflecting strong demand for these services and the effects of two large-scale promotions aimed at increasing text messaging usage. Wireless services revenues also included increases in regulatory and other fees of \$36.2 million and \$109.3 million in the three and nine month periods of 2005, respectively, due to additional Universal Service Fund ("USF") support received by Alltel reflecting an increase in the contribution factor, and additional revenues attributable to Alltel's certification in twelve states as an ETC, which accounted for \$21.2 million and \$61.7 million of the overall increases in regulatory fees in the three and nine month periods of 2005, respectively.

The above increases in service revenues were partially offset by lower wireless airtime revenues, reductions in revenues derived from telecommunications information services and decreases in wireline access and toll service revenues. Compared to the same periods of 2004, wireless airtime and retail roaming revenues decreased \$17.7 million and \$43.5 million in the three and nine month periods of 2005, respectively. The decreases in wireless airtime and retail roaming revenues primarily reflected the effects of customers migrating to rate plans with a larger number of packaged minutes. Such rate plans, for a flat monthly service fee, provide customers with a specified number of airtime minutes and include unlimited weekend, nighttime and mobile-to-mobile minutes at no extra charge. Wireline local access service and intrastate network access and toll revenues decreased \$22.0 million and \$57.9 million in the three and nine month periods of 2005, respectively, primarily as a result of the loss of wireline access lines due, in part, to broadband and wireless substitution. Telecommunications information services revenues decreased \$5.6 million and \$23.3 million in the three and nine month periods of 2005, respectively, compared to the same periods of 2004, due to the loss of one of Alltel's remaining unaffiliated wireline services customers during the fourth quarter of 2004.

Product sales increased \$72.0 million, or 33 percent, and \$158.8 million, or 25 percent, in the three and nine month periods ended September 30, 2005, respectively, compared to the same periods of 2004. The increases in product sales in both 2005 periods were primarily driven by higher retail prices realized on the sale of wireless handsets that include advanced features, such as picture messaging, and that are capable of downloading games, entertainment content, weather and office applications. In addition, the acquisitions of wireless properties accounted for \$12.4 million and \$15.6 million of the overall increases in product sales in the three and nine month periods of 2005, respectively.

Cost of services increased \$95.3 million, or 15 percent, and \$237.5 million, or 13 percent, in the three and nine month periods ended September 30, 2005, respectively, compared to the same periods of 2004. The wireless property acquisitions accounted for \$76.2 million and \$112.7 million of the overall increases in cost of services in the three and nine month periods of 2005, respectively. In addition to the effects of the acquisitions, cost of services for the three and nine month periods of 2005 reflected increases in wireless network-related costs of \$1.9 million and \$33.4 million, respectively, compared to the same periods of 2004, primarily due to increased network traffic resulting from customer growth, increased customer minutes of use and expansion of network facilities. In addition, payments to data content providers increased \$6.8 million and \$25.3 million for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2005, respectively, as compared to the prior year periods, consistent with the growth in wireless revenues derived from data services in those periods. Cost of services for the three and nine month periods of 2005 also reflected increases in wireless customer service expenses of \$8.2 million and \$26.1 million, respectively, primarily reflecting additional costs associated with Alltel's retention efforts focused on improving customer satisfaction and reducing postpay churn. In addition, cost of services for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2005 included increased regulatory fees of \$6.2 million and \$22.0 million, respectively, related primarily to an increase in the contribution factor applicable to universal service funding. Cost of services for the nine month period of 2005 also included \$19.8 million of incremental costs primarily related to a change in accounting for operating leases. Certain of the Company's operating lease agreements for cell sites and for office and retail locations include scheduled rent escalations during the initial lease term and/or during succeeding optional renewal periods. Prior to January 1, 2005, the Company had not recognized the scheduled increases in rent expense on a straight-line basis in accordance with the provisions of Statement of Financial Accounting Standards ("SFAS") No. 13, "Accounting for Leases", and Financial Accounting Standards Board ("FASB") Technical Bulletin No. 85-3, "Accounting for Operating Leases with Scheduled Rent Increases". The effects of this change, which are included in corporate expenses, were not material to the Company's previously reported consolidated results of operations, financial position or cash flows.

Cost of products sold increased \$81.1 million, or 31 percent, and \$157.7 million, or 20 percent, in the three and nine month periods ended September 30, 2005, respectively, compared to the same periods of 2004. The increases in both periods of 2005 were consistent with the overall growth in product sales noted above and reflected the sales of higher-priced wireless handsets and increased sales to resellers and other distributors. In addition, the wireless property additions discussed above accounted for \$21.2 million and \$27.3 million of the overall increases in cost of products sold for the three and nine month periods ended September 30, 2005, respectively.

Selling, general, administrative and other expenses increased \$97.3 million, or 26 percent, and \$177.2 million, or 16 percent, in the three and nine month periods ended September 30, 2005, respectively, compared to the same periods of 2004. The acquisitions of wireless properties accounted for \$51.8 million and \$69.0 million, respectively, of the overall increase in selling, general, administrative and other expenses in the three and nine month periods of 2005. Selling, general, administrative and other operating expenses also reflected increased advertising costs of \$5.3 million and \$14.7 million in the three and nine month periods of 2005, respectively, associated with two large-scale promotions aimed at increasing text messaging usage, as well as additional costs associated with Alltel's rebranding initiative. During the second quarter of 2005, Alltel launched a rebranding initiative that involved changing the Company logo, improving the design in Alltel's retail stores to be more customer friendly and initiating an advertising campaign focused on proof points highlighting Alltel's commitment to customer satisfaction. Alltel incurred \$9.5 million of incremental expenses associated with the rebranding initiative during the second quarter of 2005 and \$4.9 million in the third quarter of 2005 to complete the redesign of its retail stores. Increased insurance premiums related to the Company's employee medical and dental plans, additional costs associated with write-offs identified as a result of system improvements in the Company's cash processing procedures and higher audit fees and internal staffing costs incurred to comply with the Section 404 internal control reporting requirements of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 also contributed to the increase in selling, general, administrative and other operating expenses in the nine month period of 2005.

Depreciation and amortization expense increased \$64.3 million, or 20 percent, and \$111.3 million, or 11 percent, in the three and nine month periods ended September 30, 2005, respectively, compared to the same periods of 2004. The effects of the wireless property acquisitions accounted for \$45.0 million and \$52.6 million, respectively, of the overall increases in depreciation and amortization expense in the three and nine months ended September 30, 2005, and included amortization of customer lists of \$19.5 million and \$22.2 million in the three and nine month periods, respectively. The increases in depreciation and amortization expense in both periods of 2005 also reflected growth in wireless plant in service and the effects of a third quarter 2004 prospective change in the depreciable lives of certain wireless telecommunications equipment. The depreciable lives were shortened in response to the rapid pace of technological development and the increasing demands of Alltel's customers for new products and services.

Operating income increased \$59.1 million, or 11 percent, and \$148.0 million, or 10 percent, in the three and nine month periods ended September 30, 2005, respectively, compared to the same periods of 2004. The increases in both 2005 periods primarily reflected growth in wireless segment income resulting from the nonacquisition-related growth in revenues and sales discussed above, as well as the effects of the wireless property acquisitions, which accounted for \$62.1 million and \$72.0 million of the overall increases in operating income for the three and nine month periods of 2005, respectively. The increases in both 2005 periods attributable to the wireless operations were partially offset by a reduction in wireline segment income, reflecting the decline in wireline access lines discussed above and incremental operating expenses associated with Hurricane Katrina. During the third quarter of 2005, Alltel incurred \$10.2 million of incremental costs related to Hurricane Katrina consisting of increased long-distance and roaming expenses due to providing these services to affected customers at no charge, system maintenance costs to restore network facilities and additional losses from bad debts. These incremental costs, which are included in corporate expenses, also included Company donations to support the hurricane relief efforts. Operating income comparisons for the three and nine month periods of 2005 were also affected by the effects of restructuring and other charges, as further discussed below. The changes in wireless and wireline in 2005 are further discussed below under "Results of Operations by Business Segment".

### Restructuring and Other Charges

A summary of the restructuring and other charges recorded during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2005 was as follows:

<u>(Millions)</u>	<u>Wireless</u>	<u>Wireline</u>	<u>Total</u>
Severance and employee benefit costs	\$ -	\$ 4.6	\$ 4.6
Relocation costs	0.3	-	0.3
Computer system conversion and other integration expenses	<u>14.0</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>14.0</u>
Total restructuring and other charges	\$ 14.3	\$ 4.6	\$ 18.9

In connection with the exchange of wireless assets with Cingular, the Company incurred \$11.9 million of integration expenses, primarily consisting of handset subsidies incurred to migrate the acquired customer base to CDMA handsets. Alltel also incurred \$2.4 million of integration expenses related to its acquisition of Western Wireless, primarily consisting of computer system conversion and other integration costs. These expenses included internal payroll and employee benefit costs, contracted services, relocation expenses and other programming costs incurred in converting Western Wireless' customer billing and operational support systems to Alltel's internal systems, a process which is expected to be completed during the second quarter of 2006. In addition, the Company incurred \$4.6 million of severance and employee benefit costs related to a planned workforce reduction in its wireline operations. As of September 30, 2005, the Company had paid \$1.1 million in severance and employee-related expenses, and all of the employee reductions and relocations had been completed.

A summary of the restructuring and other charges recorded during the nine months ended September 30, 2004 was as follows:

<u>(Millions)</u>	<u>2004</u>
Severance and employee benefit costs	\$ 22.6
Relocation costs	4.8
Lease and contract termination costs	(1.5)
Write-down in carrying value of certain facilities	24.8
Other exit costs	<u>1.1</u>
Total restructuring and other charges	\$ 51.8

In January 2004, the Company announced its plans to reorganize its operations and support teams. Also, during February 2004, the Company announced its plans to exit its competitive local exchange carrier ("CLEC") operations in the Jacksonville, Florida market due to the continued unprofitability of these operations. In connection with these activities, Alltel recorded a restructuring charge of \$29.3 million consisting of \$22.9 million in severance and employee benefit costs related to a planned workforce reduction, \$4.8 million of employee relocation expenses, \$0.5 million in lease termination costs and \$1.1 million of other exit costs. The severance and employee benefit costs included a \$1.2 million payment to a former employee of Alltel's sold financial services division that became payable in the first quarter of 2004 pursuant to the terms of a change in control agreement between the employee and the Company. During the fourth quarter of 2004, Alltel recorded a \$0.9 million reduction in the liabilities associated with the restructuring efforts initiated in the first quarter of 2004, consisting of \$0.7 million in employee relocation expenses and \$0.2 million in severance and employee benefit costs. The reductions primarily reflected differences between estimated and actual costs paid in completing the employee relocations and terminations. As of September 30, 2005, the Company had paid \$22.7 million in severance and employee-related expenses, and all of the employee reductions and relocations had been completed. During the first quarter of 2004, Alltel also recorded a \$2.3 million reduction in the liabilities associated with various restructuring activities initiated prior to 2003, consisting of \$2.0 million in lease and contract termination costs and \$0.3 million in severance and employee benefit costs. The reductions primarily reflected differences between estimated and actual costs paid in completing the previous planned workforce reductions and lease and contract terminations. In the first quarter of 2004, the Company also recorded a write-down in the carrying value of certain corporate and regional facilities to fair value in conjunction with the 2004 reorganizational changes and the 2003 sale of the Company's financial services division to Fidelity National Financial Inc. ("Fidelity National").

At September 30, 2005, the remaining unpaid liability related to Alltel's restructuring activities consisted of severance and employee benefit costs of \$3.5 million and lease and contract termination costs of \$0.2 million. Cash outlays for the remaining unpaid liability will be disbursed over the next 12 months and will be funded from operating cash flows. (See Note 7 to the unaudited interim consolidated financial statements for additional information regarding the restructuring and other charges.)

Non-Operating Income, Net

(Millions)	Three Months Ended September 30,		Nine Months Ended September 30,	
	2005	2004	2005	2004
Equity earnings in unconsolidated partnerships	\$ 10.5	\$ 24.3	\$ 36.4	\$ 53.5
Minority interest in consolidated partnerships	(20.6)	(23.7)	(57.8)	(60.9)
Other income, net	27.3	15.7	156.0	23.2
Non-operating income, net	\$ 17.2	\$ 16.3	\$ 134.6	\$ 15.8

As indicated in the table above, non-operating income, net increased \$0.9 million and \$118.8 million in the three and nine months ended September 30, 2005, respectively, compared to the same periods of 2004. The decreases in equity earnings of \$13.8 million and \$17.1 million in the three and nine month periods of 2005, respectively, primarily reflected the effects of the wireless property exchange with Cingular and Alltel's December 1, 2004 acquisition of a majority ownership interest in a Georgia market in which the Company previously owned a minority interest. Minority interest expense decreased \$3.1 million in both the three and nine month periods of 2005 compared to the same periods of 2004 primarily due to the effects of Alltel's acquisitions during the fourth quarter of 2004 and the first nine months of 2005 of additional ownership interests in wireless properties in Louisiana, Michigan, Mississippi, North Carolina, Ohio and Wisconsin in which the Company owned a majority interest. The decreases in minority interest expense in both 2005 periods were partially offset by the effects of the growth in wireless segment income, as further discussed below under "Results of Operations by Business Segment".

The increase of \$11.6 million in other income, net for the three month period of 2005 included \$5.0 million of insurance proceeds received to offset expenses incurred by the Company related to Hurricane Katrina, as previously discussed. Compared to the same periods of 2004, other income, net for both 2005 periods included additional interest income earned on the Company's cash and short-term investments of \$3.8 million and \$12.0 million, respectively, due to significant growth in Alltel's available cash on hand following the May 17, 2005 issuance of common stock to settle the purchase contract obligation related to the equity units previously discussed. In addition to the effects of the insurance proceeds and growth in interest income, the increase of \$132.8 million in other income, net for the nine month period of 2005 also reflected increased dividend income earned on the Company's investment in Fidelity National common stock. On March 28, 2005, Alltel received a special \$10 per share cash dividend from Fidelity National totaling \$111.0 million. Other income, net for the nine months ended September 30, 2005 also included a \$2.4 million gain on the sale of investments in certain limited partnerships.

Interest Expense

Interest expense decreased \$3.3 million, or 4 percent, and \$18.6 million, or 7 percent, in the three and nine month periods ended September 30, 2005, respectively, compared to the same periods of 2004. The decreases in both 2005 periods primarily reflected the effects of the April 8, 2005 redemption of \$450.0 million, 7.50 percent senior notes, as further discussed below. Interest expense for the three and nine month periods of 2005 also reflected the effects of the February 17, 2005 remarketing of the senior note portion of Alltel's equity units that reset the annual interest rate on the notes to 4.656 percent from 6.25 percent for periods subsequent to May 17, 2005. Interest expense for the nine month period of 2005 was also favorably affected by the April 1, 2004 repayment of a \$250.0 million, 7.25 percent senior unsecured note. The decreases in interest expense in both 2005 periods attributable to the repayment of senior notes and resetting the annual interest rate on the equity units was partially offset by additional interest costs resulting from \$927.7 million of incremental commercial paper borrowings incurred by Alltel to finance a portion of the repayment of Western Wireless' long-term debt subsequent to the merger, as further discussed below under "Cash Flows-Financing Activities".

#### Gain on Exchange or Disposal of Assets and Other

As previously discussed, on April 15, 2005, Alltel and Cingular exchanged certain wireless assets. Primarily as a result of certain minority partners' rights-of-first-refusal, three of the wireless partnership interests to be exchanged between Alltel and Cingular were not completed until July 29, 2005. As a result of completing the exchange transactions, Alltel recorded pretax gains of \$127.5 million in the second quarter of 2005 and \$30.5 million in the third quarter of 2005. On April 6, 2005, Alltel completed the sale of all of its shares of Fidelity National common stock to Goldman Sachs for approximately \$350.8 million and recognized a pretax gain of approximately \$75.8 million. Proceeds from the stock sale were used to fund a substantial portion of the cost to redeem, on April 8, 2005, all of the issued and outstanding 7.50 percent senior notes due March 1, 2006, representing an aggregate principal amount of \$450.0 million. Concurrent with the debt redemption, Alltel also terminated the related pay variable/receive fixed, interest rate swap agreement that had been designated as a fair value hedge against the \$450.0 million senior notes. In connection with the early termination of the debt and interest rate swap agreement, Alltel incurred net pretax termination fees of approximately \$15.0 million. These transactions increased net income \$136.7 million or \$.42 per share in the nine month period ended September 30, 2005.

#### Income Taxes

Income tax expense increased \$62.4 million, or 43 percent, and \$210.1 million, or 51 percent, for the three and nine month periods ended September 30, 2005, respectively, compared to the same periods of 2004. The increase in income tax expense in both periods of 2005 was consistent with the overall growth in the Company's income before income taxes. The Company's effective income tax rates increased to 38.1 percent and 37.3 percent in the three and nine months ended September 30, 2005, compared to 32.1 percent and 35.4 percent for the corresponding periods of 2004, respectively. The Company's effective income tax rates in both 2004 periods were favorably affected by tax benefits associated with the reversal of income tax contingency reserves. During the third quarter of 2004, the Internal Revenue Service ("IRS") completed its fieldwork related to the audits of Alltel's consolidated federal income tax returns for the fiscal years 1997 through 2001. As a result of the IRS issuing its proposed audit adjustments related to the periods under examination, Alltel reassessed its income tax contingency reserves to reflect the IRS findings and recorded a \$129.3 million reduction in these reserves during the third quarter of 2004. The corresponding effects of the adjustments to the tax contingency reserves resulted in a reduction in goodwill of \$94.5 million and a reduction in income tax expense associated with continuing operations of \$19.7 million. The remaining \$15.1 million of the adjustments to the tax contingency reserves related to the sold financial services division and has been reported as discontinued operations in the Company's interim consolidated financial statements for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2004.

In determining its quarterly provision for income taxes, Alltel uses an estimated annual effective tax rate, which is based on the Company's expected annual income, statutory rates and tax planning opportunities and reflects Alltel's best estimate of the ultimate outcome of tax examinations and assessments. Significant or unusual items, such as the taxes related to the sale of a business, are separately recognized in the quarter in which they occur.

#### Net Income and Earnings per Share from Continuing Operations

Net income from continuing operations increased \$31.4 million, or 10 percent, and \$294.1 million, or 39 percent, for the three and nine month periods ended September 30, 2005, respectively, compared to the same periods of 2004. Basic and diluted earnings per share from continuing operations both increased 31 percent in the nine month period of 2005 and decreased 7 percent and 8 percent, respectively, in the three month period of 2005, compared to the same periods of 2004. The increase in net income in the three month period of 2005 primarily reflected growth in wireless segment income, reflecting the wireless property acquisitions, as well as growth in Alltel's heritage operations, and gains realized from the exchange of wireless properties with Cingular. These increases were partially offset by the effects of restructuring charges and incremental costs associated with Hurricane Katrina, as previously discussed. The decreases in basic and diluted earnings per share in the three month period of 2005 resulted from increases in weighted average share counts due to the equity unit conversion in May 2005 and the Western Wireless merger as further discussed below. The increases in net income and earnings per share in the nine month period of 2005 primarily reflected the gains realized from the exchange of wireless assets with Cingular and the sale of the Company's investment in Fidelity National common stock and growth in wireless segment income. These increases were partially offset by a reduction in wireline and communications support services segment income, reflecting the decline in wireline access lines and the loss of one of Alltel's remaining unaffiliated telecommunications information services customers. In addition to these factors, the increases in net income and earnings per share in the nine month period of 2005 also reflected the special cash dividend received from Fidelity National and the net decrease in restructuring and other charges incurred in 2005 compared to 2004. For a further discussion of the wireless, wireline and communication support services segment operating results, see "Results of Operations by Business Segment" below.

#### Weighted Average Common Shares Outstanding

The weighted average number of common shares outstanding increased 19 percent and 6 percent in the three and nine month periods ended September 30, 2005, compared to the same periods of 2004. The increases primarily reflected the issuance of approximately 54.3 million Alltel common shares to effect the merger with Western Wireless completed on August 1, 2005 and the issuance of 24.5 million Alltel common shares to settle the purchase contract portion of the Company's equity units on May 17, 2005. The increase in weighted average share counts attributable to the equity units and Western Wireless merger were partially offset by Alltel's repurchase of approximately 11.2 million of its common shares during 2004.

#### Discontinued Operations

As a condition of receiving approval for the merger from the DOJ and FCC, Alltel agreed to divest certain wireless operations of Western Wireless in 16 markets in Arkansas, Kansas and Nebraska, as well as the "Cellular One" brand. On September 13, 2005, Alltel announced an agreement to exchange wireless properties with U.S. Cellular that included a substantial portion of the divestiture requirements related to the merger. On September 15, 2005, Alltel completed the sale of Western Wireless' international operations in Georgia and Ghana. Alltel also has pending definitive agreements to sell the Western Wireless international operations in Ireland and Austria, and the Company is actively pursuing the disposition of all remaining international operations acquired from Western Wireless. The acquired international operations and interests of Western Wireless and the 16 domestic markets to be divested by Alltel have been classified as assets held for sale and discontinued operations in the accompanying consolidated financial statements.

The table presented below includes certain summary income statement information related to the international operations and the domestic markets to be divested reflected as discontinued operations for both the three and nine months ended September 30, 2005. The income tax benefit recorded in 2004 included the reversal of \$15.1 million of federal income tax contingency reserves attributable to the financial services division that was sold by Alltel to Fidelity National on April 1, 2003, as previously discussed. In connection with the IRS audits of the Company's consolidated federal income tax returns for the fiscal years 1997 through 2001, the Company also recorded a foreign tax credit carryback benefit of \$4.4 million.

(Millions)	Three Months Ended		Nine Months Ended	
	2005	2004	2005	2004
Revenues and sales	\$ 194.1	\$ -	\$ 194.1	\$ -
Operating expenses	<u>132.6</u>	-	<u>132.6</u>	-
Operating income	61.5	-	61.5	-
Other expense, net	<u>(2.2)</u>	-	<u>(2.2)</u>	-
Pretax income from discontinued operations	59.3	-	59.3	-
Income tax expense (benefit)	<u>33.3</u>	<u>(19.5)</u>	<u>33.3</u>	<u>(19.5)</u>
Income from discontinued operations	\$ 26.0	\$ 19.5	\$ 26.0	\$ 19.5

The depreciation of long-lived assets related to the international operations and the domestic markets to be divested ceased as of August 1, 2005, the date of the Western Wireless merger with Alltel. The cessation of depreciation had the effect of reducing operating expenses by approximately \$21.4 million in 2005. (See Note 10 to the unaudited interim consolidated financial statements for additional information regarding the discontinued operations.)

## RESULTS OF OPERATIONS BY BUSINESS SEGMENT

### Communications-Wireless Operations

(Millions, customers in thousands)	Three Months Ended September 30,		Nine Months Ended September 30,	
	2005	2004	2005	2004
<b>Revenues and sales:</b>				
Service revenues	\$ 1,606.5	\$ 1,239.4	\$ 4,252.0	\$ 3,538.5
Product sales	101.9	74.3	263.7	212.8
Total revenues and sales	<u>1,708.4</u>	<u>1,313.7</u>	<u>4,515.7</u>	<u>3,751.3</u>
<b>Costs and expenses:</b>				
Cost of services	514.9	406.6	1,374.4	1,144.4
Cost of products sold	179.8	139.3	478.9	418.9
Selling, general, administrative and other	379.8	294.0	1,034.0	882.8
Depreciation and amortization	257.9	186.2	673.9	545.1
Total costs and expenses	<u>1,332.4</u>	<u>1,026.1</u>	<u>3,561.2</u>	<u>2,991.2</u>
Segment income	\$ 376.0	\$ 287.6	\$ 954.5	\$ 760.1
Customers	10,424.7	8,394.7	-	-
Average customers	9,956.7	8,369.8	9,229.6	8,234.5
Gross customer additions (a)	2,065.9	642.0	3,595.2	2,029.5
Net customer additions (a)	1,357.2	58.3	1,798.2	371.3
Market penetration	13.8%	13.7%	-	-
Postpay customer churn	1.92%	1.80%	1.75%	1.77%
Total churn	2.37%	2.33%	2.17%	2.24%
Retail minutes of use per customer per month (b)	614	516	586	480
Retail revenue per customer per month (c)	\$48.08	\$45.24	\$46.69	\$44.00
Average revenue per customer per month (d)	\$53.78	\$49.36	\$51.19	\$47.75
Cost to acquire a new customer (e)	\$348	\$318	\$336	\$310

#### Notes:

- (a) Includes the effects of acquisitions. Excludes reseller customers for all periods presented.
- (b) Represents the average monthly minutes that Alltel's customers use on both the Company's network and while roaming on other carriers' networks.
- (c) Retail revenue per customer is calculated by dividing wireless retail revenues by average customers for the period. A reconciliation of the revenues used in computing retail revenue per customer per month was as follows for the three and nine month periods ended September 30:

(Millions)	Three Months Ended		Nine Months Ended	
	2005	2004	2005	2004
Service revenues	\$ 1,606.5	\$ 1,239.4	\$ 4,252.0	\$ 3,538.5
Less wholesale revenues	(170.2)	(103.4)	(373.5)	(277.8)
Total retail revenues	<u>\$ 1,436.3</u>	<u>\$ 1,136.0</u>	<u>\$ 3,878.5</u>	<u>\$ 3,260.7</u>

- (d) Average revenue per customer per month is calculated by dividing wireless service revenues by average customers for the period.
- (e) Cost to acquire a new customer is calculated by dividing the sum of product sales, cost of products sold and sales and marketing expenses (included within "Selling, general, administrative and other"), as reported above, by the number of internal gross customer additions in the period. Customer acquisition costs exclude amounts related to the Company's customer retention efforts. A reconciliation of the revenues, expenses and customer additions used in computing cost to acquire a new customer was as follows for the three and nine month periods ended September 30:

(Millions, customers in thousands)	Three Months Ended		Nine Months Ended	
	2005	2004	2005	2004
Product sales	\$ (61.6)	\$ (55.2)	\$(162.5)	\$(159.3)
Cost of products sold	83.8	78.0	216.0	242.2
Sales and marketing expense	231.9	181.5	615.8	545.3
Total costs incurred to acquire new customers	<u>\$ 254.1</u>	<u>\$ 204.3</u>	<u>\$ 669.3</u>	<u>\$ 628.2</u>
Gross customer additions, excluding acquisitions	729.6	642.0	1,992.4	2,029.5
Cost to acquire a new customer	<u>\$348</u>	<u>\$318</u>	<u>\$336</u>	<u>\$310</u>

The total number of wireless customers served by Alltel increased by almost 2,030,000 customers, or 24 percent, during the twelve month period ended September 30, 2005. As previously discussed, on August 1, 2005, Alltel completed the acquisition of Western Wireless. In addition, during the first nine months of 2005, Alltel exchanged certain wireless properties with Cingular and purchased wireless properties from PS Cellular. During the fourth quarter of 2004, Alltel purchased wireless properties in Florida, Georgia, Louisiana and Ohio. The acquired properties accounted for approximately 1,695,000 of the overall increase in wireless customers during the twelve month period ended September 30, 2005. Excluding the effects of acquisitions, Alltel added 77,000 net postpay wireless customers and lost 17,000 net prepaid customers during the third quarter of 2005. In the Western Wireless markets, net customer additions for the quarter were 28,000, which includes the addition of 25,000 customers resulting from conforming these markets to Alltel's disconnect policies. Conversely, in the markets acquired from Cingular, PS Cellular and those markets acquired in the fourth quarter of 2004, the Company incurred net losses of 67,000 customers primarily due to transition issues, as further discussed below. Excluding acquisitions, net customer additions for the third quarter of 2005 were 21,000 and totaled approximately 195,000 in the nine months ended September 30, 2005. Sales of Alltel's higher-yield Total and National Freedom rate plans accounted for approximately 34 percent and 35 percent of the gross additions during the three and nine month periods of 2005, respectively. At September 30, 2005, customers on the Company's Total and National Freedom rate plans represented approximately 41 percent of Alltel's wireless customer base. Overall, the Company's wireless market penetration rate (number of customers as a percent of the total population in Alltel's service areas) increased slightly to 13.8 percent as of September 30, 2005.

In terms of the acquired Cingular and PS Cellular markets, as expected, Alltel experienced customer losses, which primarily resulted from transition issues, such as rebranding and deploying a CDMA network to replace the existing GSM/TDMA network in those markets. Alltel has completed deployment of a CDMA network in all but one of the acquired Cingular markets and plans to transition the entire customer base to CDMA handsets by the end of 2005, because Alltel's use of the existing Cingular GSM/TDMA network will be discontinued by year-end. In completing these integration efforts, Alltel incurred approximately \$10.0 million in incremental handset subsidies during the third quarter of 2005, and expects to incur an additional \$10.0 million to \$15.0 million in incremental handset subsidies during the fourth quarter of 2005. The impact of the incremental handset subsidies was included in restructuring and other charges, and accordingly, is not reflected in the results of operations of the wireless segment discussed below.

The level of customer growth throughout the remainder of 2005 will be dependent upon the Company's ability to attract new customers and retain existing customers in a competitive marketplace, which is currently supporting up to seven competitors in each market. The Company will continue to focus its efforts on sustaining value-added customer growth by improving service quality and customer satisfaction, managing its distribution channels and customer segments, offering attractively priced rate plans and new or enhanced services and other features, selling additional services to existing customers and integrating acquired operations, including the merger with Western Wireless previously discussed.

The Company continues to focus its efforts on lowering postpay customer churn (average monthly rate of customer disconnects). To improve customer retention, Alltel continues to upgrade its telecommunications network in order to offer expanded network coverage and quality and to provide enhanced service offerings to its customers. In addition, the Company has increased the number of its customers under contract through the offering of competitively priced rate plans, proactively analyzing customer usage patterns and migrating customers to newer digital handsets. The Company believes that its improvements in customer service levels, digital network expansion and proactive retention efforts contributed to the decrease in postpay customer churn in the nine month period of 2005 compared to the same period of 2004. Primarily due to the declines in postpay churn, total churn also decreased in the nine month period of 2005 compared to the same period of 2004. Postpay customer and total churn for the three month period of 2005 both increased from the same period a year ago primarily due to customer losses sustained in the acquired markets as discussed above. Total churn for the three month period of 2005 also reflected the net loss of 17,000 prepay customers previously discussed.

Wireless revenues and sales increased \$394.7 million, or 30 percent, and \$764.4 million, or 20 percent, for the three and nine month periods ended September 30, 2005, respectively, compared to the same periods of 2004. Service revenues also increased 30 percent and 20 percent, or \$367.1 million and \$713.5 million, in the three and nine month periods of 2005, respectively, compared to the same periods of 2004. The acquisitions of wireless properties previously discussed accounted for approximately \$256.3 million and \$333.6 million of the increases in wireless revenues and sales, and \$243.9 million and \$318.0 million of the increases in service revenues in the three and nine month periods of 2005, respectively. In addition to the effects of the acquisitions, service revenues also reflected increases in wireless access revenues, which increased \$53.0 million and \$208.2 million in the three and nine month

periods ended September 30, 2005, respectively, as compared to the same prior year periods, primarily driven by nonacquisition-related customer growth. Service revenues for both 2005 periods also reflected growth in revenues derived from wireless data services, including text and picture messaging and downloadable applications, such as games, ringtones, wallpaper and office applications. Revenues from data services increased by more than 120 percent, or \$31.8 million, and more than 150 percent, or \$93.2 million, in the three and nine month periods of 2005, respectively, reflecting strong demand for these services and the effects of a large-scale promotion surrounding Super Bowl XXXIX and the "Txt2Win \$1 Million Home Sweepstakes" promotion completed in July 2005, both aimed at increasing text messaging usage. During 2005, Alltel also launched several operational initiatives, including offering an industry-first pricing plan for wireless data services which allows customers to combine video, picture and text messaging services for one flat rate, selling portable BlackBerry® devices in its retail stores and offering national coverage for the BlackBerry® device and other 1XRTT data services through a roaming agreement with Verizon Wireless. In addition, Alltel expanded its 1XRTT data footprint and through October 30, 2005 had deployed EV-DO services in eight markets.

Wireless service revenues also included increases in regulatory and other fee revenues of \$36.2 million and \$109.3 million in the three and nine month periods of 2005, respectively, compared to the same periods in 2004. Regulatory fees in 2005 included USF support received by Alltel pursuant to its certification in twelve states as an ETC, and accounted for \$21.2 million and \$61.7 million of the overall increases in regulatory fees in the three and nine month periods of 2005, respectively. After deducting the portion of USF subsidies distributed to its partners in wireless markets operated in partnership with other companies, Alltel expects to receive in 2005, on a quarterly basis, net USF subsidies in its heritage wireless business of approximately \$25.0 million to \$30.0 million. In addition, during the fourth quarter of 2005, Alltel expects to receive approximately \$20.0 million in USF subsidies in the businesses acquired from Western Wireless pursuant to its certification in an additional eleven states as an ETC. The increase in regulatory fees in both periods of 2005 also reflected additional amounts billed to customers to offset costs related to certain regulatory mandates, which have increased consistent with the overall growth in customers and also reflected an increase in the contribution factor applicable to universal service funding. Growth in revenues from the sale of wireless equipment protection plans and automotive roadside assistance services also contributed to the growth in service revenues during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2005. Revenues from these services increased \$10.4 million and \$26.9 million, respectively, compared to the same prior year periods, reflecting continued demand for these services. Wholesale wireless revenues also increased \$13.1 million and \$28.8 million in the three and nine month periods of 2005, respectively, from the same periods in 2004, primarily due to strong growth in CDMA minutes of use and stability in the volumes of TDMA and analog minutes of use by other carriers' customers roaming on Alltel's wireless network.

The increase in service revenues in the three and nine month periods of 2005 attributable to increased access revenues from customer growth including the effects of acquisitions, additional revenues earned from data services, increased regulatory and other fees, and growth in wholesale revenues were partially offset by declines of \$17.7 million and \$43.5 million, respectively, in airtime and retail roaming revenues. In addition, revenues derived from sales of enhanced features, including caller identification, call waiting and voice mail, decreased by \$6.5 million and \$24.5 million, respectively, in the three and nine month periods of 2005 as compared to the same periods in 2004. The decreases in airtime, retail roaming and feature revenues primarily reflected the effects of customers migrating to rate plans with a larger number of packaged minutes that, for a flat monthly service fee, provide customers with a specified number of airtime minutes and include at no extra charge unlimited weekend, nighttime and mobile-to-mobile minutes and certain enhanced features.

Primarily due to Alltel's continued focus on quality customer growth, improvements in data revenues and additional ETC subsidies, average revenue per customer per month and retail revenue per customer per month both increased in the three and nine month periods of 2005 compared to the same periods of 2004. Retail revenue per customer per month increased 6 percent in both periods of 2005, to \$48.08 and \$46.69, respectively. Average revenue per customer per month increased 9 percent in the three month period of 2005, to \$53.78, and 7 percent in the nine month period of 2005, to \$51.19. Excluding the acquired markets, both average revenue per customer and retail revenue per customer increased 5 percent from the same period a year ago, reflecting Alltel's continued focus on quality customer growth, improvements in data revenues and additional ETC subsidies. Growth in service revenues and average revenue per customer per month for the remainder of 2005 will depend upon Alltel's ability to effectively integrate acquired operations and maintain market share in a competitive marketplace by adding new customers, retaining existing customers, increasing customer usage, and continuing to sell data services.

Product sales increased \$27.6 million, or 37 percent, and \$50.9 million, or 24 percent, in the three and nine month periods ended September 30, 2005, respectively, compared to the same periods of 2004. The acquisitions previously discussed accounted for \$12.4 million and \$15.6 million of the overall increases in product sales in the three and

nine months of 2005, respectively. In addition to the effects of acquisitions, product sales increased in both 2005 periods primarily due to higher retail prices for wireless handsets that include advanced features, such as picture messaging, and that are capable of downloading games, entertainment content, weather and office applications. The increases in product sales in both 2005 periods also reflected the continued retention efforts by the Company focused on migrating existing wireless customers to new wireless technologies.

Cost of services increased \$108.3 million, or 27 percent, and \$230.0 million, or 20 percent, in the three and nine month periods ended September 30, 2005, respectively, compared to the same periods of 2004. The wireless property acquisitions accounted for \$76.2 million and \$112.7 million of the overall increases in cost of services in the three and nine month periods of 2005, respectively. In addition to the effects of acquisitions, cost of services for the three and nine month periods of 2005 also reflected higher network-related costs of \$1.9 million and \$33.4 million, respectively, compared to the same periods of 2004, resulting from increased network traffic due to nonacquisition-related customer growth, increased minutes of use and expansion of network facilities. Compared to the same prior year periods, payments to data content providers increased \$6.8 million and \$25.3 million for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2005, respectively, consistent with the growth in revenues derived from data services discussed above. Cost of services for the three and nine month periods of 2005 also included increased regulatory fees of \$5.1 million and \$17.9 million, respectively, primarily related to various regulatory mandates, including USF, consistent with the growth in revenues derived from regulatory fees discussed above. When compared to the same periods of 2004, cost of services for the three and nine month periods of 2005 also reflected increases in customer service expenses of \$8.2 million and \$26.1 million, respectively, primarily reflecting additional costs associated with Alltel's retention efforts focused on improving customer satisfaction and reducing postpay churn. In addition, cost of services for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2005 included additional bad debt expense of \$10.5 million and \$14.9 million, respectively, when compared to the same periods in the prior year, primarily due to non-acquisition growth in customers and increased write-offs associated with early disconnect penalties.

Cost of products sold increased \$40.5 million, or 29 percent, and \$60.0 million, or 14 percent, for the three and nine month periods ended September 30, 2005, respectively, compared to the same periods of 2004. The wireless acquisitions accounted for \$21.2 million and \$27.3 million of the overall increases in cost of products sold in the three and nine month periods of 2005, respectively. In addition to the effects of the acquisitions, cost of products sold for both 2005 periods also reflected sales of higher-priced wireless handsets and the Company's continuing customer retention efforts, which include subsidizing the cost of new handsets provided to existing customers before the expiration of their service contracts. These increases were partially offset by the effects of additional vendor rebates earned by Alltel for attaining specified purchase volumes with the Company's wireless handset vendors.

Selling, general, administrative and other expenses increased \$85.8 million, or 29 percent, and \$151.2 million, or 17 percent, for the three and nine month periods ended September 30, 2005, respectively, compared to the same periods of 2004. The wireless property acquisitions accounted for \$51.8 million and \$69.0 million of the overall increases in these expenses in the three and nine month periods of 2005, respectively. In addition to the effects of acquisitions, selling, general, administrative and other operating expenses also reflected increased advertising costs of \$5.3 million and \$14.7 million in the three and nine month periods of 2005, respectively, associated with two large-scale promotions aimed at increasing text messaging usage, as discussed above. Selling, general, administrative and other expenses for the three and nine months of 2005 also include additional costs associated with Alltel's rebranding initiative previously discussed. Alltel incurred \$9.5 million of incremental expenses associated with the rebranding initiative during the second quarter of 2005 and \$4.9 million in the third quarter of 2005 to complete the redesign of its retail stores. In addition, the Company expects to incur approximately \$2.0 million of incremental expenses during the fourth quarter of 2005 to roll out the rebranding to its agents. The increase in selling, general, administrative and other expenses in both 2005 periods was also due to an increase in the costs associated with Alltel's wireless equipment protection plans, consistent with the associated increase in revenues discussed above. Also contributing to the increase in selling, general, administrative and other costs in the three months ended September 30, 2005 was increased commission expense of \$6.3 million, primarily reflecting a higher mix of postpay gross additions, as compared to the same period a year ago. Increased insurance premiums related to the Company's employee medical and dental plans, additional costs associated with write-offs identified as a result of system improvements in the Company's cash processing procedures and higher audit fees and internal staffing costs incurred in complying with the Section 404 internal control reporting requirements of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 also contributed to the increase in selling, general, administrative and other expenses in the nine month period of 2005.

Depreciation and amortization expense increased \$71.7 million, or 39 percent, and \$128.8 million, or 24 percent, for the three and nine month periods ended September 30, 2005, respectively, compared to the same periods of 2004.

Depreciation and amortization expense increased in both 2005 periods primarily due to growth in wireless plant in

service and the effects of a third quarter 2004 prospective change in the depreciable lives of certain wireless telecommunications equipment. The depreciable lives were shortened in response to the rapid pace of technological development and the increasing demands of Alltel's customers for new products and services. Additionally, the wireless property acquisitions accounted for \$45.0 million and \$52.6 million of the overall increase in depreciation and amortization expense in the three and nine month periods ended September 30, 2005, respectively, and included amortization of customer lists of \$19.5 million and \$22.2 million in the three and nine month periods, respectively.

Wireless segment income increased \$88.4 million, or 31 percent, and \$194.4 million, or 26 percent, for the three and nine month periods ended September 30, 2005, respectively, compared to the same periods of 2004, driven primarily by the nonacquisition-related growth in revenues and sales discussed above. The wireless property acquisitions accounted for \$62.1 million and \$72.0 million, respectively, of the overall increases in wireless segment income in the three and nine month periods of 2005. In addition, wireless segment income comparisons for the nine month period of 2005 also reflected the favorable effects on customer acquisition costs due to vendor rebates.

The cost to acquire a new wireless customer represents sales, marketing and advertising costs and the net equipment cost, if any, for each new customer added. The increases in cost to acquire a new customer in the three and nine month periods of 2005 primarily reflected additional advertising and commissions costs, incremental expenses related to Alltel's rebranding initiative and increased promotional activities in the acquired markets, as previously discussed. The increases in cost to acquire a new customer attributable to these factors were partially offset by improved margins on the sales of wireless handsets, reflecting the favorable effects of selling higher-priced phones, and to a lesser extent, vendor rebates. For the nine months ended September 30, 2005 and 2004, approximately 61 percent and 66 percent, respectively, of the wireless gross customer additions came through Alltel's internal distribution channels. Alltel's internal distribution channels include Company retail stores and kiosks located in shopping malls, other retail outlets and mass merchandisers. Incremental sales costs at a Company retail store or kiosk are significantly lower than commissions paid to dealers. Although Alltel intends to manage the costs of acquiring new customers throughout the remainder of 2005 by continuing to enhance its internal distribution channels, the Company will also continue to utilize its large dealer network.

Set forth below is a summary of the restructuring and other charges related to the wireless operations that were not included in the determination of segment income for the three and nine months ended September 30:

(Millions)	Three Months Ended		Nine Months Ended	
	2005	2004	2005	2004
Severance and employee benefit costs	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 8.8
Relocation costs	0.3	-	0.3	3.2
Lease and contract termination costs	-	-	-	0.5
Write-down in carrying value of certain facilities	-	-	-	0.7
Computer system conversion and other integration expenses	14.0	-	14.0	-
Other exit costs	-	-	-	0.4
<b>Total restructuring and other charges</b>	<b>\$ 14.3</b>	<b>\$ -</b>	<b>\$ 14.3</b>	<b>\$ 13.6</b>

#### Regulatory Matters-Wireless Operations

##### Regulatory Oversight

Alltel is subject to regulation by the FCC as a provider of Commercial Mobile Radio Services ("CMRS"). The FCC's regulatory oversight consists of ensuring that wireless service providers are complying with the Communications Act of 1934, as amended (the "Communications Act"), and the FCC's regulations governing technical standards, spectrum usage, license requirements, market structure, consumer protection, including public safety issues like enhanced 911 emergency service ("E-911") and the Communications Assistance for Law Enforcement Act ("CALEA"), and environmental matters governing tower siting. State public service commissions are pre-empted under the Communications Act from regulatory oversight of wireless carriers' market entry and retail rates, but, they are entitled to address certain consumer protection matters concerning wireless service providers. Recently, various state public service commissions have attempted to expand their role in regulating wireless service beyond basic consumer protection to include, for example, billing practices. At this time, the Company cannot estimate the impact that increased state regulatory oversight would have on its operations in the event state public service commissions are successful.

### Telecommunications Law Modernization

In 1996, Congress passed the Telecommunications Act of 1996 ("the 96 Act"), which significantly changed the existing laws and regulations governing the telecommunications industry. The primary goal of the 96 Act was to create competition in the wireline market by requiring incumbent local exchange carriers ("ILECs") to sell portions of their networks to competitors at reduced wholesale rates. The 96 Act also established rules for interconnecting wireline and wireless service providers' networks. Unfortunately, the 96 Act failed to contemplate the rapid evolution of technology and the associated consumer demand for wireless services, the Internet and voice-over-Internet-protocol ("VoIP"). Today, providers of communications services are regulated differently depending primarily upon the network technology used to deliver service. This "patchwork" regulatory approach unfairly advantages certain companies and disadvantages others, which impedes market-based competition where service providers, regardless of technology, exchange telecommunications traffic between their networks and are competing for the same customers.

In an effort to reform the "patchwork" regulatory approach, two separate telecommunications bills were recently introduced in the U.S. Senate. The first bill, entitled the "Broadband Investment and Consumer Choice Act", was introduced on July 27, 2005. This bill reduces the level of government regulation within the telecommunications industry in favor of market-based competition and provides for parity in the remaining rules for functionally equivalent services, like broadband access to the Internet via DSL, cable modem and other means. Another bill, entitled "the Universal Service for the 21st Century Act", was introduced on July 29, 2005. This bill changes the way telecommunications companies contribute to the universal service fund, establishes limited support for broadband investment in unserved areas and calls for the FCC to establish inter-carrier compensation reform within six months of enactment. There will likely be additional bills submitted for consideration in the future as Congress evaluates changing the regulatory environment in the telecommunications industry. Alltel strongly supports telecommunications modernization but, at this time, cannot predict the outcome of these efforts.

### Universal Service

To ensure affordable access to telecommunications services throughout the United States, the FCC and many state commissions administer universal service programs. CMRS providers are required to contribute to the federal USF and are required to contribute to some state universal service funds. The rules and methodology under which carriers contribute to the federal fund are the subject of an ongoing FCC rulemaking in which a change from the current interstate revenue-based system to some other system based upon line capacity or utilized numbers is being considered. Under FCC rules, CMRS providers also are eligible to receive support from the federal USF if they obtain certification as an ETC.

The Company is designated as an ETC and receiving USF support in the following states: Alabama, Arkansas, California, Colorado, Florida, Georgia, Iowa, Kansas, Louisiana, Michigan, Minnesota, Mississippi, Montana, Nevada, New Mexico, North Carolina, North Dakota, South Dakota, Texas, Virginia, West Virginia, Wisconsin, and Wyoming. The Communications Act and FCC regulations require that universal service receipts be used to provision, maintain and upgrade the networks that provide the supported services. Additionally, the Company accepted certain federal and state reporting requirements and other obligations as a condition of the ETC certifications. As of September 30, 2005, the Company is compliant with the FCC regulations and all of the federal and state reporting requirements and other obligations. Alltel received \$45.1 million of gross USF subsidies in the third quarter of 2005 related to the ETC certifications and net USF subsidies of approximately \$42.7 million after deducting the portion of USF subsidies distributed to its unaffiliated partners in certain markets. Alltel expects to receive net USF subsidies of between \$45 million and \$50 million in the fourth quarter of 2005.

The federal universal service program is under legislative, regulatory and industry scrutiny as a result of growth in the fund and structural changes within the telecommunications industry. The structural changes include an increase in the number of ETCs receiving support from the USF and a migration of customers from wireline service providers to providers using alternative technologies, like VoIP that, today, are not required to contribute to the universal service program. There are several FCC proceedings underway that are likely to change the way universal service programs are funded and the way these funds are disbursed to program recipients. The specific proceedings are discussed in greater detail below.

On March 17, 2005, the FCC issued an order strengthening the conditions for telecommunications carriers to receive and maintain ETC designation. The new standards are mandatory when the FCC is responsible for evaluating ETC applications and recommended when state regulatory agencies are responsible for evaluating ETC applications. Effective October 1, 2006, the new standards require ETCs to: (1) provide a five-year plan demonstrating how support will be used to improve coverage, service quality or capacity, including annual progress reports; (2) demonstrate the network's ability to remain functional in emergencies; (3) demonstrate how they will satisfy

consumer and quality standards; (4) offer "local-usage" plans comparable to the ILEC; and (5) acknowledge that they may be required to provide equal access to interexchange carriers in the event they become the sole ETC within a designated service area. The new standards are not expected to affect the Company's universal service receipts. Further, additional certification requirements were imposed on ETC recipients. Some states have adopted, or are considering adopting, the same or similar requirements. The new requirements in the order are subject to both reconsideration requests pending at the FCC and judicial appeals.

On June 14, 2005, the FCC issued a notice of proposed rulemaking initiating a broad inquiry into the management and administration of the universal service programs. The notice of proposed rulemaking seeks comment on ways to streamline the application process for federal support and whether and how to increase audits of fund contributors and fund recipients to deter waste and fraud. The FCC is also considering proposals regarding the contribution methodology, which could change the category of service providers that contribute to the fund and the basis upon which they contribute. At this time, Alltel cannot estimate the impact that the potential changes, if any, would have on its operations.

Finally, the FCC mandated that, effective October 1, 2004, the Universal Service Administrative Company ("USAC") begin accounting for the USF program in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles for federal agencies, rather than the accounting rules that USAC formerly used. This change in accounting method subjected USAC to the Anti-Deficiency Act (the "ADA"), the effect of which could have caused delays in payments to USF program recipients and significantly increased the amount of USF regulatory fees charged to wireline and wireless consumers. In December 2004, Congress passed legislation to exempt USAC from the ADA for one year to allow for a more thorough review of the impact the ADA would have on the universal service program. In April 2005, the FCC tentatively concluded that the high-cost and low-income programs of the universal service fund comply with ADA requirements, and has asked the Office of Management and Budget ("OMB") to make a final determination on this issue. Additionally, Congress is contemplating a permanent solution to alleviate the ADA issues and the related negative impact to the universal service program.

#### E-911

Wireless service providers are required by the FCC to provide E-911 in a two-phased approach. In phase one, carriers must, within six months after receiving a request from a phase one enabled Public Safety Answering Point ("PSAP"), deliver both the caller's number and the location of the cell site to the PSAP serving the geographic territory from which the E-911 call originated. A phase one-enabled PSAP is generally one that is capable of receiving and utilizing the number and cell site location data transmitted by the carrier. Alltel has generally complied with the phase one requirements and provides service to phase one capable PSAPs. As a result of certain technology and deployment issues, the six-month window in which service is to be provided under the FCC rules has, in certain instances and in accordance with the rules, been extended by mutual agreement between Alltel and the particular PSAPs.

In phase two, CMRS carriers like Alltel that have opted for a handset-based solution must determine the location of the caller within 50 meters for 67 percent of the originated calls and 150 meters for 95 percent of the originated calls and deploy Automatic Location Identification ("ALI") capable handsets according to specified thresholds. The phase two handset deployment requirements were set to begin by October 1, 2001, but, because of certain technology and other factors, the Company requested a limited waiver of these requirements, as did virtually every other carrier. On July 26, 2002, the FCC released an order granting a temporary stay of the E-911 rules as they applied to the Company (the "FCC Order"). The FCC Order provides for a phased-in deployment of ALI-capable handsets that began on March 1, 2003. ALI capability permits more accurate identification of the caller's location by PSAPs. Under the FCC Order, the Company was required to: (1) begin selling and activating ALI-capable handsets prior to March 1, 2003; (2) ensure that, as of May 31, 2003, at least 25 percent of all new handsets activated were ALI-capable; (3) ensure that, as of November 30, 2003, at least 50 percent of all new handsets activated were ALI-capable; (4) ensure that, as of May 31, 2004, 100 percent of its new digital handsets activated were ALI-capable; and (5) ensure that at least 95 percent of its customers have ALI-capable handsets by December 31, 2005.

On April 1, 2005, the FCC released an order disposing of numerous E-911 waiver requests filed by a group of Tier III wireless carriers (no more than 500,000 customers as of December 31, 2001) requesting the FCC to extend the December 31, 2005, deadline for meeting the 95 percent handset requirement. While stressing the importance of E-911 compliance, the FCC provided certain of these carriers with greater latitude to comply with handset deployment dates and to accommodate transitions to alternative digital technologies. While it is uncertain how the April 1, 2005, order may affect the FCC's consideration of waiver requests filed by larger carriers, the order indicates FCC flexibility on E-911 compliance matters where the requesting carrier makes a detailed showing of special circumstances and provides a detailed proposal outlining a realistic path to future compliance. The April 1, 2005

order also imposed an E-911 obligation to deliver ALI data for carriers providing only roaming services. In the acquired Western Wireless properties, Alltel operates roaming only platforms in certain markets where it is also capable of providing ALI data over the CDMA platform used to service its subscribers. While Alltel believes that its current operations, including its roaming operations, comply with the FCC's E-911 requirements and that its case is distinguishable from that noted in the April 1, 2005 order, the nature of any obligation for Alltel to provide Phase II E-911 ALI data on the roaming networks obtained from Western Wireless has not been determined.

On June 30, 2005, CTIA-The Wireless Association ("CTIA") and Rural Cellular Association filed a Joint Petition for Suspension or Waiver of the Location-Capable Handset Penetration Deadline with the FCC. The petition recommends that the FCC adopt a framework for individual carriers to use in order to streamline potential future waiver requests. While the joint petition requested an overall suspension of the December 31, 2005 deadline, it also outlined several factors and circumstances for the FCC to consider in evaluating future waiver requests. To date, the FCC has taken no action on the CTIA petition. The Company cannot determine if the FCC will take any action on this petition, or the related impact of its action.

Alltel began selling ALI-capable handsets in June 2002 and, to date, has complied with the handset deployment thresholds under the FCC's order or otherwise obtained short-term relief from the FCC to facilitate certain recent acquisitions. However, based on the current pace of customer migration to ALI-capable handsets and lower than forecasted churn, it is unlikely that Alltel will meet the FCC's 95 percent requirement by December 31, 2005. The Company filed a waiver request on September 30, 2005 with the FCC that included an explanation of its compliance efforts to date and the expected date when it will achieve compliance, June 30, 2007. A number of other wireless carriers, including large national carriers, have also filed waivers, and the Company expects other wireless carriers will file similar waiver requests with the FCC prior to the end of 2005.

#### CALEA

CALEA requires wireless and wireline carriers to ensure that their networks are capable of accommodating lawful intercept requests received from law enforcement agencies. The FCC has imposed various obligations and compliance deadlines, with which Alltel has either complied or, in accordance with CALEA, filed a request for an extension of time. On August 18, 2004, the DOJ objected to Alltel's pending extension request relating to the Company's packet-mode services because the DOJ erroneously thought that Alltel's "Touch2Talk" walkie-talkie service was delivered via packet-mode technology. However, the Company's "Touch2Talk" service does not use packet-mode technology and is compliant with CALEA standards. Alltel is coordinating further testing with the Federal Bureau of Investigation to demonstrate Alltel's "Touch2Talk" CALEA compliance.

In response to a petition filed by the DOJ and other federal agencies, the FCC initiated a rulemaking in August 2004, to adopt new rules under CALEA pertaining to wireless and wireline carriers' packet mode communications services, including Internet protocol ("IP") based services. The FCC concurrently issued a declaratory ruling concerning the appropriate treatment of push-to-talk services under CALEA. On September 23, 2005, the FCC issued an order in this proceeding finding that providers of certain broadband and interconnected VoIP services were subject to CALEA, and must be prepared to provide electronic surveillance to law enforcement upon proper authorization. The Company is currently evaluating the order and at this time does not believe that it will have a substantial impact on its operations. The Company's packet services network requires a modest upgrade to be fully compliant with CALEA standards. The cost of the upgrade is immaterial and will not adversely affect the Company's operations.

Finally, Alltel received notification from certain of its vendors that they will no longer support the particular elements required for CALEA compliance in Alltel's network. The Company is considering various proposals for replacing these elements to maintain continuous compliance with the CALEA requirements. The cost of these upgrades is not expected to be material and will not adversely affect the Company's operations.

#### Inter-carrier Compensation

Under the 96 Act and the FCC's rules, CMRS providers are entitled to receive compensation from local exchange carriers ("LECs") for calls transmitted from the LECs' customers to customers of the CMRS provider. Additionally, CMRS providers are not precluded from receiving compensation from inter-exchange carriers for CMRS originated inter-exchange traffic pursuant to contract. However, presently, the Company's wireless operations do not bill inter-exchange carriers for this traffic. In April 2001, the FCC released a notice of proposed rulemaking addressing inter-carrier compensation. Under this rulemaking, the FCC proposed a "bill and keep" compensation method that would overhaul the existing rule governing inter-carrier compensation. On March 3, 2005, the FCC issued a further notice of proposed rulemaking on inter-carrier compensation matters in which the FCC solicited comment on a number of alternative compensation proposals submitted by various industry participants. In addition, various LECs have initiated a number of state proceedings to address inter-carrier compensation for traffic that originates or terminates

on wireless carriers' networks. The FCC issued a ruling effective April 29, 2005, which is subject to both reconsideration requests and judicial appeals, that ILECs can no longer impose wireless termination tariffs for local traffic. The outcome of the FCC and state proceedings could change the way Alltel receives compensation from, and remits compensation to, other carriers as well as its wireless customers. At this time, Alltel cannot determine the extent and timing of the changes and the related financial impact the changes would have on its wireless revenues and expenses.

#### Wireless Spectrum

The FCC conducts proceedings through which additional spectrum is made available for the provision of wireless communications services, including broadband services. Additional spectrum is generally made available to carriers through auctions conducted by the FCC. In October 2003, the FCC issued an order adopting rules that allow CMRS licensees to lease spectrum to others. The FCC further streamlined its rules to facilitate spectrum leasing in a subsequent order issued in September 2004. The FCC's spectrum leasing rules revise the standards for transfer of control and provide new options for the lease of spectrum to providers of new and existing wireless technologies. The FCC also deleted the rule prohibiting ownership of both A and B block cellular systems in the same rural service area. The FCC decisions provide increased flexibility to wireless companies with regard to obtaining additional spectrum through leases and retaining spectrum acquired in conjunction with wireless company acquisitions. On August 15, 2005, the FCC issued an order on reconsideration modifying the spectrum plan for Advanced Wireless Services ("AWS"). The spectrum plan as revised generally divided certain bands of spectrum into smaller blocks that are to be licensed over smaller geographic areas. The FCC has not yet determined a date for the auction of the AWS spectrum and has not yet set the rules for any such auction. The Company's evaluation of opportunities as a result of these proceedings and decisions is ongoing.

#### Customer Billing

In response to a petition filed by the National Association of State Utility Consumer Advocates, the FCC issued an order and further rulemaking on its truth in billing and billing format proceeding. In the order, the FCC applied to CMRS carriers the obligation to ensure that the descriptions of line items on customer bills are clear and not misleading and to reiterate that the representation of a discretionary item on a bill as a tax or government-mandated charge is misleading. The FCC also made a declaratory ruling that state regulations requiring or prohibiting the use of line items on CMRS carriers' bills were preempted in favor of federal authority pursuant to Section 332 (c) of the Communications Act. In the further rulemaking, the FCC will consider additional CMRS billing regulations that would require: (1) government-mandated charges to be segregated from discretionary charges; (2) the combination of certain charges into single categories; and (3) disclosure by carriers of the full rate for service, including discretionary charges and charges imposed by government mandates, to consumers at the point of sale prior to the execution of a service contract. Additionally, the FCC is considering whether states should be preempted from regulation of wireless carrier's customer bills. The Company expects the outcome of the FCC's further rulemaking to have a minimal impact on its billing and marketing efforts, although it supports efforts to formulate a nationwide standard.

#### CMRS Roaming

The FCC has initiated a rulemaking proceeding to examine the rules applicable to roaming relationships between carriers. The FCC's rules currently require only that manual roaming be provided by a carrier to any subscriber in good standing with their home market carrier. Automatic roaming agreements, although common throughout the CMRS industry, are not currently mandated by the FCC. The rulemaking seeks to develop a record on the state of roaming markets, the impact of technology, the price and quality of current roaming arrangements, and whether there is any evidence that larger national carriers are engaging in anti-competitive roaming practices against smaller carriers. An automatic roaming requirement is under consideration. Comments in the proceeding have not been filed, and the Company cannot at this time quantify any potential effect on its business of the outcome of the rulemaking.

#### Wireless Termination Fees

The FCC has received comments on two petitions seeking a declaratory ruling from the Commission that wireless termination fees incurred when a subscriber terminates its contract prior to the end of its term are "rates charged" and therefore beyond the jurisdiction of the state regulators pursuant to Section 332 (c) of the Communications Act. The Company supports the petitions, but believes that any adverse determination subjecting wireless termination fees to state regulation will not have a material impact on its business.

Communications-Wireline Operations

(Millions, access lines in thousands)	Three Months Ended September 30,		Nine Months Ended September 30,	
	2005	2004	2005	2004
<b>Revenues and sales:</b>				
Local service	\$ 271.8	\$ 278.6	\$ 817.3	\$ 839.3
Network access and long-distance	258.5	263.5	776.5	787.0
Miscellaneous	62.0	60.8	187.2	185.7
Total revenues and sales	<u>592.3</u>	<u>602.9</u>	<u>1,781.0</u>	<u>1,812.0</u>
<b>Costs and expenses:</b>				
Cost of services	176.7	179.7	540.4	531.2
Cost of products sold	10.0	7.8	26.0	20.1
Selling, general, administrative and other	66.1	60.1	192.6	181.9
Depreciation and amortization	121.1	127.6	373.8	388.5
Total costs and expenses	<u>373.9</u>	<u>375.2</u>	<u>1,132.8</u>	<u>1,121.7</u>
Segment income	<u>\$ 218.4</u>	<u>\$ 227.7</u>	<u>\$ 648.2</u>	<u>\$ 690.3</u>
Access lines in service (excludes broadband lines)	2,919.9	3,040.5	-	-
Average access lines in service	2,937.6	3,052.5	2,966.5	3,074.2
Average revenue per customer per month (a)	\$67.21	\$65.84	\$66.71	\$65.49

Notes:

- (a) Average revenue per customer per month is calculated by dividing total wireline revenues by average access lines in service for the period.

Wireline operations consist of Alltel's ILEC, CLEC and Internet operations. Wireline revenues and sales decreased 2 percent in both the three and nine month periods ended September 30, 2005, as compared to the same prior year periods, or \$10.6 million and \$31.0 million, respectively. Customer access lines decreased 4 percent during the twelve months ended September 30, 2005, reflecting declines in both primary and secondary access lines. The Company lost approximately 33,000 and 90,000 access lines during the three and nine month periods ended September 30, 2005, respectively, compared to 26,000 and 55,000 access lines lost during the same periods a year ago. The declines in access lines primarily resulted from the effects of wireless and broadband substitution for the Company's wireline services. Alltel expects access line growth for the remainder of 2005 to continue to be impacted by the effects of wireless and broadband substitution.

To slow the decline of revenue during the remainder of 2005, the Company will continue to emphasize sales of enhanced services and bundling of its various product offerings including Internet, long-distance and broadband data transport services. Deployment of broadband service is an important strategic initiative for Alltel. During the three and nine month periods ended September 30, 2005, Alltel added 41,000 and 117,000 broadband customers, respectively, increasing its broadband customer base to almost 360,000 customers, or 18 percent of the Company's addressable access lines. The growth in the Company's broadband customers more than offset the decline in customer access lines noted above.

Local service revenues decreased 2 percent, or \$6.8 million, and 3 percent, or \$22.0 million, in the three and nine months ended September 30, 2005, respectively, compared to the same periods of 2004. Local service revenues reflected reductions in basic service access line revenues of \$7.4 million and \$23.9 million in the three and nine month periods of 2005, respectively, compared to the same periods of 2004, consistent with the overall decline in access lines discussed above. The declines in local service revenues attributable to access line loss were partially offset by growth in revenues derived from the sales of enhanced features and equipment protection plans, which increased \$1.1 million and \$4.3 million in the three and nine month periods of 2005, respectively, compared to the same periods of 2004, reflecting continued demand for these products and services.

Network access and long-distance revenues decreased \$5.0 million, or 2 percent, and \$10.5 million, or 1 percent, in the three and nine months ended September 30, 2005, respectively, compared to the same periods of 2004. Primarily due to the overall decline in access lines discussed above, network access usage and toll revenues decreased \$14.6 million and \$34.0 million in the three and nine month periods of 2005, respectively, compared to the same periods of 2004. The declines in network access and long-distance revenues attributable to access line loss were partially offset by a slight increase in federal USF funding and growth in revenues from data services, which increased \$7.3 million and \$19.8 million in the three and nine month periods of 2005, respectively, reflecting increased demand for high-speed data transport services.

Miscellaneous revenues primarily consist of charges for Internet services, directory advertising, customer premise equipment sales and rentals, and billing and collections services provided to long-distance companies. Miscellaneous revenues increased by \$1.2 million, or 2 percent, and \$1.5 million, or 1 percent, in the three and nine months ended September 30, 2005, respectively, compared to the same periods of 2004. Primarily driven by growth in broadband customers, revenues from the Company's Internet operations increased \$2.0 million and \$8.8 million in the three and nine month periods of 2005, respectively. In addition, sales and rentals of customer premise equipment increased \$1.2 million and \$4.1 million in the three and nine month periods of 2005, respectively, as compared to the same prior year periods, reflecting continued customer demand for these products. Offsetting the increases in miscellaneous revenues in both 2005 periods due to growth in the Company's Internet operations and sales and rentals of customer premise equipment were decreases in directory advertising revenues of \$1.2 million and \$9.0 million in the three and nine month periods of 2005, respectively, primarily due to a change in the number and mix of directories published.

Primarily due to the broadband customer growth and increased sales of enhanced features, average revenue per customer per month increased 2 percent in both the three and nine month periods of 2005, compared to the same periods in 2004. Future growth in average revenue per customer per month will depend on the Company's success in sustaining growth in sales of broadband and other enhanced services to new and existing customers.

Cost of services decreased \$3.0 million, or 2 percent, in the three month period ended September 30, 2005, and increased \$9.2 million, or 2 percent, in the nine month period ended September 30, 2005, compared to the prior year periods. Cost of services for the nine month period in 2005 included approximately \$3.2 million of incremental costs incurred during the first quarter of 2005 related to work force reductions in the Company's wireline business, as well as higher overtime and maintenance costs due to inclement weather. Cost of services in both periods of 2005 included additional customer service expenses attributable to the growth in broadband customers, specifically the costs associated with subsidizing broadband-capable modems. In addition, cost of services in the three and nine months ended September 30, 2005 included increased regulatory fees of \$1.1 million and \$4.1 million, respectively, related to an increase in the contribution factor applicable to universal service funding. Conversely, interconnection expenses declined \$1.6 million and \$3.3 million in the three and nine periods of 2005, respectively, as compared to 2004 consistent with the decreases in toll revenues and access lines discussed above.

Cost of products sold increased \$2.2 million, or 28 percent, and \$5.9 million, or 29 percent, in the three and nine months ended September 30, 2005, respectively, compared to the same periods of 2004, consistent with the increase in sales and rentals of customer premise equipment discussed above. Selling, general, administrative and other expenses increased \$6.0 million, or 10 percent, and \$10.7 million, or 6 percent, in the three and nine months ended September 30, 2005, respectively, compared to the same periods of 2004, primarily resulting from higher audit fees and internal staffing costs incurred in complying with the Section 404 internal control reporting requirements of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 and higher insurance premiums related to the Company's employee medical and dental plans. Depreciation and amortization expense decreased \$6.5 million, or 5 percent, and \$14.7 million, or 4 percent, in the three and nine months ended September 30, 2005, respectively, compared to the same periods of 2004. The decrease in depreciation and amortization expense in the nine month period of 2005 primarily resulted from a reduction in depreciation rates for the Company's Nebraska operations, reflecting the results of a triennial study of depreciable lives completed by Alltel in the second quarter of 2004 as required by the Nebraska Public Service Commission. The decrease in depreciation and amortization expense in the three month period of 2005 resulted from a reduction in depreciation rates for the Company's Georgia, North Carolina and South Carolina operations, reflecting the results of studies of depreciable lives completed by Alltel in the second quarter of 2005. The depreciable lives were lengthened to reflect the estimated remaining useful lives of the wireline plant based on the Company's expected future network utilization and capital expenditure levels required to provide service to its customers. During the remainder of 2005 and during 2006, Alltel expects to review the depreciation rates utilized in its remaining wireline operations.

Wireline segment income decreased \$9.3 million, or 4 percent, and \$42.1 million, or 6 percent, in the three and nine months ended September 30, 2005, respectively, compared to the same periods of 2004. The decreases in segment income in both 2005 periods primarily resulted from the decline in revenues and sales due to the loss of access lines and the adverse effects of increased operating expenses related to the growth in broadband customers and higher employee benefit costs, which were partially offset by the favorable effects of reduced depreciation rates, as discussed above. In addition, the decrease in wireline segment income during the nine month period ended September 30, 2005 was also attributable to the incremental expenses associated with work force reductions and higher overtime costs incurred in the first quarter of 2005 discussed above.

Set forth below is a summary of the restructuring and other charges related to the wireline operations that were not included in the determination of segment income for the three and nine months ended September 30:

(Millions)	Three Months Ended		Nine Months Ended	
	2005	2004	2005	2004
Severance and employee benefit costs	\$ 4.6	\$ -	\$ 4.6	\$ 11.2
Relocation costs	-	-	-	1.4
Lease and contract termination costs	-	-	-	(1.9)
Other exit costs	-	-	-	0.7
Total restructuring and other charges	\$ 4.6	\$ -	\$ 4.6	\$ 11.4

#### Accounting for Regulated Entities

Except for the acquired Kentucky and Nebraska operations, Alltel's ILEC operations follow the accounting for regulated enterprises prescribed by SFAS No. 71, "Accounting for the Effects of Certain Types of Regulation". Criteria that would give rise to the discontinuance of SFAS No. 71 include (1) increasing competition restricting the regulated ILEC subsidiaries' ability to establish prices to recover specific costs and (2) significant changes in the manner in which rates are set by regulators from cost-based regulation to another form of regulation. Alltel reviews these criteria on a quarterly basis to determine whether the continuing application of SFAS No. 71 is appropriate. In assessing the continued applicability of SFAS No. 71, the Company monitors the following:

- Level of competition in its markets. Sources of competition to Alltel's local exchange business include, but are not limited to, resellers of local exchange services, interexchange carriers, satellite transmission services, wireless communications providers, cable television companies, and competitive access service providers including those utilizing Unbundled Network Elements-Platform ("UNE-P"), VoIP providers and providers using other emerging technologies. Alltel's ILEC operations have begun to experience competition in their local service areas. Through September 30, 2005, this competition has not had a material adverse effect on the results of operations of Alltel's ILEC operations, primarily because these subsidiaries provide wireline telecommunications services in mostly rural areas. To date, ILEC subsidiaries have not been required to discount intrastate service rates in response to competitive pressures.
- Level of revenues and access lines currently subject to rate-of-return regulation or which could revert back to rate-of-return regulation in the future. For the ILEC subsidiaries that follow SFAS No. 71, all interstate revenues are subject to rate-of-return regulation. The majority of the ILEC subsidiaries' remaining intrastate revenues are either subject to rate-of-return regulation or could become subject to rate-of-return regulation upon election by the Company, subject in certain cases to approval by the state public service commissions.
- Level of profitability of the ILEC subsidiaries. Currently, the prices charged to customers for interstate and intrastate services continue to be sufficient to recover the specific costs of the ILEC subsidiaries in providing these services to customers.

Although the Company believes that the application of SFAS No. 71 continues to be appropriate, it is possible that changes in regulation, legislation or competition could result in the Company's ILEC operations no longer qualifying for the application of SFAS No. 71 in the near future. If Alltel's ILEC operations no longer qualified for the application of SFAS No. 71, the accounting impact to the Company would be an extraordinary non-cash credit to operations. The non-cash credit would consist primarily of the reversal of the regulatory liability for cost of removal included in accumulated depreciation, which amounted to \$180.7 million as of September 30, 2005. At this time, Alltel does not expect to record any impairment charge related to the carrying value of its ILEC plant. Under SFAS No. 71, Alltel currently depreciates its ILEC plant based upon asset lives approved by regulatory agencies or as otherwise allowed by law. Upon discontinuance of SFAS No. 71, Alltel would be required to revise the lives of its property, plant and equipment to reflect the estimated useful lives of the assets. The Company does not expect any revisions in asset lives to have a material adverse effect on its ILEC operations.

#### Regulatory Matters-Wireline Operations

Alltel's ILECs are regulated by both federal and state agencies. Certain of Alltel's products and services (interstate) and the related earnings are subject to federal regulation and others (local and intrastate) are subject to state regulation. With the exception of the Nebraska and a portion of the Kentucky operations, Alltel's ILEC operations are subject to rate-of-return regulation federally by the FCC. The Nebraska and a portion of the Kentucky operations are subject to price-cap regulation by the FCC that allows a greater degree of retail pricing flexibility than is afforded to Alltel's rate-of-return operations. Companies meeting certain criteria had the option to elect price-cap regulation as part of an FCC order issued in May 2000 (the "CALLS plan"). The CALLS plan expired on June 30, 2005, and

to date, the FCC had not established a successor mechanism for regulating price-cap companies. Nonetheless, the existing rules and regulations for price-cap companies remain effective until the FCC modifies or otherwise replaces them with a successor mechanism.

#### Telecommunications Law Modernization

In 1996, Congress passed the Telecommunications Act of 1996 (“the 96 Act”), which significantly changed the existing laws and regulations governing the telecommunications industry. The primary goal of the 96 Act was to create competition in the wireline market by requiring ILECs to sell portions of their networks to competitors at reduced wholesale rates. The 96 Act also established rules for interconnecting wireline and wireless service providers’ networks. Unfortunately, the 96 Act failed to contemplate the rapid evolution of technology and the associated consumer demand for wireless services, the Internet and VoIP. Today, providers of communications services are regulated differently depending primarily upon the network technology used to deliver service. This “patchwork” regulatory approach unfairly advantages certain companies and disadvantages others, which impedes market-based competition where service providers, regardless of technology, exchange telecommunications traffic between their networks and are competing for the same customers.

In an effort to reform the “patchwork” regulatory approach, two separate telecommunications bills were introduced in the U.S. Senate. The first bill, entitled the “Broadband Investment and Consumer Choice Act”, was introduced on July 27, 2005. This bill reduces the existing level of government regulation within the telecommunications industry in favor of market-based competition and provides for parity in the remaining rules governing functionally equivalent services, such as broadband access to the Internet either via DSL, cable modem or other technological means. Another bill, entitled “the Universal Service for the 21st Century Act”, was introduced on July 29, 2005. This bill changes the way telecommunications companies contribute to the universal service fund, establishes limited support for broadband investment in unserved areas and calls for the FCC to establish inter-carrier compensation reform within six months of enactment. There will likely be additional bills submitted for consideration in the future as Congress evaluates changing the regulatory environment in the telecommunications industry. Alltel strongly supports telecommunications modernization but, at this time, cannot predict the outcome of these efforts.

#### State Regulation

Most states in which Alltel’s ILEC subsidiaries operate provide alternatives to rate-of-return regulation for local and intrastate services, either through legislative or state public service commission (“PSC”) rules. The Company has elected alternative regulation for certain of its ILEC subsidiaries in Alabama, Arkansas, Florida, Georgia, Kentucky, Nebraska, North Carolina, Ohio, Pennsylvania, South Carolina, and Texas. The Missouri PSC ruled that the Company is not eligible for alternative regulation. However on May 5, 2005, the Missouri legislature passed an alternative regulation plan that allows the Company to elect alternative regulation without Missouri PSC approval. The legislation became effective on August 28, 2005, and the Company filed an election with the PSC to be regulated under the new alternative regulation plan on September 13, 2005. As a result of this election, the Company will withdraw its appeal of the Missouri PSC’s previous decision during the fourth quarter of 2005. The Company continues to evaluate alternative regulation options in markets where its ILEC subsidiaries remain subject to rate-of-return regulation, including Mississippi, New York, Oklahoma and certain of its Kentucky operations.

#### Inter-carrier Compensation

In April 2001, the FCC released a notice of proposed rulemaking addressing inter-carrier compensation. Under this rulemaking, the FCC proposed a “bill and keep” compensation methodology under which each telecommunications carrier would be required to recover all of its costs to originate and terminate telecommunications traffic from its end-user customers rather than charging other carriers. The proposed “bill and keep” method would significantly overhaul the existing rules governing inter-carrier compensation.

On October 8, 2004, the FCC granted in part and denied in part a petition filed by Core Communications requesting that the FCC forbear from enforcing provisions of the FCC’s 2001 Internet Service Provider (“ISP”) Remand Order. The FCC granted forbearance from the ISP Remand Order’s growth caps and new market rule finding they were no longer in the public interest. The FCC denied forbearance from the ISP Remand Order’s rate cap and mirroring rules. Various parties have filed for reconsideration with the FCC and have appealed the decision to the U.S. Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia Circuit. If the FCC’s decision in this order is upheld, the Company is likely to incur additional costs for delivering ISP-bound traffic to competitive wireline service providers. Although Alltel has not fully quantified the effects of this order, the Company believes that the additional expense would not likely exceed \$10.0 million annually.

On March 3, 2005, the FCC released a further notice of proposed rulemaking addressing inter-carrier compensation. Under this proposed rulemaking, the FCC requested comment on several alternative inter-carrier compensation proposals, including "bill and keep". The outcome of this proceeding is likely to change the way Alltel receives compensation from, and remits compensation to, other carriers and its end user customers. Until this proceeding concludes and the changes to the existing rules are established, if any, Alltel cannot estimate the impact of the changes on its ILEC revenues and expenses or when the changes would occur.

On July 6, 2005, a hearing examiner issued a recommended order to the Georgia PSC that, if adopted, would prospectively preclude LECs from assessing access charges for certain intrastate calls. The Company, along with other LECs in Georgia, requested that the Georgia PSC reject the recommended order and find that access charges continue to apply to these intrastate calls. If the Georgia PSC ultimately adopts the recommended order, the Company would incur a reduction in annual revenues of approximately \$12.0 million. A final order will not likely become effective before the end of 2005.

#### Universal Service

The federal universal service program is under legislative, regulatory and industry scrutiny as a result of growth in the fund and structural changes within the telecommunications industry. The structural changes include the increase in the number of ETCs receiving money from the USF and a migration of customers from wireline service providers to providers using alternative technologies like VoIP that, today, are not required to contribute to the universal service program. There are several FCC proceedings underway that are likely to change the way universal service programs are funded and the way these funds are disbursed to program recipients. The specific proceedings are discussed in greater detail below.

In May 2001, the FCC adopted the Rural Task Force Order that established an interim universal service mechanism governing compensation for rural telephone companies for the ensuing five years. The interim mechanism has allowed rural carriers to continue receiving high-cost funding based on their embedded costs. On June 2, 2004, the FCC asked the Federal/State Joint Board on Universal Service (the "Joint Board") to review the FCC's rules as they pertain to rural telephone companies and to determine what changes, if any, should be made to the existing high-cost support mechanism when the interim funding program expires in June 2006. The Joint Board sought comment on such a mechanism on August 16, 2004, but has taken no further action. In the event a new mechanism is not established for rural carriers prior to the expiration of the plan, the FCC will likely extend the interim mechanism currently in place. In addition, the Joint Board sought comment on whether companies operating multiple distinct geographic market areas within a state should consolidate them for purposes of calculating universal service support. If the FCC implements this proposal, Alltel's universal service revenues would be reduced from their current level by approximately \$11.0 million annually. On August 17, 2005, the Joint Board sought comment on four separate proposals to modify the distribution of high-cost universal service support. Each of the proposals provides state public service commissions a greater role in the support distribution process, which would remain subject to specific FCC guidelines. The Company cannot estimate the impact of the potential change from embedded cost to another methodology, or the impact of other potential changes to the fund contemplated by the Joint Board until the specific changes, if any, are determined.

On June 14, 2005, the FCC issued a notice of proposed rulemaking initiating a broad inquiry into the management and administration of the universal service programs. The notice of proposed rulemaking seeks comment on ways to streamline the application process for federal support and whether and how to increase audits of fund contributors and fund recipients in an effort to deter waste and fraud. The FCC is also considering proposals regarding the contribution methodology, which could change the category of service providers required to contribute to the fund and the basis on which they contribute. At this time, Alltel cannot estimate the impact that the potential changes, if any, would have on its operations.

As previously discussed under "Regulatory Matters--Wireless Operations", the FCC mandated that, effective October 1, 2004, USAC begin accounting for the USF program in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles for federal agencies, rather than the accounting rules that USAC formerly used. This change in accounting method subjected USAC to the ADA, the effect of which could have caused delays in payments to USF program recipients and significantly increased the amount of USF regulatory fees charged to wireline and wireless consumers. In December 2004, Congress passed legislation to exempt USAC from the ADA for one year to allow for a more thorough review of the impact the ADA would have on the universal service program. In April 2005, the FCC tentatively concluded that the high-cost and low-income programs of the universal service fund comply with ADA requirements, and has asked the OMB to make a final determination on this issue. Additionally, Congress is contemplating a permanent solution to alleviate the ADA issues and the related negative impact to the universal service program.

### Emerging Competitive Technologies - VoIP

Voice telecommunications services utilizing IP as the underlying transmission technology, ("VoIP"), are challenging existing regulatory definitions and raising questions concerning how IP-enabled services should be regulated, if at all. Several state commissions have attempted to assert jurisdiction over VoIP services, but federal courts in New York and Minnesota have ruled that the FCC preempts the states with respect to jurisdiction. On March 10, 2004, the FCC released a notice of proposed rulemaking seeking comment on the appropriate regulatory treatment of IP-enabled communications services. The FCC indicated that the cost of the public switched telephone network should be borne equitably by the users and requested comment on the specific regulatory requirements that should be extended to IP-enabled service providers, including requirements relating to E-911, accessibility for the disabled, inter-carrier compensation and universal service. Although the FCC's rulemaking regarding IP-enabled services remains pending, the FCC has adopted a series of related orders establishing broad parameters for the regulation of those services.

On February 12, 2004, the FCC released an order declaring Pulver.com's "free" IP-based, peer-to-peer service that requires specialized telephone equipment or software for computers was not a regulated "telecommunications service", but rather was an unregulated "information service" subject to federal jurisdiction.

On April 21, 2004, the FCC denied a waiver petition filed by AT&T requesting that its IP telephony service be exempt from paying access compensation to wireline local service providers. The FCC ruled AT&T's IP telephony service, which converted voice calls to an IP format for some portion of the routing over the public switched telephone network prior to converting the calls back to their original format, was a regulated "telecommunications service" subject to payment of access compensation to LECs.

On November 12, 2004, the FCC ruled that Internet-based service provided by Vonage Holdings Corporation ("Vonage") should be subject to federal rather than state jurisdiction. The FCC has not yet determined how Vonage's service should be classified for regulatory purposes, but is likely to address the "information service" vs. "telecommunications service" debate in its pending rulemaking regarding IP-enabled services. Several state commissions appealed the FCC's Vonage decision, and these appeals are presently pending before various federal appellate courts.

On June 3, 2005, the FCC took swift action in response to several incidents where VoIP customers were unable to complete calls to their emergency dispatch center. The FCC ordered all VoIP service providers whose service is interconnected with the public switched telephone network to provide E-911 services to their customers no later than November 28, 2005. Specifically, the FCC Order requires VoIP service providers to notify their customers of the specific E-911 capabilities and limitations inherent with the VoIP service purchased and receive an affirmative customer response acknowledging the capabilities and limitations and ensure that E-911 calls are routed to the appropriate public service answering point.

On September 21, 2005, the FCC released its order on CALEA requirements for broadband and ISP services, including VoIP services. The FCC found that essentially, ISP and VoIP services are "telecommunications services" subject to CALEA requirements. If the FCC ultimately determines that IP-enabled services are not subject to additional regulatory requirements that are applicable to inter-exchange and local exchange service providers, including contributions to federal and state universal service programs, inter-carrier compensation obligations, federal and state tax obligations and service quality metrics, the Company's regulated local exchange operations will be competitively disadvantaged. However, until the FCC issues its decision in these proceedings, the Company cannot determine the extent of the impact on its operations, if any.

### Broadband

On September 23, 2005, the FCC released an order declaring wireline broadband Internet access service ("DSL") an "information service" functionally integrated with a telecommunications component and no longer subject to a higher level of regulation as compared to broadband cable modem service. This order puts the Company's DSL service in regulatory parity with cable modem service. The FCC order requires wireline broadband service providers, like the Company, to continue offering broadband access on a stand-alone basis to competing unaffiliated Internet service providers for one year, after which they will no longer be required to do so. Additionally, the order preserves the current method of assessing universal service contributions on DSL revenues for a 270-day period after the effective date of the order, or until the FCC adopts a new contribution methodology to the universal service fund. The Company will benefit from the decreased regulatory oversight of its DSL service through retail pricing flexibility as its DSL products are experiencing significant growth throughout its service areas and its primary competitor is the historically less regulated cable modem service.

Because certain of the regulatory matters discussed above are under FCC or judicial review, resolution of these matters continues to be uncertain, and Alltel cannot predict at this time the specific effects, if any, that the 96 Act, regulatory decisions and rulemakings, and future competition will ultimately have on its ILEC operations.

#### Communications Support Services

(Millions, except customers in thousands)	Three Months Ended September 30,		Nine Months Ended September 30,	
	2005	2004	2005	2004
<b>Revenues and sales:</b>				
Product distribution	\$ 146.1	\$ 109.2	\$ 402.8	\$ 304.2
Long-distance and network management services	80.6	77.2	227.5	229.1
Directory publishing	32.4	31.1	106.0	106.0
Telecommunications information services	4.1	9.7	12.8	36.1
Total revenues and sales	<u>263.2</u>	<u>227.2</u>	<u>749.1</u>	<u>675.4</u>
<b>Costs and expenses:</b>				
Cost of services	58.9	68.9	178.0	193.5
Cost of products sold	159.0	124.6	453.4	367.3
Selling, general, administrative and other	16.7	13.6	48.6	39.9
Depreciation and amortization	8.3	8.6	25.3	25.9
Total costs and expenses	<u>242.9</u>	<u>215.7</u>	<u>705.3</u>	<u>626.6</u>
Segment income	\$ 20.3	\$ 11.5	\$ 43.8	\$ 48.8
Long-distance customers	1,757.1	1,740.0	-	-

Communications support services revenues and sales increased \$36.0 million, or 16 percent, and \$73.7 million, or 11 percent, for the three and nine month periods ended September 30, 2005, respectively, compared to the same periods of 2004. As noted in the table above, the increase in revenues and sales in both 2005 periods primarily reflected growth in sales of telecommunications equipment and data products, partially offset by declines in revenues earned from telecommunications information services. Sales of telecommunications and data products increased \$36.9 million and \$98.6 million in the three and nine months ended September 30, 2005, respectively, compared to the same periods in 2004. Sales to non-affiliates increased \$32.5 million and \$88.0 million in the three and nine month periods of 2005, respectively, primarily reflecting increased sales to retailers and other distributors of higher priced wireless handsets that include advanced features and that are capable of various data applications. In addition, compared to the same periods of 2004, sales to affiliates increased \$4.4 million and \$10.6 million in the three and nine months ended September 30, 2005, respectively, primarily due to an increase in capital expenditures by the Company's wireline operations.

Revenues derived from directory publishing increased \$1.3 million in the three months ended September 30, 2005 and were flat in the nine months ended September 30, 2005, as compared to the same periods in 2004. The increase in the three month period of 2005 primarily resulted from a change in the number and mix of directories published during the third quarter of 2005. Telecommunications information services revenues decreased \$5.6 million and \$23.3 million in the three and nine months ended September 30, 2005, respectively, due to the loss of one of Alltel's remaining unaffiliated wireline services customers during the fourth quarter of 2004. Revenues attributable to long-distance and network management services increased \$3.4 million and decreased \$1.6 million in the three and nine month periods ended September 30, 2005, respectively, as compared to the same periods in 2004. In the three and nine month periods ended September 30, 2005, revenues earned from affiliates for network management services decreased \$0.5 million and \$6.3 million, respectively, primarily due to reductions in intercompany billing rates, which took effect January 1, 2005 and April 1, 2004, respectively. Conversely, revenues derived from external customers increased \$3.9 million and \$4.7 million in the three and nine month periods of 2005, respectively, primarily due to an increase in customer billing rates initiated during the second quarter of 2005 on one of Alltel's most popular billing plans. This increase in rates was partially offset by the effects of customers migrating to packaged rate plans. In response to competitive pressures, Alltel has introduced in its long-distance markets packaged rate plans that provide customers with unlimited calling for one flat monthly rate.

Communications support services segment income increased in the three month period ended September 30, 2005, primarily due to growth in the sales of telecommunications equipment and data products and increased revenues derived from the long-distance and network management operations discussed above. Although revenues and sales increased in the nine months ended September 30, 2005 as compared to 2004, communications support services segment income decreased in that period primarily due to lower profit margins realized by the telecommunications services operations, partially offset by improved margins in the product distribution operations. Profit margins for the telecommunications information services operations were adversely affected by the loss of a customer during

2004, as the associated revenue decline outpaced the corresponding reduction in operating expenses due to the continuance of overhead and other fixed operating costs. Conversely, operating margins for the product distribution operations primarily reflected the increase in sales of higher priced handsets to non-affiliates discussed above.

Set forth below is a summary of the restructuring and other charges related to the communications support services operations that were not included in the determination of segment income for the nine months ended September 30, 2004:

<u>(Millions)</u>	
Severance and employee benefit costs	\$ 0.5
Relocation costs	0.1
Total restructuring and other charges	<u>\$ 0.6</u>

#### FINANCIAL CONDITION, LIQUIDITY AND CAPITAL RESOURCES

<u>(Millions, except per share amounts)</u>	<u>Nine Months Ended</u> <u>September 30,</u>	
	<u>2005</u>	<u>2004</u>
Cash flows from (used in):		
Operating activities	\$ 1,901.9	\$ 1,844.1
Investing activities	(1,662.3)	(717.2)
Financing activities	(676.1)	(1,136.2)
Discontinued operations	36.2	-
Effect of exchange rate changes	(15.2)	(0.1)
Decrease in cash and short-term investments	<u>\$ (415.5)</u>	<u>\$ (9.4)</u>
Total capital structure (a)	\$ 18,856.3	\$ 12,604.5
Percent of equity to total capital (b)	68.5%	55.5%
Book value per share (c)	\$33.72	\$23.04

#### Notes:

- (a) Computed as the sum of long-term debt including current maturities, redeemable preferred stock and total shareholders' equity.
- (b) Computed by dividing total shareholders' equity by total capital structure as computed in (a) above.
- (c) Computed by dividing total shareholders' equity less preferred stock by the total number of common shares outstanding at the end of the period.

#### Cash Flows-Operating Activities

Cash provided from operations continued to be Alltel's primary source of liquidity. Cash provided from operations for the nine months ended September 30, 2005 reflected growth in earnings from Alltel's wireless operations and receipt of the \$111.0 million special dividend on the Company's investment in Fidelity National common stock previously discussed. Cash flows from operations in 2005 also reflected changes in working capital requirements, including timing differences in the billing and collection of accounts receivable, purchase of inventory and payment of accounts payable and income taxes. During the first nine months of 2005, Alltel generated sufficient cash flows from operations to fund its capital expenditure requirements, dividend payments and scheduled long-term payments as further discussed below. The Company expects to generate sufficient cash flows from operations to fund its operating requirements during the balance of 2005.

#### Cash Flows-Investing Activities

Capital expenditures continued to be Alltel's primary use of capital resources. Capital expenditures for the nine months ended September 30, 2005 were \$915.5 million compared to \$757.3 million for the same period in 2004. Capital expenditures in both years were incurred to construct additional network facilities, to deploy 1XRTT data technology in the Company's wireless markets and to expand Alltel's network coverage of its Internet, broadband, and "Touch2Talk" communications service offerings. Capital expenditures for the nine months ended September 30, 2005 also included incremental spending by Alltel to deploy CDMA technology in the properties acquired from Cingular as previously discussed. In addition, capital expenditures in 2005 also included the Company's investment in wireless EV-DO technology. Through September 30, 2005, Alltel had launched EV-DO service in eight markets and expects to have deployed EV-DO technology in 10 to 12 markets by the end of 2005. The Company funded substantially all of its capital expenditures through internally generated funds. Investing activities also included outlays for capitalized software development costs. Additions to capitalized software for the nine months ended September 30, 2005 were \$38.9 million compared to \$23.6 million for the same period in 2004. Including the investments needed to deploy CDMA technology in the acquired Cingular markets and the ongoing capital

expenditure requirements within the Western Wireless markets, Alltel expects capital expenditures, including capitalized software development costs, to be approximately \$1.4 to \$1.5 billion for 2005. The Company expects to fund substantially all of its capital expenditures from internally generated funds.

Cash outlays for the purchase of property, net of cash acquired in the nine months ended September 30, 2005 were \$1,135.8 million, principally consisting of \$919.0 million attributable to the Western Wireless merger, \$153.0 million related to the exchange of wireless properties with Cingular and \$48.1 million related to the purchase of wireless properties in Alabama and Georgia from PS Cellular, as previously discussed. In conjunction with the merger transaction with Western Wireless, Alltel paid \$933.4 million in cash to the holders of Western Wireless common stock and in the transaction acquired cash of \$14.4 million. During the first nine months of 2005, Alltel also purchased for \$15.7 million in cash additional ownership interests in wireless properties in Michigan, Ohio and Wisconsin in which the Company owned a majority interest. Conversely, there were no cash outlays for the purchase of property during the nine months ended September 30, 2004.

Investing activities for the nine months ended September 30, 2005 included proceeds from the sale of investments of \$353.9 million, principally consisting of \$350.8 million received from the sale of Alltel's investment in Fidelity National common stock previously discussed. Investing activities for the nine months ended September 30, 2005 and 2004 also included proceeds from the return on investments of \$30.9 million and \$67.1 million, respectively. These amounts primarily consisted of cash distributions received from Alltel's wireless minority investments. The significant decrease in distributions received during the first nine months of 2005 compared to the same period a year ago primarily reflected the exchange of certain minority investments with Cingular, as previously discussed. Cash flows from investing activities for the nine months ended September 30, 2005 also included proceeds of \$36.2 million received in connection with the disposal of an office building, which had previously been written down to fair value during the first quarter of 2004 (see "Consolidated Results of Operations - Restructuring and Other Charges").

#### Cash Flows-Financing Activities

Dividend payments remain a significant use of capital resources for Alltel. Common and preferred dividend payments were \$345.2 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2005 compared to \$345.3 million for the same period in 2004. Dividend payments in 2005 reflected increased dividends due to the issuance of approximately 24.5 million Alltel common shares to settle the purchase contract portion of the Company's equity units on May 17, 2005 as previously discussed, offset by Alltel's prefunding \$9.2 million of the first quarter 2005 dividend payments on December 30, 2004. The remaining portion of the first quarter 2005 dividend payments of \$105.7 million was funded by Alltel on January 3, 2005. On October 20, 2005, the Company's Board of Directors approved an increase in the quarterly common stock dividend rate from \$.38 to \$.385 per share. This action raised the annual dividend rate to \$1.54 per share and marked the 45th consecutive year in which Alltel has increased its common stock dividend. The \$.385 dividend is payable January 3, 2006, to stockholders of record as of December 9, 2005.

The Company has a five-year, \$1.5 billion unsecured line of credit under a revolving credit agreement with an expiration date of July 28, 2009. On August 1, 2005, Alltel entered into an additional \$700.0 million, 364-day revolving credit agreement that expires on July 31, 2006, if not extended at the option of the Company, and allows Alltel to convert any outstanding borrowings under this agreement into term loans maturing in 2007. The Company incurred no borrowings under the revolving credit agreements during the nine months ended September 30, 2005. The Company also has established a commercial paper program with a maximum borrowing capacity of \$1.5 billion. Alltel classifies commercial paper borrowings as long-term debt, because they are intended to be maintained on a long-term basis and are supported by the Company's \$1.5 billion revolving credit agreement. Under the commercial paper program, commercial paper borrowings are fully supported by the available borrowings under the revolving credit agreement. Accordingly, the total amount outstanding under the commercial paper program and the indebtedness incurred under the revolving credit agreement may not exceed \$1.5 billion. During the first nine months of 2005, the maximum amount of borrowings outstanding under the commercial paper program was \$1,037.4 million, of which \$927.7 million remained outstanding at September 30, 2005. Conversely, Alltel incurred no borrowings under the commercial paper program during the first nine months of 2004, and there were no commercial paper borrowings outstanding at either December 31, 2004 or 2003. Commercial paper borrowings were incurred during the first nine months of 2005 primarily to finance a portion of the repayment of certain Western Wireless long-term debt obligations, as further discussed below. The net increase in commercial paper borrowings from December 31, 2004 of \$927.7 million represented all of the long-term debt issued during the nine months ended September 30, 2005.

Retirements of long-term debt were \$2,656.6 million and \$255.0 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2005 and 2004, respectively. Retirements of long-term debt in 2005 primarily reflected the repayment of approximately \$2.0 billion of Western Wireless long-term debt obligations. Upon closing of the merger with Western Wireless, a wholly-owned subsidiary of Alltel assumed debt of approximately \$2.1 billion. On the date of closing, Alltel repaid approximately \$1.3 billion of term loans representing all borrowings outstanding under Western Wireless' credit facility that, as a result of a change in control, became due and payable immediately upon the closing of the merger. On August 1, 2005, Alltel also announced a tender offer to purchase all of the issued and outstanding 9.25 percent senior notes due July 15, 2013 of Western Wireless, representing an aggregate principal amount of \$600.0 million, as well as a related consent solicitation to amend the indenture governing the senior notes. During the third quarter of 2005, Alltel repurchased all \$600.0 million of the senior notes at a total cost of \$688.3 million. These repayments were funded by cash on hand and borrowings under the Company's commercial paper program. Retirements of long-term debt in 2005 also included the early redemption of \$450.0 million of 7.50 percent senior notes due March 1, 2006 and the repayment of a \$200.0 million, 6.75 percent senior unsecured note due September 15, 2005. Retirements of long-term debt in 2004 primarily consisted of the repayment of a \$250.0 million unsecured note due April 1, 2004.

Proceeds from the issuance of Alltel's common stock were \$1,442.8 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2005, principally consisting of proceeds from the settlement of the purchase contracts related to the Company's equity units on May 17, 2005. As previously discussed, upon settlement of the contracts, the Company received proceeds of approximately \$1,385.0 million and delivered approximately 24.5 million shares of Alltel common stock. (See Note 4 to the unaudited interim consolidated financial statements for additional information regarding the settlement of the purchase contracts.)

On January 22, 2004, Alltel's Board of Directors adopted a stock repurchase plan authorizing the Company to repurchase up to \$750.0 million of its outstanding common stock over a two-year period ending December 31, 2005. Under the repurchase plan, Alltel may repurchase shares, from time to time, on the open market or in negotiated transactions, as circumstances warrant, depending upon market conditions and other factors. Sources of funding the stock buyback program include available cash on hand, operating cash flows and borrowings under Alltel's commercial paper program. During 2004, Alltel repurchased 11.2 million of its common shares at a total cost of \$595.3 million under this plan, of which 9.7 million shares were repurchased during the nine months ended September 30, 2004 at a cost of \$506.9 million. Alltel did not repurchase any of its common shares during the nine months ended September 30, 2005.

Cash flows from financing activities also included distributions to minority investors, which amounted to \$44.8 million and \$49.7 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2005 and 2004, respectively.

**Liquidity and Capital Resources**

Alltel believes it has sufficient cash and short-term investments on hand (\$69.4 million at September 30, 2005) and has adequate operating cash flows to finance its ongoing operating requirements, including capital expenditures, repayment of long-term debt, payment of dividends and funding the stock repurchase plan. Additional sources of funding available to Alltel include (1) additional borrowings of up to \$1.27 billion available to the Company under its commercial paper program and revolving credit agreements, (2) additional debt or equity securities under the Company's March 28, 2002, \$5.0 billion shelf registration statement, of which approximately \$730 million remained available for issuance at September 30, 2005 and (3) additional debt securities issued in the private placement market.

Alltel's commercial paper and long-term credit ratings with Moody's Investors Service ("Moody's"), Standard & Poor's Corporation ("Standard & Poor's") and Fitch Ratings ("Fitch") were as follows at September 30, 2005:

Description	Moody's	Standard & Poor's	Fitch
Commercial paper credit rating	Prime-1	A-1	F1
Long-term debt credit rating	A2	A	A
Outlook	Stable	Credit Watch Developing	Stable

Factors that could affect Alltel's short and long-term credit ratings would include, but not be limited to, a material decline in the Company's operating results and increased debt levels relative to operating cash flows resulting from future acquisitions or increased capital expenditure requirements. If Alltel's credit ratings were to be downgraded from current levels, the Company would incur higher interest costs on new borrowings, and the Company's access to

the public capital markets could be adversely affected. A downgrade in Alltel's current short or long-term credit ratings would not accelerate scheduled principal payments of Alltel's existing long-term debt.

The revolving credit agreements contain various covenants and restrictions including a requirement that, as of the end of each calendar quarter, Alltel maintain a total debt-to-capitalization ratio of less than 65 percent. For purposes of calculating this ratio under the revolving credit agreements, total debt would include amounts classified as long-term debt (excluding mark-to-market adjustments for interest rate swaps), current maturities of long-term debt outstanding, short-term debt and any letters of credit or other guarantee obligations. As of September 30, 2005, the Company's total debt to capitalization ratio was 31.5 percent. In addition, the indentures and borrowing agreements, amended, provide, among other things, for various restrictions on the payment of dividends by the Company. Retained earnings unrestricted as to the payment of dividends by the Company amounted to \$6,833.6 million at September 30, 2005. There are no restrictions on the payment of dividends among members of Alltel's consolidated group.

Under the Company's long-term debt borrowing agreements, acceleration of principal payments would occur upon payment default, violation of debt covenants not cured within 30 days or breach of certain other conditions set forth in the borrowing agreements. At September 30, 2005, the Company was in compliance with all of its debt covenants. There are no provisions within the Company's leasing agreements that would trigger acceleration of future lease payments.

As further discussed in Note 10 to the unaudited interim consolidated financial statements, Alltel has entered into definitive agreements to sell its international operations acquired from Western Wireless in Ireland to a subsidiary of eircom Group plc for 420 million euros or approximately \$500 million at then current exchange rates and to sell the international operations in Austria to T-Mobile Austria GmbH, a subsidiary of Deutsche Telekom for 1.3 billion euros or approximately \$1.6 billion at then current exchange rates. The sale of the Irish operations is expected to close during the fourth quarter of 2005, and the sale of the Austrian operations is expected to close during the first quarter of 2006. In August 2005, Alltel entered into two foreign currency forward exchange contracts to hedge its net investment in the Austrian and Irish operations. The Company anticipates using the net after-tax cash proceeds of approximately \$1.6 billion for general corporate purposes.

Alltel does not use securitization of trade receivables, affiliation with special purpose entities, variable interest entities or synthetic leases to finance its operations. Additionally, the Company has not entered into any material arrangement requiring Alltel to guarantee payment of third party debt or to fund losses of an unconsolidated special purpose entity.

#### Critical Accounting Policies

Alltel prepares its consolidated financial statements in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States. In Alltel's Amendment No. 1 to Annual Report on Form 10-K/A for the year ended December 31, 2004, the Company identified the critical accounting policies which affect its more significant estimates and assumptions used in preparing its consolidated financial statements. These critical accounting policies include accounting for service revenues, evaluating the collectibility of trade receivables, accounting for pension and other postretirement benefits, calculating depreciation and amortization expense, determining the fair values of goodwill and other indefinite-lived intangible assets and accounting for current and deferred income taxes. There have been no material changes to Alltel's critical accounting policies during the nine month period ended September 30, 2005.

#### Legal Proceedings

Alltel is party to various legal proceedings arising in the ordinary course of business. Although the ultimate resolution of these various proceedings cannot be determined at this time, management of the Company does not believe that such proceedings, individually or in the aggregate, will have a material adverse effect on the future results of operations or financial condition of Alltel. In addition, management of the Company is currently not aware of any environmental matters that, individually or in the aggregate, would have a material adverse effect on the consolidated financial condition or results of operations of the Company.

#### Other Matters

As the Company previously disclosed in a press release and Current Report on Form 8-K dated May 3, 2005, the Company advised the staff of the Securities and Exchange Commission ("SEC") and the DOJ of an independent investigation being conducted by the audit and governance committees of the Company's board of directors regarding alleged improper payments by the international division of the Company's former information services subsidiary. The allegations of improper payments, which are asserted to constitute violations of the Foreign Corrupt Practices Act, are contained in a lawsuit filed by a Chinese company, Grace & Digital Information Technology Co., Ltd. ("Grace & Digital"), against the former subsidiary and several other parties. Alltel is not a party to the lawsuit.

The audit and governance committees retained independent legal counsel to conduct their investigation and to facilitate their cooperation with any related government inquiries. The SEC staff has informed the committees' counsel that it has opened an informal inquiry relating to the alleged improper payments. The Company is aware that the DOJ has interviewed one former employee of the Company in connection with this matter. The committees have completed their internal investigation and, in the committees' judgment, the available evidence did not substantiate Grace and Digital's allegations of improper payments to Chinese government officials. The committees' independent legal counsel have reported the results of the internal investigation to the SEC and DOJ. The Company intends to continue to cooperate with the SEC and DOJ inquiries.

#### Recently Issued Accounting Pronouncements

On December 16, 2004, the FASB issued SFAS No. 123(R), "Share-Based Payment", which is a revision of SFAS No. 123 and supercedes Accounting Principles Board Opinion No. 25, "Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees" and related Interpretations. SFAS No. 123(R) requires all share-based payments to employees, including grants of employee stock options, to be valued at fair value on the date of grant, and to be expensed over the applicable vesting period. Pro forma disclosure of the income statement effects of share-based payments is no longer an alternative. SFAS No. 123(R) is effective for all stock-based awards granted on or after July 1, 2005. In addition, companies must also recognize compensation expense related to any awards that are not fully vested as of the effective date. Compensation expense for the unvested awards will be measured based on the fair value of the awards previously calculated in developing the pro forma disclosures in accordance with the provisions of SFAS No. 123. On March 25, 2005, the SEC staff issued Staff Accounting Bulletin ("SAB") 107, which summarizes the staff's views regarding the interaction between SFAS No. 123(R) and certain SEC rules and regulations and provides additional guidance regarding the valuation of share-based payment arrangements for public companies. On April 15, 2005, the SEC amended Rule 4-01(a) of Regulation S-X regarding the date public companies are required to comply with the provisions of SFAS No. 123(R), such that calendar year companies will now be required to comply with the standard beginning January 1, 2006. Alltel is currently assessing the impact of adopting SFAS No. 123(R), as interpreted by SAB 107, to its consolidated results of operations.

On March 31, 2005, the FASB issued Interpretation No. ("FIN") 47, "Accounting for Conditional Asset Retirement Obligations", which is an interpretation of SFAS No. 143, "Accounting for Asset Retirement Obligations". FIN 47 clarifies that the recognition and measurement provisions of SFAS No. 143 apply to asset retirement obligations in which the timing and/or method of settlement may be conditional on a future event, including obligations to remediate asbestos at the end of a building's useful life and obligations to dispose of chemically-treated telephone poles at the end of their useful lives. FIN 47 is effective for fiscal years ending after December 15, 2005. Alltel is currently assessing the impact of adopting FIN 47 to its consolidated results of operations.

**ALLTEL CORPORATION**  
**FORM 10-Q**

**PART I – FINANCIAL INFORMATION**

**Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk**

The Company's market risks at September 30, 2005 are similar to the market risks discussed in Alltel's Amendment No. 1 to Annual Report on Form 10-K/A for the year ended December 31, 2004. The Company is exposed to market risk from changes in marketable equity security prices, interest rates, and foreign currency exchange rates. Alltel has estimated its market risk using sensitivity analysis. For Alltel's marketable equity securities and foreign currency forward exchange contracts, market risk is defined as the potential change in fair value attributable to a hypothetical adverse change in market prices or foreign currency exchange rates. For all other financial instruments, market risk is defined as the potential change in earnings resulting from a hypothetical adverse change in market prices or interest rates. The results of the sensitivity analysis used to estimate market risk are presented below. Actual results may differ from these estimates.

**Equity Price Risk**

Changes in equity prices primarily affect the fair value of Alltel's investments in marketable equity securities. Through its merger with Western Wireless, Alltel acquired marketable equity securities. At September 30, 2005, the fair market value of the marketable equity securities held by Alltel amounted to \$168.4 million and included unrealized holding gains of \$23.5 million. Comparatively, at December 31, 2004, investments in marketable equity securities consisted solely of the Company's investment in Fidelity National common stock. As further discussed in Note 9 to the unaudited interim consolidated financial statements, on April 6, 2005, Alltel sold its investment in Fidelity National common stock and received proceeds of \$350.8 million. A hypothetical 10 percent decrease in quoted market prices would result in a \$16.8 million decrease in the fair value of the Company's marketable equity securities at September 30, 2005.

**Interest Rate Risk**

The Company's earnings are affected by changes in variable interest rates related to Alltel's issuance of short-term commercial paper and interest rate swap agreements. The Company enters into interest rate swap agreements to obtain a targeted mixture of variable and fixed-interest-rate debt such that the portion of debt subject to variable rates does not exceed 30 percent of Alltel's total debt outstanding. The Company has established policies and procedures for risk assessment and the approval, reporting, and monitoring of interest rate swap activity. Alltel does not enter into interest rate swap agreements, or other derivative financial instruments, for trading or speculative purposes. Management periodically reviews Alltel's exposure to interest rate fluctuations and implements strategies to manage the exposure.

As of September 30, 2005, Alltel has entered into five, pay variable receive fixed, interest rate swap agreements on notional amounts totaling \$925.0 million to convert fixed interest rate payments to variable. The maturities of the five interest rate swaps range from January 15, 2008 to November 1, 2013. The weighted average fixed rate received by Alltel on these swaps is 5.5 percent, and the variable rate paid by Alltel is the three month LIBOR (London-Interbank Offered Rate). The weighted average variable rate paid by the Company was 3.6 percent at September 30, 2005. A hypothetical increase of 100 basis points in variable interest rates would reduce annual pre-tax earnings by approximately \$18.5 million. Conversely, a hypothetical decrease of 100 basis points in variable interest rates would increase annual pre-tax earnings by approximately \$18.5 million.

**Foreign Currency Exchange Risk**

As further discussed in Note 10 to the unaudited interim consolidated financial statements, Alltel has entered into definitive agreements to sell its international operations acquired from Western Wireless in Ireland to a subsidiary of eircom Group plc for 420 million euros or approximately \$500 million at then current exchange rates and to sell the international operations in Austria to T-Mobile Austria GmbH, a subsidiary of Deutsche Telekom for 1.3 billion euros or approximately \$1.6 billion at then current exchange rates. During the third quarter of 2005, Alltel entered into two foreign currency forward exchange contracts to hedge its net investment in the Austrian and Irish operations. In accordance with the provisions of SFAS No. 133, "Accounting for Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities" and related amendments and interpretations, changes in the fair value of these contracts due to exchange rate fluctuations were recorded in shareholders' equity (foreign currency translation adjustment) and offset the effect of foreign currency changes in the values of the net investments being hedged. Alltel has not hedged the translation exposure to foreign currency changes in the value of the other international operations that are held for sale. A hypothetical 10 percent decrease in the value of the U.S. dollar against the euro would result in a \$165.3 million decrease in the fair value of Alltel's foreign currency forward exchange contracts at September 30, 2005.

**ALLTEL CORPORATION**  
**FORM 10-Q**  
**PART I – FINANCIAL INFORMATION**

**Item 4. Controls and Procedures**

- (a) Evaluation of disclosure controls and procedures.

The term “disclosure controls and procedures” (defined in SEC Rule 13a-15(e)) refers to the controls and other procedures of a company that are designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed by a company in the reports that it files under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the “Exchange Act”) is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within required time periods. Disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in SEC Rule 13a-15(e)) include, without limitation, controls and procedures designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed by a company in the reports that it files or submits under the Exchange Act is accumulated and communicated to the company’s management, including the company’s principal executive and financial officers, as appropriate to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure. Alltel’s management, with the participation of the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, have evaluated the effectiveness of the Company’s disclosure controls and procedures as of the end of the period covered by this quarterly report (the “Evaluation Date”). Based on that evaluation, Alltel’s Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer have concluded that, as of the Evaluation Date, such controls and procedures were effective. On August 1, 2005, Alltel completed its merger with Western Wireless Corporation. Alltel continues to assess Western Wireless’ control systems and expects the integration of Western Wireless’ control systems with Alltel’s control systems to be completed during the second quarter of 2006.

- (b) Changes in internal controls.

The term “internal control over financial reporting” (defined in SEC Rule 13a-15(f)) refers to the process of a company that is designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. Alltel’s management, with the participation of the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, have evaluated the changes in the Company’s internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the period covered by this quarterly report, and they have concluded that, except for the changes arising out of the Western Wireless merger, there were no changes to Alltel’s internal control over financial reporting that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, Alltel’s internal control over financial reporting.

**PART II – OTHER INFORMATION**

**Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds**

- (c) On January 23, 2004, Alltel announced a \$750.0 million stock repurchase plan that expires on December 31, 2005. During 2004, the Company repurchased 11.2 million shares of its common stock at a total cost of \$595.3 million, or an average cost of \$52.93 per share. No repurchases were made under the stock repurchase plan during the first nine months of 2005. As of September 30, 2005, remaining amounts that may be purchased under this plan were \$154.7 million.

**Item 6. Exhibits**

See the exhibits specified on the Index of Exhibits located at Page 52.

SIGNATURE

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

ALLTEL CORPORATION

---

(Registrant)

/s/ Jeffery R. Gardner

---

Jeffery R. Gardner  
Executive Vice President - Chief Financial Officer  
(Principal Financial Officer)  
November 8, 2005

**ALLTEL CORPORATION  
FORM 10-Q  
INDEX OF EXHIBITS**

<u>Form 10-Q Exhibit No.</u>	<u>Description of Exhibits</u>	
10(k)(13)	Amendment No. 12 to ALLTEL Corporation Pension Plan (January 1, 2001 Restatement).	(a)
10(l)(7)	Amendment No. 6 to ALLTEL Corporation Profit-Sharing Plan (January 1, 2002 Restatement).	(a)
10(o)(9)	Amendment No. 8 to ALLTEL Corporation 401(k) Plan (January 1, 2001 Restatement).	(a)
31(a)	Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.	(a)
31(b)	Certification of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.	(a)
32(a)	Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.	(a)
32(b)	Certification of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.	(a)

\* Incorporated herein by reference as indicated.  
(a) Filed herewith.

1

2

3

4

5



# **FORM 10-K/A**

**ALLTEL CORP - at**

**Filed: June 21, 2005 (period: December 31, 2004)**

Amendment to a previously filed 10-K

# Table of Contents

## PART I

- Item 1. Business
- Item 2. Properties
- Item 3. Legal Proceedings
- Item 4. Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders
- Item 5. Market for the Registrant's Common Equity and Related Stockholder Matters
- Item 6. Selected Financial Data
- Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations
- Item 7A. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk
- Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data
- Item 9. Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure
- Item 10. Directors and Executive Officers of the Registrant
- Item 11. Executive Compensation
- Item 12. Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters
- Item 13. Certain Relationships and Related Transactions
- Item 14. Principal Accountant Fees and Services
- Item 15. Exhibits, Financial Statement Schedules
- SIGNATURE
- EXHIBIT INDEX
- EX-23.1 (Consents of experts and counsel)
  
- EX-31.A
  
- EX-31.B
  
- EX-32.A
  
- EX-32.B

UNITED STATES  
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION  
Washington, D. C. 20549

FORM 10-K/A

AMENDMENT NO. 1 TO ANNUAL REPORT FILED PURSUANT TO  
SECTION 13 OR 15 (d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

Commission file number 1-4996

ALLTEL CORPORATION

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

DELAWARE

34-0868285

(State or other jurisdiction of  
incorporation or organization)

(I.R.S. Employer  
Identification No.)

One Allied Drive, Little Rock, Arkansas

72202

(Address of principal executive offices)

(Zip Code)

Registrant's telephone number, including area code (501) 905-8000

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act:

Title of each class

Common Stock  
\$2.06 No Par Cumulative Convertible  
Preferred Stock

Name of each exchange on which registered

New York and Pacific  
New York and Pacific

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Act:

NONE

(Title of Class)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days.  YES  NO

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K.

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is an accelerated filer (as defined by Rule 12b-2 of the Act).  YES  NO

Aggregate market value of voting stock held by non-affiliates as of June 30, 2004 — \$15,601,010.955

Common shares outstanding, January 31, 2005 — 302,475,315

DOCUMENTS INCORPORATED BY REFERENCE

Document

Proxy statement for the 2005 Annual Meeting of Stockholders  
The Exhibit Index is located on pages 30 to 36.

Incorporated Into

Part III

ALLTEL Corporation is filing this Form 10-K/A to amend its Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2004, which was previously filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on February 10, 2005. The Form 10-K is being amended to replace Exhibits 31(a) and 31(b), the certifications required by Exchange Act Rules 13a-14(a). The Exhibits 31(a) and 31(b) filed on February 10, 2005, inadvertently omitted the introductory language in paragraph 4 that refers to the certifying officers' responsibility for establishing and maintaining internal control over financial reporting.

Except for the amendments to Exhibits 31(a) and 31(b), this amendment does not update or modify in any way the disclosures in ALLTEL's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2004. Accordingly, this Form 10-K/A does not reflect events occurring after the filing of ALLTEL's Form 10-K on February 10, 2005.

---

**ALLTEL Corporation**  
**Form 10-K, Part I**

**Item 1. Business**

**THE COMPANY**

**GENERAL**

ALLTEL Corporation ("ALLTEL" or the "Company") is a customer-focused communications company. The Company owns subsidiaries that provide wireless and wireline local, long-distance, network access and Internet services. Telecommunications products are warehoused and sold by the Company's distribution subsidiary. A subsidiary also publishes telephone directories for affiliates and other independent telephone companies. In addition, a subsidiary provides billing, customer care and other data processing and outsourcing services to telecommunications companies. The Company is incorporated in the state of Delaware.

The Company's web site address is [www.alltel.com](http://www.alltel.com). ALLTEL files with, or furnishes to, the Securities and Exchange Commission (the "SEC") annual reports on Form 10-K, quarterly reports on Form 10-Q and current reports on Form 8-K, as well as various other information. ALLTEL makes available free of charge through the Investor Relations page of its web site its annual reports, quarterly reports and current reports, and all amendments to any of those reports, as soon as reasonably practicable after providing such reports to the SEC. In addition, on the corporate governance section of the Investor Relations page of its web site, ALLTEL makes available the Board of Director's Amended and Restated Corporate Governance Board Guidelines and the charters for the Audit, Compensation, and Governance Committees. ALLTEL will provide to any stockholder a copy of the Governance Board Guidelines and the Committee charters, without charge, upon written request to Vice President-Investor Relations, ALLTEL Corporation, One Allied Drive, Little Rock, Arkansas 72202.

**FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS**

This Form 10-K may include certain "forward-looking statements" within the meaning of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. Forward-looking statements are subject to uncertainties that could cause actual future events and results to differ materially from those expressed in the forward-looking statements. These forward-looking statements are based on estimates, projections, beliefs and assumptions and are not guarantees of future events and results. Words such as "expects", "anticipates", "intends", "plans", "believes", "seeks", "estimates", and "should", and variations of these words and similar expressions, are intended to identify these forward-looking statements. ALLTEL disclaims any obligation to update or revise any forward-looking statement based on the occurrence of future events, the receipt of new information, or otherwise.

Actual future events and results may differ materially from those expressed in these forward-looking statements as a result of a number of important factors. Representative examples of these factors include (without limitation) adverse changes in economic conditions in the markets served by ALLTEL; the extent, timing, and overall effects of competition in the communications business; material changes in the communications industry generally that could adversely affect vendor relationships with equipment and network suppliers and customer relationships with wholesale customers; material changes in communications technology; the risks associated with the integration of acquired businesses; adverse changes in the terms and conditions of the Company's wireless roaming agreements; the potential for adverse changes in the ratings given to ALLTEL's debt securities by nationally accredited ratings organizations; the availability and cost of financing in the corporate debt markets; the uncertainties related to ALLTEL's strategic investments; the effects of work stoppages; the effects of litigation; and the effects of federal and state legislation, rules, and regulations governing the communications industry.

In addition to these factors, actual future performance, outcomes and results may differ materially because of other more general factors including (without limitation) general industry and market conditions and growth rates, economic conditions, and governmental and public policy changes.

**ALLTEL Corporation**  
**Form 10-K, Part I**

**Item 1. Business**

**THE COMPANY (continued)**

**ACQUISITIONS**

**Pending Acquisitions to be Completed During 2005:**

On January 9, 2005, ALLTEL entered into an Agreement and Plan of Merger (the "Merger Agreement") with Western Wireless Corporation ("Western Wireless") providing for the merger of Western Wireless with and into a wholly-owned subsidiary of ALLTEL (the "Merger"). In the Merger, each share of Western Wireless common stock will be exchanged for .535 shares of ALLTEL common stock and \$9.25 in cash unless the shareholder makes an all-cash or all-stock election. Western Wireless shareholders making an all-stock or all-cash election may be subject to proration depending on elections made by shareholders. In the aggregate, ALLTEL will issue approximately 60 million shares of stock and pay approximately \$1.0 billion in cash. A subsidiary of ALLTEL will also assume debt of approximately \$2.2 billion, including \$1.2 billion of term notes issued under Western Wireless' credit facility that, as a result of a change in control, will become due immediately upon the closing of the Merger. As a result of the Merger, ALLTEL will add approximately 1.3 million domestic wireless customers (excluding reseller customers) in 19 midwestern and western states that are contiguous to the Company's existing wireless properties, increasing the number of wireless customers served by ALLTEL to approximately 10 million. ALLTEL also will add wireless operations in nine new states, including California, Idaho, Minnesota, Montana, Nevada, North Dakota, South Dakota, Utah and Wyoming, and will also significantly expand its wireless operations in Arizona, Colorado, New Mexico and Texas. In addition, ALLTEL will add approximately 1.6 million international wireless customers in six countries. Consummation of the Merger is subject to certain conditions, including, without limitation, the approval of the Merger by the stockholders of Western Wireless and the receipt of regulatory approvals. The transaction is expected to close by mid-year 2005.

On November 26, 2004, ALLTEL and Cingular Wireless LLC ("Cingular"), a joint venture between SBC Communications, Inc. and BellSouth Corporation, entered into a definitive agreement to exchange certain wireless assets. Under the terms of the agreement, Cingular will sell to ALLTEL former AT&T Wireless properties, including licenses, network assets, and subscribers, in selected markets in Kentucky, Oklahoma, Texas, Connecticut and Mississippi. Cingular will also sell to ALLTEL 20MHz of spectrum and network assets owned by AT&T Wireless in Wichita, Kansas and wireless spectrum in several counties in Georgia and Texas. In addition, ALLTEL and Cingular will exchange partnership interests, with Cingular receiving interests in markets including Wichita, Kansas; Kansas City, Missouri; Milwaukee, Wisconsin and several in Texas, and ALLTEL receiving more ownership in markets it manages in Michigan, Louisiana, and Toledo, Ohio. ALLTEL will also pay Cingular \$170.0 million in cash. Completion of this transaction is contingent upon regulatory approval and is expected to occur in the second quarter of 2005.

Following completion of the acquisitions discussed above, ALLTEL's domestic communications operations will serve approximately 10.1 million wireless customers, 3.0 million wireline customers, and 1.8 million long-distance customers in 36 states. As noted above, ALLTEL will also serve approximately 1.6 million wireless international customers in six countries.

**Acquisitions Completed During the Past Five Years:**

On December 1, 2004, ALLTEL completed the purchase of certain wireless assets from United States Cellular Corporation ("U.S. Cellular") and TDS Telecommunications Corporation ("TDS Telecom") for \$148.2 million in cash, acquiring wireless properties with a potential service area covering approximately 584,000 potential customers ("POPs") in Florida and Ohio. The Company also purchased partnership interests in seven ALLTEL-operated markets in Georgia, Mississippi, North Carolina, Ohio and Wisconsin. Prior to this acquisition, ALLTEL owned an approximate 42 percent interest in the Georgia market, with a potential service area covering approximately 229,000 POPs, and ALLTEL owned a majority interest in the Mississippi, North Carolina, Ohio and Wisconsin markets. On November 2, 2004, the Company purchased for \$35.6 million in cash wireless properties with a potential service area covering approximately 275,000 POPs in south Louisiana from SJI, a privately held company. Through these transactions, ALLTEL added approximately 92,000 wireless customers.

On August 29, 2003, the Company purchased for \$22.8 million in cash a wireless property with a potential service area covering approximately 205,000 POPs in an Arizona Rural Service Area ("RSA"). On February 28, 2003, the Company purchased for \$64.6 million in cash wireless properties with a potential service area covering approximately 370,000 POPs in southern Mississippi, from Cellular XL Associates, a privately held company. On February 28, 2003, the Company also purchased for \$60.0 million in cash the remaining ownership interest in wireless properties with a potential service area covering approximately 355,000 POPs in two Michigan RSAs. Prior to this acquisition, ALLTEL owned approximately 49 percent of the Michigan properties. Through the completion of these transactions, ALLTEL added approximately 147,000 customers and expanded its wireless operations into new markets in Arizona, Michigan and Mississippi.

**ALLTEL Corporation**  
**Form 10-K, Part I**

**Item 1. Business**

**THE COMPANY (continued)**

**ACQUISITIONS (Continued)**

On August 1, 2002, ALLTEL completed its purchase of local telephone properties serving approximately 589,000 wireline customers in Kentucky from Verizon Communications Inc. ("Verizon") for \$1.93 billion in cash. The acquired wireline properties overlapped ALLTEL's existing wireless service in northeastern Kentucky.

On August 1, 2002, ALLTEL also completed its purchase of substantially all of the wireless properties owned by CenturyTel, Inc. ("CenturyTel") for approximately \$1.59 billion in cash. In this transaction, ALLTEL added properties representing approximately 8.3 million POPs, acquired approximately 762,000 customers and expanded its wireless footprint into new markets across Arkansas, Louisiana, Michigan, Mississippi, Texas and Wisconsin. Also included in the transaction were minority partnership interests in cellular operations representing approximately 1.8 million proportionate POPs and Personal Communications Services ("PCS") licenses covering 1.3 million POPs in Wisconsin and Iowa.

On October 3, 2000, ALLTEL purchased wireless properties in New Orleans, Baton Rouge and three rural service areas in Louisiana from SBC Communications, Inc. ("SBC"). In connection with this transaction, ALLTEL paid SBC \$387.6 million in cash and acquired approximately 150,000 wireless customers and 300,000 paging customers. The Company disposed of the paging operations in 2001.

On January 31, 2000, ALLTEL, Bell Atlantic Corporation ("Bell Atlantic") and GTE Corporation ("GTE") signed agreements to exchange wireless properties in 13 states. On April 3, 2000, ALLTEL completed the initial exchange of wireless properties with Bell Atlantic in five states, acquiring operations in Arizona, New Mexico and Texas and divesting operations in Nevada and Iowa. In addition to the exchange of wireless assets, ALLTEL also paid Bell Atlantic \$624.3 million in cash to complete this transaction. On June 30, 2000, ALLTEL completed the remaining wireless property exchanges with Bell Atlantic and GTE, in which ALLTEL acquired operations in Alabama, Florida, Ohio, and South Carolina, and divested operations in Illinois, Indiana, New York and Pennsylvania. ALLTEL also transferred to Bell Atlantic or GTE certain of its minority investments in unconsolidated wireless properties, representing approximately 2.6 million POPs. In connection with the transfer of the remaining wireless assets, ALLTEL received \$216.9 million in cash and prepaid vendor credits of \$199.6 million and assumed long-term debt of \$425.0 million. Through the completion of the above transactions, ALLTEL acquired interests in 27 wireless markets representing about 14.6 million POPs and approximately 1.5 million wireless customers, while divesting interests in 42 wireless markets representing 6.9 million POPs and approximately 778,000 customers. During 2000, ALLTEL also acquired the remaining ownership interests in wireless properties in Florida and Georgia in which ALLTEL already owned a controlling interest. In connection with these acquisitions, the Company paid \$19.1 million in cash.

**DISPOSITIONS**

In December 2003, ALLTEL sold to Convergys Information Management Group ("Convergys") for \$37.0 million in cash certain assets and related liabilities, including selected customer contracts and capitalized software development costs, associated with the Company's telecommunications information services operations.

On April 1, 2003, ALLTEL completed the sale of the financial services division of its information services subsidiary, ALLTEL Information Services, Inc., to Fidelity National Financial Inc. ("Fidelity National"), for \$1.05 billion, received as \$775.0 million in cash and \$275.0 million in Fidelity National common stock. As part of this transaction, Fidelity National acquired ALLTEL's mortgage servicing, retail and wholesale banking and commercial lending operations, as well as the community/regional bank division. Approximately 5,500 employees of the Company transitioned to Fidelity National as part of the transaction. The telecom division of ALLTEL Information Services, Inc. was retained by the Company and was not part of the sale transaction with Fidelity National.

In January 2003, ALLTEL completed the termination of its business venture with Bradford & Bingley Group. The business venture, ALLTEL Mortgage Solutions, Ltd., a majority-owned consolidated subsidiary of ALLTEL, was created in 2000 to provide mortgage administration and information technology products in the United Kingdom.

During 2002, the Company sold its majority ownership interest in a Pennsylvania cellular partnership to Verizon for a total cash purchase price of \$24.1 million.

**ALLTEL Corporation**  
**Form 10-K, Part I**

**Item 1. Business**

**THE COMPANY (continued)**

**DISPOSITIONS (Continued)**

During 2001, the Company sold 20 PCS licenses in six states to Verizon Wireless for a total cash purchase price of \$410.0 million.

During 2000, the Company sold its PCS operations in Birmingham and Mobile, Alabama and PCS licenses in nine other markets for approximately \$112.0 million in cash.

**MANAGEMENT**

The Company's staff at its headquarters and regional offices supervise, coordinate and assist subsidiaries in management activities, investor relations, acquisitions, corporate planning, tax planning, cash management, insurance, sales and marketing support, government affairs, legal matters, engineering services, and technical research. They also coordinate the financing program for all of the Company's operations.

**EMPLOYEES**

At December 31, 2004, the Company had 18,598 employees. Within the Company's work force, approximately 1,526 employees are part of collective bargaining units. During 2004, ALLTEL had no material work stoppages due to labor disputes with its unionized employees.

**ORGANIZATIONAL STRUCTURE AND OPERATING SEGMENTS**

The Company has focused its communications business strategy on growing its customer base through strategic acquisitions and enhancing the value of its customer relationships by offering additional products and services and providing superior customer service. Through the various wireless acquisitions completed since 2000 discussed above under "Acquisitions", ALLTEL has significantly expanded its wireless business and enhanced the Company's ability to provide multiple communications services to its customers. The acquisition of Verizon's wireline properties in Kentucky, completed in 2002, increased ALLTEL's total number of wireline customers to more than 3 million. As of December 31, 2004, including customers of its wireless, wireline and long-distance services, the Company serves more than 13 million communications customers in 26 states. ALLTEL operates its communications businesses as a single operation capable of delivering to customers one-stop shopping for a full range of communications products and services. In addition to its wireless, wireline and long-distance service offerings, the Company also provides Internet, high-speed data transport services ("DSL"), paging and cable television services in select markets.

ALLTEL is organized based on the products and services that it offers. Under this organizational structure, the Company's communications operations consist of its wireless, wireline and communications support services segments. ALLTEL's wireless segment consists of the Company's cellular, PCS and paging operations. The wireline segment consists of ALLTEL's incumbent local exchange carrier ("ILEC"), competitive local exchange carrier ("CLEC") and Internet access operations. Communications support services consist of the Company's long-distance and network management services, communications products, directory publishing operations and the retained telecommunications information services operations of ALLTEL Information Services, Inc. that were not sold to Fidelity National. For financial information about ALLTEL's operating segments, refer to pages F-72 to F-75 of the Financial Supplement, which is incorporated by reference herein.

**WIRELESS OPERATIONS**

As of December 31, 2004, the Company provided wireless communications service to more than 8.6 million customers in 24 states. ALLTEL owns a majority interest in wireless operations in 90 MSAs, representing approximately 41.2 million wireless POPs, and a majority interest in 149 RSAs, representing approximately 21.1 million wireless POPs. In addition, ALLTEL owns a minority interest in 56 other wireless markets, including the Chicago, Illinois and Houston, Texas MSAs. As of December 31, 2004, ALLTEL's penetration rate (number of customers as a percentage of the total population in the Company's service areas) was 13.8 percent.

**ALLTEL Corporation**  
**Form 10-K, Part I**

**Item 1. Business**

**WIRELESS OPERATIONS (continued)**

ALLTEL has offered PCS service in Jacksonville, Florida, since March 1998. As previously discussed, in connection with the acquisition of the wireless assets from CenturyTel in 2002, ALLTEL acquired PCS licenses covering 1.3 million POPs in Wisconsin and Iowa. In 2000, the Company sold its PCS operations in Birmingham and Mobile, Alabama and PCS licenses in nine other markets, and in 2001, ALLTEL sold 20 additional PCS licenses. Giving effect to these transactions, the Company has 51 PCS licenses representing approximately 19.8 million POPs. ALLTEL provides paging services in select markets to customers on a resale basis. As of December 31, 2004, ALLTEL provided paging service to approximately 22,000 customers. Revenues derived from paging services are not significant to ALLTEL's wireless operating results.

During 2004, ALLTEL continued to upgrade its wireless network infrastructure and invest in state-of-the-art code division multiple access ("CDMA") technology, including 1XRTT. Capital expenditures for 2004 also included the Company's initial investment in wireless EV-DO technology in selected markets. ALLTEL expects to deploy EV-DO technology in 6 to 10 additional markets in 2005. Substantially all of the Company's wireless markets operate on digital-based systems. In addition, the Company supplements its wireless service coverage area through roaming agreements with other wireless service providers that allow ALLTEL's customers to obtain wireless services in those U.S. regions in which ALLTEL does not maintain a network presence. Through these roaming agreements, the Company is able to offer its customers wireless services covering approximately 95 percent of the U.S. population. ALLTEL continues to increase its network capacity and coverage area through new network construction, strategic acquisitions and affiliations with other wireless service providers.

**PRODUCT OFFERINGS AND PRICING**

Wireless revenues are derived primarily from monthly access and airtime charges, roaming and long-distance charges and charges for custom calling and other enhanced service features. Wireless revenues comprised 60 percent of ALLTEL's total operating revenues from business segments in 2004, compared to 58 percent in 2003 and 57 percent in 2002. Prices of wireless services are not regulated by the Federal Communications Commission ("FCC") or by state regulatory commissions; however, as more fully discussed under the caption "Regulation" on page 8, states are permitted to regulate the terms and conditions of wireless services unrelated to either rates or market entry.

ALLTEL strives to address the needs of a variety of customer segments, stimulate usage, increase penetration, and improve customer retention rates through a diverse product offering and pricing strategy. To accomplish these objectives, the Company offers competitive local, statewide, and national service plans. These service plans include packages of daytime, night and weekend, and mobile-to-mobile minutes. Customers can choose lower monthly access plans with fewer minutes, while customers needing more minutes can choose slightly higher access plans with more minutes. Family Freedom — an offering that gives customers the option to share minutes by adding additional lines of service at a discounted rate — helps target the growing number of families that have integrated wireless into their lives. In addition, the Company offers Call Home Free minutes. By allowing the lines on an account to designate a home telephone number as a wireless phone, customers are also able to receive the benefit of their mobile-to-mobile minutes when calling their home phone.

ALLTEL provides several voice features to enhance its wireless calling plans, including call waiting, call forwarding, caller identification, three-way calling, no-answer transfer, directory assistance call completion and voicemail. Depending on the customer's selection of rate plan, some or all of these features are included as an extra value to the plan, with the expectation of extending customer life.

The wireless industry has shifted to higher recurring revenue plans which provide a large number of packaged minutes, unlimited night and weekend calling and long-distance within the United States as an integral component of the plan. In order to offer one-rate plans on a profitable basis, the Company has endeavored to negotiate more favorable terms and conditions under its roaming agreements with other domestic wireless companies. As previously discussed, these roaming agreements provide ALLTEL's customers with the capability to use their wireless telephones while traveling outside the Company's service areas. In conjunction with the wireless assets exchange transaction completed in 2000, ALLTEL and Verizon Wireless also signed reciprocal roaming agreements. These agreements, which expire in January 2010, allow customers of each of the companies to roam on each other's networks across a footprint that covers approximately 95 percent of the U.S. population. As a result of these roaming agreements, ALLTEL can offer to customers profitably its one-rate pricing plans, Total and National Freedom. While these national rate plans provide the Company the ability to compete effectively for the high volume, roaming customer, retail roaming revenues will continue to decline to the extent customers migrate to these national rate plans.

**ALLTEL Corporation**  
**Form 10-K, Part I**

**Item 1. Business**

**WIRELESS OPERATIONS (continued)**

**PRODUCT OFFERINGS AND PRICING (continued)**

In addition to these voice features, in early 2004, the Company launched Touch2Talk, which is a walkie-talkie service designed to make it easy for customers to talk to business associates, family and friends at the touch of a button. Touch2Talk service is a niche product offered by several other carriers. Touch2Talk is differentiated by its ability to offer users real-time presence, which allows a user to determine if another user is currently available to receive a walkie-talkie call, and its ability to allow users to easily alternate between a walkie-talkie call and a regular cellular call.

In response to increasing demand, the Company continued to expand its various data solutions to its customers in 2004. Axxess, ALLTEL's brand name for its suite of data services, was broadened throughout the year to include many new downloadable wireless applications such as games, ringtones, wallpaper and office applications. Through its Axxess product, ALLTEL also offers text and picture messaging services. During 2004, ALLTEL also continued to expand its 1XRTT high-speed data network. At December 31, 2004, the Axxess high-speed data network had been implemented in markets covering approximately 50 percent of ALLTEL's POPs. Text Messaging continues to be the flagship data product in the Axxess suite, exhibiting growth in excess of 200 percent during fiscal 2004. A large scale promotion aimed at increasing text messaging usage and adoption, using Super Bowl XXXIX as a backdrop, began in the latter half of 2004 and had a positive effect on the entire suite of data products.

ALLTEL also offers several prepaid alternatives designed to increase market penetration. One alternative, "Pay-As-You-Go", is a traditional prepaid service in which the customer purchases a set amount of airtime to be used as needed. Prepaid package plans present customers with slightly different prepaid solutions by offering monthly access for buckets of anytime and night and weekend minutes. This solution targets new market segments that desire monthly access and buckets of minutes but choose prepaid to control expenses. Several voice and data feature enhancements, including text messaging, are available with prepaid offerings as well. In addition, through a distribution agreement with Wal-Mart, the Company also offers prepaid service under the "Simple Freedom" trademark. Simple Freedom offers nationwide calling, a flat rate per minute and does not require a deposit or service contract. As of December 31, 2004, prepaid customers represented approximately 9 percent of ALLTEL's wireless customer base.

Primarily as a result of the increased sales of the Company's higher-yield local, regional and national calling plans and increased sales of data services, retail revenue per customer per month increased to \$44.39 in 2004, compared to \$43.39 in 2003 and \$42.90 in 2002. The increase in retail revenue per customer per month in 2004 and 2003 was partially offset by decreased wholesale roaming rates, which resulted in average revenue per customer per month of \$48.13 in 2004, compared to \$47.51 in 2003 and \$46.97 in 2002.

Maintaining low postpay customer churn rates (average monthly rate of customer disconnects) is a primary goal of the Company, particularly as customer growth rates slow due to increased competition and higher penetration levels occur in the marketplace. ALLTEL experienced an average monthly postpay customer churn rate in its wireless service areas of 1.74 percent for the year ended December 31, 2004, compared to 2.09 percent and 2.23 percent for the years ended December 31, 2003 and 2002, respectively. To improve customer retention, the Company offers competitively priced rate plans, proactively analyzes customer usage patterns and migrates customers to newer digital handsets. ALLTEL also continues to upgrade its telecommunications network in order to offer expanded network coverage and quality and to provide enhanced service offerings to its customers. The Company believes that its improvements in customer service levels, proactive retention efforts, and digital network expansion contributed to the decrease in postpay customer churn in both 2004 and 2003.

**MARKETING**

ALLTEL's marketing strategy is to create and execute products, services and communications that drive growth while optimizing costs and minimizing customer churn rates. The Company's marketing campaigns emphasize that ALLTEL is a customer-focused communications company. The Company builds consumer awareness and promotes the ALLTEL brand by strategically advertising and differentiating relevant customer benefits, calling plans, price promotions and new products. The ALLTEL brand works to establish an emotional connection with current and prospective customers by focusing on meeting the real needs of the customer. The Company's marketing campaigns target distinct customer segments by usage patterns including individuals, families, and businesses. ALLTEL uses segmented marketing to target new customers, especially those switching from other carriers, as well as retaining current customers.

**ALLTEL Corporation**  
**Form 10-K, Part I**

**Item 1. Business**

**WIRELESS OPERATIONS (continued)**

**DISTRIBUTION**

ALLTEL utilizes four methods of distributing its wireless products and services in each of its markets: Company retail stores, Company retail kiosks, dealers and direct sales representatives. Using multiple distribution channels in each of its markets enables the Company to provide effective and extensive marketing of ALLTEL's products and services and to reduce its reliance on any single distribution channel. Dealer and direct sales channels remain important components of the Company's overall distribution strategy, with the primary objective for all channels being to produce the best combination of lower customer acquisition costs and higher customer retention rates.

ALLTEL currently conducts its retail operations in approximately 800 locations strategically located in neighborhood retail centers and shopping malls to capitalize on favorable demographics and retail traffic patterns. The Company's retail focus is to attract new customers through competitive service offerings and an efficient sales process. For ALLTEL, the incremental cost of obtaining a customer through a Company retail store is the lowest of any distribution channel.

ALLTEL also contracts with large national retail stores to sell wireless products and services directly through its own kiosks. The Company utilizes retail sales representatives at kiosks in large retailers to take advantage of high traffic generated by the retailers, to reduce the cost of the sale, and to ensure customers receive proper training in the use of wireless equipment and services. Existing customers can purchase wireless telephone accessories, pay bills or inquire about ALLTEL's services and features while in retail stores or at kiosks. Through dedicated customer service at its retail stores and kiosks, the Company's goal is to build customer loyalty and increase the retention rate of new and existing customers.

The Company enters into dealer agreements with electronics retailers and discounters in its markets. These local dealers may offer other wireless services like paging. In exchange for a commission payment, these dealers solicit customers for the Company's wireless service. The commission payment is subject to charge-back provisions if the customer fails to maintain service for a specified period of time. This arrangement increases store traffic and sales volume for the dealers and provides a valuable source of new customers for the Company. ALLTEL actively supports its dealers with regular training and promotional support.

ALLTEL's direct sales force focuses its efforts on business customers with high wireless telephone usage and multiple lines of service. This channel produces the lowest churn compared with any other distribution channel.

**COMPETITION**

Substantial and increasing competition exists within the wireless communications industry. Cellular, PCS and Enhanced Specialized Mobile Radio service providers may operate in the same geographic area, along with any number of resellers that buy bulk wireless services from one of the wireless providers and resell it to their customers. PCS services generally consist of wireless two-way communications services for voice, data and other transmissions employing digital technology. The entry of multiple competitors, including PCS providers, within the Company's wireless markets has made it increasingly difficult to attract new customers and retain existing ones. Competition for customers among wireless service providers is based primarily on the types of services and features offered, call quality, customer service, system coverage, and price. ALLTEL has responded to this growing competitive environment by capitalizing on its position as an incumbent wireless service provider by providing high capacity networks, strong distribution channels and superior customer service and by developing competitive rate plans and offering new products and services. ALLTEL's ability to compete successfully in the future will depend upon the Company's ability to anticipate and respond to changes in technology, customer preferences, new service offerings, demographic trends, economic conditions, and competitors' pricing strategies.

In the current wireless market, ALLTEL's ability to compete also depends on its ability to offer regional and national calling plans to its customers. As previously noted, the Company depends on roaming agreements with other wireless carriers to provide roaming capabilities in areas not covered by ALLTEL's network. These agreements are subject to renewal and termination if certain events occur, including if network quality standards are not maintained. If the Company were unable to maintain or renew these agreements, ALLTEL's ability to continue to provide competitive regional and nationwide wireless service to its customers could be impaired, which, in turn, would have an adverse effect on its wireless operations.

**ALLTEL Corporation**  
**Form 10-K, Part I**

**Item 1. Business**

**WIRELESS OPERATIONS (continued)**

**TECHNOLOGY**

Since inception, mobile wireless technologies have seen significant improvements in both speed and reliability. The first generation of wireless technology was analog, while second generation technologies employ digital signal transmission technologies. Third generation technologies, which are just beginning to be deployed in the U.S., provide even greater data transmission rates and allow the provision of enhanced data services.

ALLTEL will maintain its first generation analog services until the FCC no longer requires it or as long as non-CDMA operators continue to request roaming services. The Company has effectively completed the deployment of its CDMA digital network throughout its wireless markets, offering its customers quality second generation voice and circuit switch data. By the end of 2004, less than 3 percent of ALLTEL's traffic remained on its analog network. Second generation digital systems in the U. S. compress voice or data signals enabling a single radio channel to simultaneously carry multiple signal transmissions. CDMA digital technology provides expanded channel capacity and the ability to offer advanced services and functionality. In addition, digital technology improves call quality and offers improved customer call privacy.

Third generation digital wireless technologies increase voice capacity, allow high-speed wireless packet data services and are capable of supporting more complex data applications. In 2004, ALLTEL deployed CDMA2000 1XRTT data services in selected markets bringing coverage at year end to approximately 50 percent of ALLTEL's POPs. During 2005, the Company plans to continue expanding 1XRTT data deployments in additional markets with an expected total coverage of more than 90 percent of ALLTEL's POPs by the end of 2005. In addition, during 2004 the Company deployed CDMA2000 1xEV-DO in selected key markets, and anticipates expanding its deployment of EV-DO into 6 to 10 additional markets during 2005. EV-DO technologies provide a broadband wireless environment capable of supporting various leading edge wireless multimedia features and services along with enhanced speed on currently offered applications.

**REGULATION**

The Company is subject to regulation by the FCC as a provider of Commercial Mobile Radio Services ("CMRS"). The FCC regulates the licensing, construction, and operation of CMRS and other wireless communications systems, as well as the provision of services over those systems. The FCC has exercised its authority to refrain from rate regulation of wireless communications services, but retains its statutory authority to impose such regulation by prohibiting unjust or unreasonably discriminatory rates through complaint proceedings. The Company also is subject to state regulation with respect to the terms and conditions of its provision of CMRS. States may petition the FCC for authority to regulate rates if the states demonstrate that (1) the market has failed to protect consumers from unreasonable, unjust or discriminatory rates; or (2) market conditions exist such that CMRS are a replacement for land line telephone exchange service for a "substantial" portion of the telephone land line exchange service within each state.

The Telecommunications Act of 1996 ("96 Act"), provides wireless carriers numerous opportunities to provide an alternative to the telephony services provided by local exchange telephone companies and interexchange carriers. These opportunities include the ability to provide calling plans that integrate or bundle both local calling and long-distance service. Under the 96 Act and the FCC's rules, wireless telecommunications carriers are entitled to reciprocal compensation from local exchange carriers ("LECs") for calls transmitted from the LECs' networks and terminated on the wireless carriers' networks. Additionally, wireless carriers are characterized as "telecommunications carriers" under the 96 Act and not LECs. Consequently, CMRS carriers are not subject to the interconnection, resale, unbundling, and other obligations applicable to LECs under the 96 Act until such time as the FCC makes a finding that treatment of CMRS carriers as LECs is warranted. The 96 Act also eliminated any requirement that CMRS carriers provide subscribers with equal access to their long distance carrier of choice, although the FCC is empowered under the 96 Act to impose an equal access requirement on CMRS carriers through rulemaking should market conditions so warrant.

The Company holds FCC authorizations for Cellular Radiotelephone Service ("CRS"), Personal Communications Service ("PCS"), and paging services, as well as ancillary authorizations in the private radio and microwave services (collectively, the "FCC Licenses"). Generally, FCC licenses are issued initially for 10-year terms and may be renewed for additional 10-year terms upon FCC approval of the renewal application. The Company has routinely sought and been granted renewal of its FCC Licenses without contest and anticipates that future renewals of its FCC Licenses will be granted. Significant changes in ownership or control of an FCC license require prior approval by the FCC, and interested parties are afforded the opportunity to file comments or formal petitions contesting the transaction.

**ALLTEL Corporation**  
**Form 10-K, Part I**

**Item 1. Business**

**WIRELESS OPERATIONS (continued)**

**REGULATION (continued)**

Minority, non-controlling interests in an FCC license generally may be transferred or assigned without prior FCC approval, subject to compliance with the restrictions under the 96 Act on ownership interests held by foreign entities.

All of the Company's PCS licenses are for 10 MHz-wide broadband PCS systems. PCS licenses are granted for 10-year terms, and licensees must meet certain network build-out requirements established by the FCC to maintain the license in good standing. In order to meet the FCC's build-out requirements, the Company must construct networks in each licensed market that provide coverage to at least 25 percent of the population in the market within five years after the initial grant of the license or, alternatively, make a showing of "substantial service" within that same five-year period. ALLTEL met the FCC's build out requirements for its PCS licenses by providing coverage to 25 percent or more of the population in each licensed market by the end of the five-year build-out period on April 28, 2002.

Cellular systems operate on one of two 25 MHz-wide frequency blocks that the FCC allocates and licenses for CMRS service. Effective January 2003, the FCC eliminated the limitation on the amount of CMRS spectrum that a licensee may hold and the prohibition on the common ownership of both cellular licenses in the same metropolitan market. Effective February 2005, the FCC also eliminated the prohibition on the common ownership of both cellular licenses in the same rural market.

In an effort to promote more efficient number utilization, the FCC adopted rules requiring CMRS providers to participate in a nationwide number conservation program known as "thousand block number pooling" in accordance with roll out schedules established by the FCC, and to the extent applicable, state-sponsored number pooling trials. Under number pooling, carriers are required to return unused numbers in their inventory to a centrally administered pool and to accept assignment of new numbers in blocks of 1,000 instead of the 10,000 number blocks previously assigned. The FCC exempted small and rural CMRS and local exchange carriers from the pooling requirement until such time as they implement local number portability in response to a specific request from another carrier.

CMRS providers in the top 100 markets were required by the FCC to implement by November 24, 2003 (and, for all other markets, by May 24, 2004, or six months after the carrier receives its first request to port, whichever is later) wireless local number portability ("WLNP"), which permits customers to retain their existing telephone number when switching to another telecommunications carrier. Additionally, on November 10, 2003, the FCC released a decision providing guidance on number porting between wireline and wireless carriers, or "intermodal porting". The FCC required LECs in the top 100 markets, beginning on November 24, 2003 (and beginning on May 24, 2004 for all other markets), to port numbers to wireless carriers where the coverage area of the wireless carrier (*i.e.*, the area in which the wireless carrier provides service) overlaps the geographic location of the rate center in which the wireline number is provisioned, provided that the wireless carrier maintains the rate center designation of the number.

An appeal by the United States Telecommunications Association ("USTA"), along with certain rural telephone companies, of the FCC's November 10, 2003 decision is pending before the U.S. Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia Circuit (the "D.C. Circuit Court"). To date, the volume of intermodal porting requests processed by the Company for wireless customers has not been significant. In addition, various state public service commissions have granted the requests of rural LECs to suspend their obligations to port numbers to CMRS carriers.

Wireless service providers are required by the FCC to provide enhanced 911 emergency service ("E-911") in a two-phased approach. In phase one, carriers must, within six months after receiving a request from a phase one enabled Public Safety Answering Point ("PSAP"), deliver both the caller's number and the location of the cell site to the PSAP serving the geographic territory from which the E-911 call originated. A phase one-enabled PSAP is generally one that is capable of receiving and utilizing the number and cell site location data transmitted by the carrier. ALLTEL has generally complied with the phase one requirements and provides service to phase one capable PSAPs. As a result of certain technology and deployment issues, the six month window in which service is to be provided under the FCC rules has, in certain instances and in accordance with the rules, been extended by mutual agreement between ALLTEL and the particular PSAPs involved.

**ALLTEL Corporation**  
**Form 10-K, Part I**

**Item 1. Business**

**WIRELESS OPERATIONS (continued)**

**REGULATION (continued)**

In phase two, CMRS carriers like ALLTEL have opted for a handset-based solution must determine, for originated calls, the location of the caller within fifty meters for 67 percent of the originated calls and 150 meters for 95 percent of the originated calls. The phase two requirements were set to begin by October 1, 2001, but, because of certain technology and other factors, the Company requested a limited waiver of these requirements, as did virtually every other carrier. On July 26, 2002, the FCC released an order granting a temporary stay of the E-911 emergency implementation rules as they applied to the Company (the "FCC Order"). The FCC Order provides for a phased-in deployment of Automatic Location Identification ("ALI") capable network or handset-based technology that began on March 1, 2003. ALI capability permits more accurate identification of the caller's location by PSAPs. Under the FCC Order, the Company was required to: (1) begin selling and activating ALI-capable handsets prior to March 1, 2003; (2) ensure that, as of May 31, 2003, at least 25 percent of all new handsets activated were ALI-capable; (3) ensure that, as of November 30, 2003, at least 50 percent of all new handsets activated were ALI-capable; (4) ensure that 100 percent of its digital handsets activated were ALI-capable as of May 31, 2004; and (5) ensure that penetration of ALI-capable handsets among its customers will reach 95 percent no later than December 31, 2005. ALLTEL began selling ALI-capable handsets in June 2002 and to date has complied with the handset deployment thresholds under the FCC's Order, or otherwise obtained short-term relief from the FCC to facilitate certain recent acquisitions. Based on the current pace of customer migration to ALI-capable handsets, including the additional subscribers acquired through recent acquisitions, ALLTEL may have difficulty complying with the December 31, 2005 requirement to be 95 percent penetrated without incurring a significant increase in its operating costs.

To ensure affordable access to telecommunications services throughout the United States, the FCC and many states commissions administer universal service programs. CMRS providers are required to contribute to the federal universal service fund ("USF") and are required to contribute to some state universal service funds. Under FCC rules, CMRS providers also are eligible to receive support from the federal USF if they obtain certification as an Eligible Telecommunications Carrier ("ETC"). The federal universal service program is under legislative, regulatory and industry scrutiny as a result of the growth in the fund and structural changes within the telecommunications industry. The structural changes include an increase in the number of ETC's receiving money from the universal service fund and a migration of customers from wireline service to voice-over-Internet-protocol ("VoIP") providers that, today, are not required to contribute to the universal service program. There are several FCC proceedings underway that are considering changes to the way the universal service programs are funded and the way universal service funds are disbursed to program recipients. The specific proceedings are discussed in greater detail below.

During 2004, the Company sought ETC certification by the FCC and various state commissions. In September 2004, the Company received ETC approval by the FCC in certain non-rural properties in Alabama, Virginia, Georgia, North Carolina and Florida. ALLTEL also obtained approval of ETC applications from state commissions for certain of its properties in Michigan, Mississippi, Arkansas, Wisconsin, West Virginia, Louisiana and Kansas. The Company began receiving USF support associated with these ETC certifications in Michigan, Mississippi, Arkansas, Wisconsin and West Virginia in the first quarter of 2004, and for Louisiana and Kansas in the fourth quarter of 2004. The Company also sought ETC certification from the state commission in Arizona. On November 2, 2004, the Arizona commission granted ETC certification to ALLTEL subject to various conditions. On December 15, 2004, the Company notified the Arizona commission that the Company declined to accept the ETC certification in Arizona because the conditions associated with the certification were overly burdensome and could have hindered the Company's ability to effectively compete. ALLTEL received approximately \$50.0 million of gross USF subsidies in 2004 related to the approved ETC petitions and net USF subsidies of approximately \$42.0 million after deducting the portion of USF subsidies distributed to its unaffiliated partners in certain markets. ALLTEL expects to receive net USF subsidies of approximately \$25.0 million per quarter in 2005.

The FCC, in conjunction with the Federal/State Joint Board on Universal Service, is considering changes to the USF program, including strengthening the requirements in the ETC certification process and modifying the services qualified to receive USF support. The Joint Board recommended that the FCC adopt optional more stringent federal guidelines to assist states in the ETC certification process and limit USF support to a single "primary" connection per customer. In the 2005 Omnibus Appropriations Bill, Congress included language that prevents the FCC from enacting a primary line restriction on universal service support recommended to the FCC by the Joint Board. The Joint Board also asked the FCC to provide guidance on whether states choosing to apply these guidelines could rescind existing ETC designations if the states subsequently found that such designations were no longer in the public interest. Finally, the Joint Board recommended that states strengthen the annual ETC certification process to ensure USF funds are used "only for the provision, maintenance and upgrading of facilities

**ALLTEL Corporation**  
**Form 10-K, Part I**

**Item 1. Business**

**WIRELESS OPERATIONS (continued)**

**REGULATION (continued)**

for which the support is intended". If adopted, these changes would adversely affect the availability of USF to ALLTEL's wireless business, although until the final FCC Order is released (expected to occur in February 2005) and the specific changes, if any, are determined, the Company cannot estimate the specific impact that these changes would have.

The FCC mandated that, effective October 1, 2004, the Universal Service Administrative Company ("USAC") must begin accounting for the USF program in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles for federal agencies, rather than the accounting rules that USAC formerly used. This accounting method change subjected USAC to the Anti-Deficiency Act (the "ADA"), the effect of which could have caused delays in USF payments to USF program recipients and significantly increase the amount of USF regulatory fees charged to wireline and wireless consumers. In December 2004, Congress passed legislation to exempt USAC from the ADA for one year to allow for a more thorough review of the impact the ADA would have on the universal service program.

In October 2003, the FCC issued an order adopting rules that allow CMRS licensees to lease spectrum to others. The FCC further streamlined its rules to facilitate spectrum leasing in a subsequent order issued in September 2004. The FCC's spectrum leasing rules revise the standards for transfer of control and provide new options for the lease of spectrum to providers of new and existing wireless technologies. The FCC also deleted the rule prohibiting ownership of both A and B block cellular systems in the same rural service area. The FCC decisions provide increased flexibility to wireless companies with regard to obtaining additional spectrum through leases and retaining spectrum acquired in conjunction with wireless company acquisitions. The Company's evaluation of opportunities created as a result of these decisions is ongoing.

The Communications Assistance for Law Enforcement Act ("CALEA") requires wireless and wireline carriers to ensure that their networks have the capability and capacity to accommodate law enforcement agencies' lawful intercept requests. The FCC has imposed various obligations and compliance deadlines, with which ALLTEL has either complied or, in accordance with CALEA, filed a request for an extension of time. On August 18, 2004, the U.S. Department of Justice ("DOJ") objected to ALLTEL's pending extension request relating to the Company's packet-mode services insofar as that extension request relates to ALLTEL's "Touch2Talk" walkie-talkie service. ALLTEL is initiating discussions with DOJ personnel in an effort to address the DOJ's concerns. In response to a petition filed by the DOJ and other federal agencies, the FCC in August 2004 initiated a rulemaking to adopt new rules under CALEA pertaining to wireless and wireline carriers' packet mode communications services, including Internet protocol ("IP") based services. The FCC concurrently issued a declaratory ruling concerning the appropriate treatment of push-to-talk services under CALEA. Rules or precedents adopted as a result of these proceedings could impose new costs and obligations on ALLTEL and other carriers. The Company's "Touch2Talk" service is compliant with CALEA standards. The Company's packet services network requires a modest upgrade to be fully compliant with CALEA standards for packet requests from Law Enforcement. The cost of the upgrade is immaterial and will not adversely affect the Company's operations.

Under FCC and Federal Aviation Administration regulations, wireless carriers must comply with certain regulations regarding the siting, lighting and construction of transmitter towers and antennas. In addition, federal environmental regulations require carriers to comply with land use and radio frequency standards and require that wireless facilities and handsets comply with radio frequency radiation guidelines. The siting and construction of wireless facilities may also be subject to state and local zoning, land use and other regulatory oversight.

**ALLTEL Corporation**  
**Form 10-K, Part I**

**Item 1. Business**

**WIRELINER OPERATIONS**

As previously noted, the Company's wireline segment consists of ALLTEL's ILEC, CLÉC and Internet access operations. The Company's wireline subsidiaries provide local telephone service to 3.0 million customers primarily located in rural areas in 15 states. The wireline subsidiaries also offer facilities for private line, data transmission and other communications services. Wireline revenues, which consist of local service, network access and long-distance and miscellaneous revenues, comprised 29 percent of ALLTEL's total operating revenues from business segments in 2004, compared to 30 percent in both 2003 and 2002.

Local service operations provide lines from telephone exchange offices to customer premises for the origination and termination of telecommunications services including basic dial-tone service and dedicated private line facilities for the transport of data and video. ALLTEL also offers various enhanced service features including call waiting, call forwarding, caller identification, three-way calling, no-answer transfer and voicemail. Additional local service revenues are derived from charges for equipment rentals, equipment maintenance contracts, information and directory assistance and public payphone services. The Company also provides cable television service to approximately 36,000 customers in Georgia and Missouri. The cable television properties are not significant to ALLTEL's wireline operating results.

Network access and interconnection services are provided by ALLTEL by connecting the equipment and facilities of its customers to the communications networks of long-distance carriers, CLECs, competitive switched and special access providers, and wireless service providers. These companies pay access and network usage charges to the Company's local exchange subsidiaries for the use of their local networks to originate and terminate their voice and data transmissions. Network access revenues also include amounts derived from DSL. Miscellaneous revenues primarily consist of revenues derived from the Company's Internet access services, charges for billing and collections services provided to long-distance companies, customer premise equipment sales and directory advertising services.

**COMPETITION**

Many of the Company's ILEC operations have begun to experience competition in their local service areas. Sources of competition to ALLTEL's local exchange business include, but are not limited to, resellers of local exchange services, interexchange carriers, satellite transmission services, wireless communications providers, cable television companies, and competitive access service providers including those utilizing Unbundled Network Elements-Platform ("UNE-P"), voice-over-internet-protocol ("VoIP") providers and providers using other emerging technologies. Through December 31, 2004, this competition has not had a material adverse effect on the results of operations of ALLTEL's wireline operations, although competition has adversely affected the Company's access line growth rates. Customer access lines decreased 3 percent during the twelve months ended December 31, 2004. The Company lost approximately 86,000 access lines during 2004, primarily as a result of the effects of wireless and broadband substitution for the Company's wireline services. The Company expects the number of access lines served by its wireline operations to continue to be adversely affected by wireless and broadband substitution in 2005.

To address competition, ALLTEL is focusing its efforts on marketing and selling additional products and services to its customers by bundling together and offering at competitive rates its various product offerings, including long-distance, Internet and DSL services. Deployment of DSL service is an important strategic initiative for ALLTEL. Currently, DSL service is available to approximately 1.9 million, or 63 percent, of the Company's wireline customers. During 2004, the Company added approximately 90,000 DSL customers, continuing a three year long trend of strong growth in this service offering. For the twelve months ended December 31, 2004, the number of DSL customers grew by almost 60 percent to approximately 243,000 customers, or 12 percent, of the Company's addressable access lines. During 2004, the growth rate in the Company's DSL customers outpaced the rate of decline in customer access lines discussed above. In addition to its marketing efforts, ALLTEL remains focused on providing improved customer service, increasing operating efficiencies and maintaining the quality of its network. The Company has focused its wireline advertising on promoting ALLTEL's brand name while communicating the benefits of product bundling and price offerings.

Although DSL services have been a source of revenue and access line growth for the Company in 2004, 2003 and 2002, that service offering experiences competition from other broadband service providers, including cable television and satellite transmission service providers. Under the FCC's recent decision in its Triennial Review proceeding, as further discussed below under the caption "Local Service - Regulation" on page 14, it appears that the Company's provisioning of broadband DSL services will be largely deregulated. In addition, a number of carriers have begun offering voice telecommunications services utilizing the Internet as the means of transmitting those calls. This service, commonly know as VoIP telephony, is

**ALLTEL Corporation**  
**Form 10-K, Part I**

**Item 1. Business**

**WIRELINE OPERATIONS (continued)**

**COMPETITION (continued)**

challenging existing regulatory definitions. As further discussed below under the caption "Network Access Services – Regulation" on page 17, on February 12, 2004, the FCC adopted a Notice of Proposed Rulemaking that will consider the appropriate regulatory treatment of Internet-enabled communications services and address which regulatory requirements, for example, those relating to E-911, disability accessibility, access charges, and universal service, should be extended to Internet-enabled services. The results of the FCC's proceedings related to VoIP could have a significant effect on the Company's wireline operations.

**LOCAL SERVICE – REGULATION**

Prior to 1996, ALLTEL's wireline subsidiaries provided local telephone service under exclusive franchises granted by state regulatory commissions and subject to regulation by those regulatory commissions. These regulatory commissions have had primary jurisdiction over various matters including local and intrastate toll rates, quality of service, the issuance of securities, depreciation rates, the disposition of public utility property, the issuance of debt, and the accounting systems used by those subsidiaries. The FCC has historically had primary jurisdiction over the interstate toll and access rates of these companies and issues related to interstate telephone service.

The 96 Act substantially modified certain aspects of the states' and the FCC's jurisdictions in the regulation of local exchange telephone companies. The 96 Act prohibits state legislative or regulatory restrictions or barriers to entry regarding the provision of local telephone service. The 96 Act also requires ILECs to interconnect with the networks of other telecommunications carriers, unbundle services into network elements, offer their telecommunications services at wholesale rates to allow resale of those services, and allow other telecommunications carriers to locate their equipment on the premises of the incumbent local exchange carriers for the purpose of exchanging traffic.

The 96 Act also requires all local exchange telephone companies to compensate one another for the transport and termination of calls on one another's networks.

Except for certain of its subsidiaries in Nebraska, Ohio and the recently acquired property in Kentucky, the Company's local exchange subsidiaries are rural telephone companies, as defined under the 96 Act, and are exempt from certain of the foregoing obligations, unless, in connection with a bona fide request, a state regulatory commission removes that exemption. All of the Company's local exchange subsidiaries may seek specific suspensions or modification of interconnection obligations under the 96 Act as a company that serves less than two percent of the nation's access lines, where such interconnection obligations would otherwise cause undue economic burden or are technically infeasible.

In 1996, the FCC issued regulations implementing the local competition provisions of the 96 Act. These regulations established pricing rules for state regulatory commissions to follow with respect to entry by competing carriers into the local, intrastate markets of ILECs and addressed interconnection, unbundled network elements ("UNEs") and resale rates. The FCC's authority to adopt such pricing rules, including permitting new entrants to "pick and choose" among the terms and conditions of approved interconnection agreements, was appealed all the way up to the U.S. Supreme Court, which remanded various issues to the federal appellate courts and to the FCC. Ultimately, on May 13, 2002, the Supreme Court reversed certain of the federal appellate court's findings and affirmed that the FCC's rules concerning forward looking economic costs, including the total element long-run incremental cost methodology ("TELRIC"), were proper under the 96 Act.

In June 2002, the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Second Circuit found that the 96 Act did not create an "implicit immunity" for ILECs from antitrust law. This decision differed from an earlier decision from the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Seventh Circuit. The Supreme Court agreed to hear the case in March 2003, and on January 13, 2004, issued its decision holding that the 96 Act provided sufficient regulatory oversight of anti-competitive behavior, and the slight benefits of antitrust intervention were not justified. While antitrust laws remain in effect, this decision holds that broadening them to cover class-action antitrust lawsuits against ILECs for failing to open their networks to competitive carriers is not appropriate.

**Item 1. Business**

**WIRELINE OPERATIONS (continued)**

**LOCAL SERVICE – REGULATION (continued)**

The federal universal service program is under legislative, regulatory and industry participant scrutiny as a result of the recent growth in the fund and structural changes within the telecommunications industry. The structural changes include an increase in the number of ETC's receiving money from the universal service fund and a migration of customers from wireline service to VoIP providers that, today, are not required to contribute to the universal service program. There are a number of FCC proceedings underway that are considering changes to the way the universal service programs are funded and the way universal service funds are disbursed to program recipients. The specific proceedings are discussed in greater detail below.

In May 2001, the FCC adopted the Rural Task Force Order that established an interim universal service mechanism that will govern compensation for rural telephone companies for the ensuing five years. The interim mechanism has allowed rural carriers to continue receiving high-cost funding based on their embedded costs. On June 2, 2004, the FCC asked the Federal/State Joint Board on Universal Service (the "Joint Board") to review the FCC's rules as they pertain to rural telephone companies and to determine what changes, if any, should be made to the existing high-cost support mechanism when the current funding program expires in June 2006. The Joint Board sought comment on such a mechanism on August 16, 2004, but has taken no further action. In addition, the Joint Board sought comment on whether companies operating multiple study areas within a state should consolidate them for purposes of calculating universal service support. If the FCC implements this proposal, ALLTEL's universal service revenues would be reduced from their current level by approximately \$15.0 million annually. However, the Company cannot estimate the impact of the potential change from embedded cost to another methodology until the specific changes, if any, are adopted.

On November 8, 2002, the FCC requested that the Joint Board review certain of the FCC's rules relating to the high-cost universal support levels and the process by which carriers are designated as ETCs. On February 27, 2004, the Joint Board issued its recommended decision regarding a number of issues related to USF support for ETCs. Among its recommendations, the Joint Board suggested that the FCC should adopt optional federal guidelines to assist with state ETC designations and limit support to a single primary connection per customer. On June 8, 2004, the FCC asked for comments on the Joint Board's recommended decision, but did not elaborate or reach tentative conclusions on any of the Joint Board's recommendations. The 2005 Omnibus Appropriations Bill includes a provision that prevents the FCC from enacting a primary line restriction on universal service support recommended to the FCC by the Joint Board. The Company does not expect that the above proceedings will have any material impact on its wireline universal service funding.

As previously discussed under "Wireless Operations – Regulation", the FCC mandated that, effective October 1, 2004, USAC must begin accounting for the USF program in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles for federal agencies, rather than the accounting rules that USAC formerly used. This accounting method change subjected USAC to the ADA, the effect of which could have caused delays in USF payments to USF program recipients and significantly increase the amount of USF regulatory fees charged to wireline and wireless consumers. In December 2004, Congress passed legislation to exempt USAC from the ADA for one year to allow for a more thorough review of the impact the ADA would have on the universal service program.

On December 20, 2001, the FCC released a notice of proposed rulemaking initiating the first triennial review of the FCC's policies on unbundled network elements ("UNEs") including UNE-P. UNE-P is created when a competing carrier obtains all the network elements needed to provide service from the ILEC at a discounted rate. On August 21, 2003, the FCC released the text of its Triennial Review Order. The FCC adopted new rules governing the obligations of ILECs to unbundle certain elements of their local networks for use by competitors. As part of the Triennial Review Order the FCC also opened a further notice of proposed rulemaking to consider the "pick and choose" rule under which a competing carrier could select from among the various terms of interconnection offered by an ILEC in its various interconnection agreements. On July 13, 2004, the FCC released an order eliminating the "pick and choose" rule, replacing it with an "all-or-nothing" rule. Under the new rules, a requesting carrier may only adopt an effective interconnection agreement in its entirety, taking all rates, terms and conditions of the adopted agreement. The FCC explained that it eliminated the "pick and choose" rule to promote commercial negotiations and produce agreements better tailored to meet carriers' individuals needs.

On March 2, 2004, the D.C. Circuit Court overturned key portions of the FCC's Triennial Review Order. The D.C. Circuit Court's decision vacated the nationwide impairment standard, as well as the FCC's delegation of authority to the states, while generally upholding ILEC broadband relief. The D.C. Circuit Court's decision was to become effective on May 3, 2004.

**ALLTEL Corporation**  
**Form 10-K, Part I**

**Item 1. Business**

**WIRELINE OPERATIONS (continued)**

**LOCAL SERVICE – REGULATION (continued)**

On March 31, 2004, the FCC commissioners urged carriers to begin private commercial negotiations to resolve issues surrounding the competitor's access to unbundled network elements. To provide additional time for these negotiations, the FCC requested and was granted a 45-day extension to June 15, 2004 of the May 3, 2004 effective date of the D.C. Circuit Court's decision to vacate the UNE rules. The Supreme Court denied all petitions for review.

On September 13, 2004, the FCC released its Interim UNE Order requiring incumbent ILECs to maintain the status quo through March 13, 2005 and indicated that it would release permanent rules prior to that date. Under the interim rules, ILECs are required to provide mass-market switching, enterprise market loops and dedicated transport under the same rates, terms and conditions as in effect on June 15, 2004. If permanent rules are not adopted by March 13, 2005, UNE rates generally would increase by 15 percent for existing CLEC customers for a six-month period ending September 13, 2005. In both cases, the interim rates would be discarded if and when the FCC adopts permanent UNE rules. Various parties have filed an appeal of the Interim UNE Order and a writ of mandamus to strike down the Interim UNE Order and order the FCC to adopt compliant rules, both of which remain pending before the D.C. Circuit Court. On December 15, 2004, the FCC adopted permanent UNE rules, although the text of the order has not been released. These permanent rules appear to eliminate UNE-P as a CLEC entry strategy by dropping mass market switching from the required list of UNEs and reduce CLEC access to high-capacity loops and transport based on economic conditions in relevant wire centers. These permanent rules apparently will establish a twelve-month transition for most of the UNEs being eliminated. Until these scenarios unfold and the proceeding has worked its way through the courts, the ultimate impact of the Triennial Review proceeding and permanent UNE rules on ALLTEL's ILEC operations cannot be determined, however it is not expected to be material.

On September 15, 2003, the FCC launched its first comprehensive review of the rules that establish wholesale pricing of UNEs. The notice of proposed rulemaking sought comment on a variety of UNE and resale pricing-related issues and on a proposal to make total element long-run incremental cost methodology ("TELRIC") rules more closely account for the "real-world" attributes of the incumbent carrier's network. The FCC has not issued an Order in this proceeding but if this proposal were adopted, the result would likely be increased UNE prices. The potential increases are not expected to have a material increase on the Company's wireline operations.

Section 251(b) of the Communications Act of 1934 (the "34 Act"), as amended, requires, in part, that local exchange carriers provide local number portability to any requesting telecommunications carrier. Wireless carriers are generally defined as "telecommunications carriers" under the 34 Act, and are therefore eligible to port numbers with wireline carriers, which is referred to as "intermodal porting". As previously discussed under "Wireless Operations — Regulation", on November 10, 2003, the FCC released a decision providing guidance on intermodal porting issues. The intermodal porting requirement took effect on November 24, 2003 for wireline carriers in the top 100 MSAs and on May 24, 2004 for wireline carriers operating in markets below the top 100 MSAs. The majority of the Company's wireline operations are conducted in markets below the top 100 MSAs and were subject to the later May 24, 2004 implementation date for intermodal porting. To date, implementation of intermodal porting has not had a significant impact on the Company's wireline operating results.

Periodically, the Company's local exchange subsidiaries receive requests from wireless communications providers for renegotiation of existing transport and termination agreements. In these cases, the Company's local exchange subsidiaries renegotiate the appropriate terms and conditions in compliance with the 96 Act. The Company's local exchange subsidiaries have also executed contracts for transport and termination services with CLECs.

Most states in which ALLTEL's ILEC subsidiaries operate have adopted alternatives to rate-of-return regulation, either through legislative or state public service commission actions. The Company has elected alternative regulation for certain of its ILEC subsidiaries in Alabama, Arkansas, Florida, Georgia, Kentucky, Nebraska, North Carolina, Ohio, Pennsylvania, South Carolina, and Texas. The staff of the Kentucky Public Service Commission has challenged ALLTEL's ability to remain covered by the small company alternative regulation plan under which a portion of the Company's Kentucky operations presently operates. The Kentucky PSC is expected to address the issue in 2005. The Missouri Public Service Commission has ruled that the Company is not eligible for alternative regulation. The Company has appealed that decision, although the Missouri commission's action will not affect the Company's local service and intrastate access rates. ALLTEL continues to evaluate alternative regulation options in markets where its ILEC subsidiaries are presently not subject to alternative regulation.

**ALLTEL Corporation**  
**Form 10-K, Part I**

**Item 1. Business**

**WIRELINE OPERATIONS (continued)**

**LOCAL SERVICE – REGULATION (continued)**

The following summary sets forth a description of the alternative regulation plan for each of the states in which the Company has elected alternative regulation:

- ALLTEL's regulated Alabama wireline subsidiary has operated since 1996 under a Public Service Commission ("PSC") established alternative regulation plan. Under this plan, basic service rates have been capped. Non-basic service prices may be increased annually as long as the aggregate annual change is 10 percent or less. Access charges are also capped under this plan. The Alabama alternative regulation plan will be replaced in 2005 by two optional alternative regulation plans approved by the PSC. Under these optional plans basic local service rates will remain capped for a minimum of two years. Other rates are granted pricing flexibility under one optional plan and are capped for three years under the other optional plan. ALLTEL is evaluating these plans and will make an election in 2005.
- ALLTEL's regulated Arkansas wireline subsidiary has operated since 1997 under an alternative regulation plan established by statute. Under this plan, basic local rates and access rates may be adjusted annually by up to 75 percent of the annual change in the Gross Domestic Product-Price Index ("GDP-PI"). Other local rates may be changed without PSC approval and become effective upon the filing of revised tariffs.
- ALLTEL's regulated Florida wireline subsidiary operates under alternative regulation established by Florida statute. Under this plan, basic local rates may be increased once in any twelve-month period by an amount not to exceed the twelve month change in the GDP-PI less one percent. The Company may increase rates for non-basic services as long as the annual increase for any category does not exceed six percent in any twelve-month period. Non-basic rates can be increased by up to 20 percent annually in exchanges where another local provider is providing service. Intrastate access rates can be increased by the annual change in GDP-PI or three percent, whichever is less, only after access rates reach parity with the Company's interstate rates.
- ALLTEL's regulated Georgia wireline subsidiaries operate under an alternative regulation plan established by statute. Under this plan, basic local rates may be increased annually based on the annual change in GDP-PI. Other local rates may be increased by filing revised tariffs.
- ALLTEL has two regulated operating subsidiaries in Kentucky. The subsidiary acquired from Verizon is subject to rate of return regulation. The other subsidiary has operated under alternative regulation established by statute beginning in 1998. Under this plan, the subsidiary may adjust basic business and residential rates, zone charges and installation once during any 24 month period by an amount not to exceed the sum of the annual percentage change in GDP-PI for the immediately preceding two calendar years multiplied by the existing rate or charge to be adjusted subject to the following limitations: (1) basic business and residence rates may not exceed the average basic rates of the state's largest telephone utility, and (2) rates may not be increased by more than 20 percent. Access charges may not be adjusted if the change would result in intrastate access rates that exceed the company's interstate rates. Other local rates may be adjusted by filing tariffs. The staff of the Kentucky Public Service Commission has challenged ALLTEL's eligibility to remain under this alternative regulation plan. The Kentucky PSC is expected to address this issue in 2005.
- ALLTEL's regulated Missouri wireline subsidiary is subject to alternative regulation election established by statute. Under Missouri's alternative regulation, basic local service and intrastate access rates are capped at December 13, 2001 levels for a period of twelve months. Rate changes thereafter may be changed annually based on changes to the telephone service component of the Consumer Price Index. Prices for non-basic services may be increased up to eight percent per year after the initial twelve-month period. The staff of the Missouri PSC has challenged ALLTEL's price cap election. The Missouri PSC has ruled that the Company is not eligible to elect alternative regulation in Missouri. The Company has appealed this PSC decision to the Cole County Circuit Court and expects a ruling by the court in 2005.

**ALLTEL Corporation**  
**Form 10-K, Part I**

**Item 1. Business**

**WIRELINE OPERATIONS (continued)**

**LOCAL SERVICE – REGULATION (continued)**

- ALLTEL's regulated Nebraska operations are subject to alternative regulation established by statute. (Nebraska law exempts telecommunications companies from rate-of-return regulation.) In exchanges where competition exists, companies are required to file rate lists, which are effective after 10 days notice to the PSC. In exchanges where competition does not exist, companies file rate lists for all services except basic local with 10 days notice to the PSC and basic local rates may be increased after 90 days notice to affected subscribers. Basic local rate increases are reviewed by the PSC only if rates are increased more than 10 percent in twelve consecutive months or in response to a formal complaint signed by two percent of affected subscribers.
- ALLTEL's regulated North Carolina subsidiary has operated since 1998 under alternative regulation plan approved by the State Utility Commission. Local rates are adjusted annually by the annual change in GDP-PI less two percent. Rate changes are effective upon 14 days notice. Under the revised North Carolina statute adopted in 2003, this plan will remain in place unless ALLTEL and the State Utility Commission agree to modify the existing plan.
- ALLTEL's regulated Ohio wireline subsidiaries began in 2004, to operate under an alternative regulation plan established by the Ohio Public Utility Commission. Under this plan, basic service rates have been capped. Non-basic service rates are subject to limited pricing flexibility.
- ALLTEL's regulated Pennsylvania subsidiary has operated under the Alternative Form of Regulation and Network Modernization Plan (the "Plan") established by the Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission ("PUC"). Under the Plan, rates of competitive services are not regulated, but the PUC retains authority over the quality of these services. Rate changes for noncompetitive services are restricted to the GDP-PI less two percent. Revenue neutral rate rebalancing is also permitted for noncompetitive services. Under the plan, the total amount of an increase in the basic rates cannot exceed \$3.50 annually. The Pennsylvania statute that authorized the PUC to establish this Plan has been modified by the state legislature to provide additional regulatory options.
- ALLTEL's regulated South Carolina operations are subject to alternative regulation established by statute. Local rates for residential and single line business service cannot exceed the statewide average local service rate for a period of two years. After this two-year period, these rates can be adjusted pursuant to an inflation-based index. All other service rates may be increased subject to a complaint process for abuse of market position. The PSC has determined that any allegations of abuse of market position will be investigated on a case-by-case basis. Rate increases become effective 14 days after filing.
- The Company has two operating subsidiaries in Texas. These subsidiaries are subject to alternative regulation established by statute. All rates are capped for the duration of the plan.

**NETWORK ACCESS SERVICES – REGULATION**

The Company's local exchange subsidiaries currently receive compensation from other telecommunications providers, including long-distance companies, for origination and termination of inter-exchange traffic through access charges or toll settlements that are established in accordance with state and federal laws.

A number of carriers have begun offering voice telecommunications services utilizing Internet protocol as the underlying means for transmitting those calls. This service, commonly known as VoIP telephony, is challenging existing regulatory definitions and raises questions as to how such services should be regulated, if at all. Several state commissions have attempted to assert jurisdiction over VoIP services, but federal courts in New York and Minnesota have indicated that the FCC preempts the states with respect to jurisdiction. On March 10, 2004, the FCC released a notice of proposed rulemaking seeking comment on the appropriate regulatory treatment of IP-enabled communications services. The proposed rulemaking sought comment on the differences between IP-enabled services and traditional telephony services, and the distinctions between different types of IP-enabled services. The FCC indicated that the cost of the public switched telephone network should be borne equitably among those that use it and seeks comment on the specific regulatory requirements that should be extended to IP-enabled service providers, including requirements relating to E-911, disability accessibility, access charges, and universal service.

**ALLTEL Corporation**  
**Form 10-K, Part I**

**Item 1. Business**

**WIRELINE OPERATIONS (continued)**

**NETWORK ACCESS SERVICES – REGULATION (continued)**

Although the FCC's rulemaking regarding IP-enabled services remains pending, the FCC has adopted three orders establishing broad parameters for the regulation of such services. Specifically, on February 12, 2004, the FCC released an order declaring Pulver.com's "free" IP-based, peer-to-peer service that requires specialized telephone equipment or software for computers is not a telecommunications service, but rather was an unregulated information service subject to federal jurisdiction. On April 21, 2004, the FCC denied a waiver petition filed by AT&T requesting that its IP telephony service be exempt from access charges. The FCC ruled that AT&T's IP telephony service, which converted voice calls to IP format for some portion of the routing over the public switched telephone network prior to converting the calls back to their original format, is a regulated telecommunications service subject to interstate access charges. On November 12, 2004, the FCC ruled that Internet-based service provided by Vonage Holdings Corporation should be subject to federal rather than state jurisdiction. Several state commissions have filed appeals of the FCC's Vonage decision to various federal appellate courts. Other aspects of the Vonage petition for declaratory ruling, including how the service should be classified for regulatory purposes, remain pending. Also pending at the FCC is a petition filed by Level 3 Communications Inc. asking the FCC to forbear from imposing interstate or intrastate access charges on Internet-based calls that originate or terminate on the public switched telephone network. In 2004, the FCC initiated a rulemaking regarding the regulatory framework for implementing CALEA and tentatively concluded that CALEA should apply to VoIP services. If the FCC determines that IP-enabled services are not subject to similar levels of regulatory requirements, including contributions to federal and state universal service programs, other federal and state tax obligations and quality of service metrics, the Company's regulated local exchange operations will be at a competitive disadvantage. Until the FCC issues its decision in these proceedings, the Company cannot estimate the impact on its operations.

On October 8, 2004, the FCC granted in part and denied in part a petition filed by Core Communications requesting that the FCC forbear from enforcing provisions of the FCC's 2001 Internet Service Provider ("ISP") Remand Order. The FCC granted forbearance from the ISP Remand Order's growth caps and new market rule finding they were no longer in the public interest. The FCC denied forbearance from the ISP Remand Order's rate cap and mirroring rules. Various parties have filed for reconsideration with the FCC and appeals have been filed with the D.C. Circuit Court. If the FCC's decision in this Order is upheld, the Company is likely to incur an operating expense for delivering ISP-bound traffic to competitive wireline service providers that it has not had before. The Company is not able to estimate the amount of this additional expense because ISP-bound minutes traversing its network are not presently recorded, although it is very likely that the negative impact to operating margin would be less than \$10.0 million annually.

In April 2001, the FCC released a notice of proposed rulemaking addressing inter-carrier compensation. Under this rulemaking, the FCC asked for comment on a "bill and keep" compensation method that would significantly modify the existing rules governing reciprocal compensation and access charges. A number of state proceedings have also been initiated by various wireline companies to address compensation with respect to traffic that originates or terminates with wireless carriers or competitive wireline service providers. The outcome of the FCC and state proceedings could change the way ALLTEL receives compensation from, and remits compensation to, other carriers and its end users. Several industry associations have presented proposals to the FCC for the reform of inter-carrier compensation and universal service collection and distribution mechanisms. The FCC is expected to issue a further notice of proposed rulemaking seeking comment on these proposals in 2005. Until this proceeding concludes and the changes to the existing rules are established, if any, ALLTEL cannot estimate the potential impact the proposed changes would have on its ILEC revenues and expenses, nor the timing of the potential changes.

During the first quarter of 2002, the FCC initiated a rulemaking to evaluate the appropriate framework for broadband access to the Internet over wireline facilities. In the notice of proposed rulemaking, the FCC tentatively concluded that wireline broadband Internet access should be classified as an "information service" rather than a telecommunications service and, therefore, should not be subject to common carrier regulation. The FCC sought comments on their tentative conclusion, but has not reached a final order. In a related proceeding released March 15, 2002, the FCC issued a declaratory ruling concluding that cable modem service was an interstate "information service" and not a cable service or a telecommunications service. The FCC sought comment on whether there are legal or policy reasons why it should reach different conclusions with respect to wireline broadband Internet access and cable modem service, but has not reached a final order. On October 6, 2003, the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit (the "Ninth Circuit Court") rejected the FCC's classification of cable modem service as solely an unregulated "information service", finding a portion of the service to be a telecommunications service. The FCC requested a rehearing before the full Ninth Circuit Court, but the request was denied on March 31, 2004.

**ALLTEL Corporation**  
**Form 10-K, Part I**

**Item 1. Business**

**WIRELINE OPERATIONS (continued)**

**NETWORK ACCESS SERVICES – REGULATION (continued)**

The Ninth Circuit Court ruling was scheduled to become effective April 8, 2004, but the Ninth Circuit Court stayed the ruling pending appeal to the U. S. Supreme Court. On December 3, 2004, the Supreme Court agreed to hear the case and a ruling is expected in the summer of 2005. It remains uncertain whether cable modem service will ultimately fall under common carrier regulation of the 96 Act and whether cable companies will be required to provide nondiscriminatory access to their networks. At this time, ALLTEL cannot estimate what impact, if any, these broadband proceedings may have on its ILEC operations.

On October 11, 2001, the FCC adopted rate-of-return access charge reform and initiated a further round of rulemaking to consider other rate-of-return carrier issues. The order lowered traffic sensitive switched access rates, increased the subscriber line charge ("SLC") over time to bring it in line with SLCs adopted for price cap carriers and phased out carrier common line charges in favor of a new portable "Interstate Common Line Support" universal service mechanism, and retained the authorized 11.25 percent rate of return.

**TECHNOLOGY**

The Company believes the local exchange business is in transition from circuit switched technology, which forms the basis of the conventional landline telephone network, to digital packet-switched technology, which form the basis of the Internet Protocols ("IP") used over the Internet. ALLTEL is addressing this challenge with a strategy of providing data service to both business and residential customers through deployment of an IP packet data network, broadband access services like DSL, and targeted VoIP deployments in selected markets.

ALLTEL's backbone fiber network provides the basis for the transport of data traffic. ALLTEL has deployed almost 14,000 sheath miles of fiber optic cable across its service areas and continues to increase the capacity of its networks utilizing dense wave division multiplexing in both the core and metropolitan rings that serve both ALLTEL's wireline and wireless markets. Although the Company believes that significant capital expenditures will be required to continue increasing the scope of its data and fiber optic networks, ALLTEL believes its networks are well positioned to meet the increasing demand for data services.

**CLEC OPERATIONS**

ALLTEL has authority to provide competitive local exchange services in 17 states. As of December 31, 2004, the Company provided these services in six markets on both a facilities-based and resale basis, and, where necessary, has negotiated interconnection agreements with the appropriate incumbent local exchange carriers. ALLTEL's strategy is to provide local service in combination with other services provided by subsidiaries of the Company, including long-distance, wireless and Internet services. ALLTEL's primary focus for marketing and selling its CLEC services is directed toward the business customer segment through the offering of competitively priced and reliable services. The Company continues to evaluate the profitability of its existing CLEC operations in all markets.

Generally, CLECs are required to obtain certificates of public convenience and necessity. In addition, CLECs are required to file interstate access tariffs with the FCC and in most states, intrastate tariffs with the state public utility commissions. CLECs, however, are subject to significantly less regulation than ILECs. For example, CLECs are not subject to direct rate regulation (such as rate-of-return or price cap regulation). On April 26, 2001 the FCC established a benchmark to govern the interstate access charges imposed by CLECs. The FCC established a three-year transition process to phase down access prices charged by CLECs to rates comparable to their ILEC competitors. Since the end of this transition, CLEC access charges have been capped at the rate charged by the ILEC competitor operating in the CLEC's market. The FCC also adopted an exception that would permit rural CLECs competing with non-rural ILECs to charge access rates above those charged by the ILEC in certain circumstances. The Company is currently evaluating the effects on its CLEC operations of the FCC's Triennial Review and inter-carrier compensation proceedings discussed above.

**ALLTEL Corporation**  
**Form 10-K, Part I**

**Item 1. Business**

**COMMUNICATIONS SUPPORT SERVICES**

Communications support services consist of the Company's long-distance and network management services, product distribution, directory publishing and telecommunications information services operations. Revenues and sales from communications support services comprised 11 percent of ALLTEL's total operating revenues from business segments in 2004, compared to 12 percent in 2003 and 13 percent in 2002.

**LONG-DISTANCE AND NETWORK MANAGEMENT OPERATIONS**

Long-distance telecommunications services are provided on both a facilities-based and resale basis by ALLTEL subsidiaries. During 2004, approximately 73 percent of ALLTEL's long-distance traffic was transported on its own fiber optic networks. ALLTEL provides long-distance service in all of the states in which the Company provides local exchange service. In addition, ALLTEL offers long-distance service outside its ILEC service areas. As of December 31, 2004, ALLTEL provided long-distance service to nearly 1.8 million customers. The long-distance marketplace is extremely competitive and continues to receive relaxed regulation from both the FCC and state regulatory commissions. To meet the competitive demands of the long-distance industry, ALLTEL has created several business and residential service offerings to attract potential customers, such as volume price discounts, calling cards and simplified one-rate plans. As a long-distance service provider, ALLTEL's intrastate long-distance business is subject to limited regulation by state regulatory commissions, and its interstate business is subject to limited regulation by the FCC. State regulatory commissions currently require long-distance service providers to obtain a certificate of operating authority, and the majority of states also require long-distance service providers to file tariffs.

Network management services are currently marketed to business customers in select areas. These services are ancillary service offerings and are not significant components of ALLTEL's communications operations.

**PRODUCT DISTRIBUTION**

The Company's product distribution subsidiary, ALLTEL Communications Products, Inc. ("Communications Products"), operates four warehouses and four counter-sales showrooms across the United States. Communications Products is a distributor of telecommunications equipment and materials. Communications Products supplies equipment to affiliated and non-affiliated communications companies, business systems suppliers, railroads, governments, and retail and industrial companies. Communications Products offers a large variety of telecommunications-related products for sale. Certain of these products are inventoried including single and multi-line telephone sets, wireless handsets, local area networks, switching equipment modules, interior cable, pole line hardware, and various other telecommunications supply items. The Company has not encountered any material shortages or delays in delivery of products from their suppliers.

Communications Products experiences substantial competition throughout its sales territories from other distribution companies and from direct sales by manufacturers. Competition is based primarily on quality, product availability, service, price, and technical assistance. Since other competitors offer similar products, Communications Products also offers other services including expert technical assistance, maintaining wide-ranging inventories in strategically located warehouses and counter-sales showrooms to facilitate single supplier sourcing and "just-in-time" delivery, maintaining a full range of product lines, and by providing staging, assembly and other services. The Company periodically evaluates its product and service offerings to meet customer expectations and position Communications Products in the market as a quality, customer-focused distributor.

**DIRECTORY PUBLISHING**

ALLTEL Publishing Corporation ("ALLTEL Publishing") coordinates advertising, sales, printing, and distribution for 395 telephone directory contracts in 37 states. ALLTEL Publishing now provides all directory publishing services, except printing. The services provided by ALLTEL Publishing includes directory yellow page advertising sales, contract management, production, billing, and marketing. Both Verizon Directories and Quebecor World Printers performed printing services for the directories published in 2004 under two separate service agreements that expire in 2007 and 2008, respectively.

ALLTEL Corporation  
Form 10-K, Part I

Item 1. Business

COMMUNICATIONS SUPPORT SERVICES (continued)

TELECOMMUNICATIONS INFORMATION SERVICES

As previously discussed, in December 2003, the Company sold to Convergys certain assets and related liabilities, including selected customer contracts and capitalized software development costs. The sold customer contracts represented approximately 48 percent of the total revenues and sales reported by the telecommunications information services operations in 2003. During 2004, the Company also lost one of its remaining unaffiliated wireline services customers. The remaining telecommunications information services operations are not significant to ALLTEL's consolidated results of operations.

INVESTMENTS

On April 1, 2003, in connection with the sale of the Company's financial services division previously discussed, ALLTEL received shares of Fidelity National common stock. As of January 31, 2005, ALLTEL owned approximately 11.2 million shares of Fidelity National common stock, representing an approximate six percent interest in Fidelity National.

**ALLTEL Corporation**  
**Form 10-K, Part I**

**Item 2. Properties**

The Company's properties do not provide a basis for description by character or location of principal units. All of the Company's property is considered to be in good working condition and suitable for its intended purposes. A summary of the Company's investment in property, plant and equipment segregated between the Company's regulated wireline operations and all other non-regulated business operations is presented below.

**WIRELINE PROPERTY**

The Company's wireline subsidiaries own property in their respective operating territories which consists primarily of land and buildings, central office equipment, telephone lines, and related equipment. The telephone lines include aerial and underground cable, conduit, poles and wires. Central office equipment includes digital switches and peripheral equipment. The gross investment by category in wireline property as of December 31, 2004, was as follows:

	<u>(Millions)</u>
Land	\$ 18.3
Buildings and leasehold improvements	296.4
Central office equipment	2,850.6
Outside plant	3,424.0
Furniture, fixtures, vehicles and other	167.4
Total	<u>\$6,756.7</u>

Certain properties of the wireline subsidiaries are pledged as collateral on \$5.1 million of long-term debt.

**OTHER PROPERTY**

Other properties in service consist primarily of property, plant and equipment used in providing wireless communications services and information processing. Wireless plant consists of cell site towers, switching, controllers and other radio frequency equipment. Non-regulated wireline plant and equipment consists of aerial and underground cable, switches, and other peripheral equipment used in the provisioning of Internet, long-distance and competitive local access services. Information processing plant consists of data processing equipment, purchased software and capitalized internal use software costs. Properties of the product distribution and directory publishing operations mainly consist of office and warehouse facilities to support the business units in the distribution of telecommunications products and publication of telephone directories. The total investment by category for the non-wireline operations of the Company as of December 31, 2004, was as follows:

	<u>(Millions)</u>
Land	\$ 259.8
Buildings and leasehold improvements	838.4
Wireless plant and equipment	5,764.0
Data processing equipment and computer software	1,048.4
Non-regulated wireline plant and equipment	461.2
Furniture, fixtures, vehicles and other	322.5
Total	<u>\$8,694.3</u>

**Item 3. Legal Proceedings**

The Company is party to various other legal proceedings arising from the ordinary course of business. Although the ultimate resolution of these various proceedings cannot be determined at this time, management of ALLTEL does not believe that such proceedings, individually or in the aggregate, will have a material adverse effect on the future consolidated results of operations or financial condition of the Company.

To the knowledge of ALLTEL's management, no material legal proceedings, either private or governmental, currently are contemplated or threatened.

**Item 4. Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders**

No matters were submitted to the security holders for a vote during the fourth quarter of 2004.

**ALLTEL Corporation**  
**Form 10-K, Part II**

**Item 5. Market for the Registrant's Common Equity and Related Stockholder Matters**

- (a) The outstanding shares of ALLTEL's Common Stock are listed and traded on the New York Stock Exchange and the Pacific Exchange and trade under the symbol AT. The following table reflects the range of high, low and closing prices of ALLTEL's Common Stock as reported by Dow Jones & Company, Inc. for each quarter in 2004 and 2003:

Year	Qtr.	High	Low	Close	Dividend Declared
2004	4 <sup>th</sup>	\$60.62	\$53.40	\$58.76	\$0.38
	3 <sup>rd</sup>	\$55.80	\$49.23	\$54.91	\$0.37
	2 <sup>nd</sup>	\$51.95	\$48.63	\$50.62	\$0.37
	1 <sup>st</sup>	\$53.28	\$46.65	\$49.89	\$0.37
2003	4 <sup>th</sup>	\$49.98	\$43.75	\$46.58	\$0.37
	3 <sup>rd</sup>	\$50.31	\$44.51	\$46.34	\$0.35
	2 <sup>nd</sup>	\$49.68	\$43.62	\$48.22	\$0.35
	1 <sup>st</sup>	\$56.22	\$40.68	\$44.76	\$0.35

As of January 31, 2005, the approximate number of stockholders of common stock including an estimate for those holding shares in brokers' accounts was 200,000.

- (b) Not applicable.
- (c) On January 23, 2004, ALLTEL announced a \$750.0 million stock repurchase plan that expires on December 31, 2005. During the first nine months of 2004, the Company repurchased 9,675,600 shares of its common stock at a total cost of \$506.9 million, or an average cost of \$52.39 per share. Information pertaining to this plan for the fourth quarter of 2004 is presented in the table below.

Period	Total Number of Shares Purchased	Average Price Paid per Share	Total Number of Shares Announced Plans	Maximum Number of Shares (or Approximate Dollar Value) that May Yet Be Purchased Under the Plans
October 1-30, 2004	-	\$ -	-	\$243.1 million
November 1-30, 2004	1,571,900	56.25	1,571,900	\$154.7 million
December 1-31, 2004	-	-	-	\$154.7 million
Total	1,571,900	\$ 56.25	1,571,900	

**Item 6. Selected Financial Data**

For information pertaining to Selected Financial Data of ALLTEL Corporation, refer to pages F-37 and F-38 of the Financial Supplement, which is incorporated by reference herein.

**Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations**

For information pertaining to Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations of ALLTEL Corporation, refer to pages F-2 to F-36 of the Financial Supplement, which is incorporated by reference herein.

**Item 7A. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk**

For information pertaining to the Company's market risk disclosures, refer to page F-34 of the Financial Supplement, which is incorporated by reference herein.

**Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data**

For information pertaining to Financial Statements and Supplementary Data of ALLTEL Corporation, refer to pages F-41 to F-77 of the Financial Supplement, which is incorporated by reference herein.

i  
!

.

.

.

.

**ALLTEL Corporation**  
**Form 10-K, Part II**

**Item 9. Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure**

No reportable information under this item.

**Item 9(A). Controls and Procedures**

(a) Evaluation of disclosure controls and procedures.

The term "disclosure controls and procedures" (defined in SEC Rule 13a-15(e)) refers to the controls and other procedures of a company that are designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed by a company in the reports that it files under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the "Exchange Act") is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within required time periods. ALLTEL's management, with the participation of the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, have evaluated the effectiveness of the Company's disclosure controls and procedures as of the end of the period covered by this annual report (the "Evaluation Date"). Based on that evaluation, ALLTEL's Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer have concluded that, as of the Evaluation Date, such controls and procedures were effective.

(b) Management's report on internal control over financial reporting.

Management's Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting, which appears on page F-40 of the Financial Supplement, is incorporated by reference herein.

(c) Changes in internal controls.

The term "internal control over financial reporting" (defined in SEC Rule 13a-15(f)) refers to the process of a company that is designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. ALLTEL's management, with the participation of the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, have evaluated any changes in the Company's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the period covered by this annual report, and they have concluded that there was no change to ALLTEL's internal control over financial reporting that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, ALLTEL's internal control over financial reporting.

**Item 9(B). Other Information**

No reportable information under this item.

**Form 10-K, Part III**

**Item 10. Directors and Executive Officers of the Registrant**

For information pertaining to Directors of ALLTEL Corporation refer to "Election of Directors" in ALLTEL's Proxy Statement for its 2005 Annual Meeting of Stockholders, which is incorporated herein by reference. For information pertaining to the audit committee financial expert refer to "Board and Board Committee Matters" in ALLTEL's Proxy Statement for its 2005 Annual Meeting of Stockholders, which is incorporated herein by reference. Executive officers of the Company are as follows:

<u>Name</u>	<u>Age</u>	<u>Position</u>
Scott T. Ford	42	President and Chief Executive Officer
Kevin L. Beebe	45	Group President — Operations
Jeffrey H. Fox	42	Group President — Operations
C.J. Duvall Jr.	46	Executive Vice President — Human Resources
Francis X. Frantz	51	Executive Vice President— External Affairs, General Counsel and Secretary
Jeffery R. Gardner	45	Executive Vice President — Chief Financial Officer
Keith A. Kostuch	42	Senior Vice President — Strategic Planning
Sharilyn S. Gasaway	36	Controller
Scott H. Settlemeyer	36	Treasurer

There are no arrangements between any officer and any other person pursuant to which he was selected as an officer. Scott T. Ford is the son of Joe T. Ford, Chairman of ALLTEL's Board of Directors. Each of the officers named above has been employed by ALLTEL or a subsidiary for the last five years.

**ALLTEL Corporation**  
**Form 10-K, Part III**

**Item 10. Directors and Executive Officers of the Registrant (continued)**

ALLTEL has a code of ethics that applies to all employees and members of the Board of Directors. ALLTEL's code of ethics, referred to as the "Ethics in the Workplace" guidelines, is posted on the Investor Relations page of the Company's web site ([www.alltel.com](http://www.alltel.com)) under "corporate governance". ALLTEL will disclose in the corporate governance section of the Investor Relations page on its web site amendments and waivers with respect to the code of ethics that would otherwise be required to be disclosed under Item 5.05 of Form 8-K. ALLTEL will provide to any stockholder a copy of the foregoing information, without charge, upon written request to Vice President-Investor Relations, ALLTEL Corporation, One Allied Drive, Little Rock, Arkansas 72202.

**Item 11. Executive Compensation**

For information pertaining to Executive Compensation, refer to "Management Compensation" in ALLTEL's Proxy Statement for its 2005 Annual Meeting of Stockholders, which is incorporated herein by reference.

**Item 12. Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters**

For information pertaining to beneficial ownership of ALLTEL securities, refer to "Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management" in ALLTEL's Proxy Statement for its 2005 Annual Meeting of Stockholders, which is incorporated herein by reference.

Set forth below is additional information as of December 31, 2004, about shares of the Company's common stock that may be issued upon the exercise of options under the Company's existing equity compensation plans, divided between plans approved by ALLTEL's stockholders and plans not submitted to the stockholders for approval.

<u>(Thousands, except per share amounts)</u>	(a)	(b)	(c)
	Number of securities to be issued upon exercise of outstanding options (2)	Weighted-average exercise price of outstanding options	Number of securities available for future issuance under equity compensation plans, excluding securities reflected in column (a)
Equity compensation plans approved by security holders (1)	15,502.9	\$56.21	16,819.4
Equity compensation plans not approved by security holders	-	-	-
<b>Totals</b>	<b>15,502.9</b>	<b>\$56.21</b>	<b>16,819.4</b>

- (1) Includes the ALLTEL Corporation 1991 Stock Option Plan, ALLTEL Corporation 1994 Stock Option Plan for Employees, ALLTEL Corporation 1994 Stock Option Plan for Nonemployee Directors, ALLTEL Corporation 1998 Equity Incentive Plan, and the ALLTEL Corporation 2001 Equity Incentive Plan.
- (2) Does not include 419,492 stock options with a weighted-average exercise price of \$31.57, which were assumed by ALLTEL in connection with the Company's mergers with 360° Communications Company in 1998 and Aliant Communications Inc. in 1999. These options were issued under the Amended and Restated 360° Communications Company 1996 Equity Incentive Plan, 360° Communications Company 1996 Replacement Stock Option Plan, 360° Communications Company Amended and Restated Director Equity and Deferred Compensation Plan and the Lincoln Telecommunications Company 1989 Stock and Incentive Stock Plan. These plans have been frozen since the merger dates, with respect to the granting of any additional options.

**Item 13. Certain Relationships and Related Transactions**

For information pertaining to Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, refer to "Certain Transactions" in ALLTEL's Proxy Statement for its 2005 Annual Meeting of Stockholders, which is incorporated herein by reference.

**Item 14. Principal Accountant Fees and Services**

For information pertaining to fees paid to the Company's principal accountant and the Audit Committee's pre-approval policy and procedures with respect to such fees, refer to "Audit and Non-Audit Fees" in ALLTEL's Proxy Statement for its 2005 Annual Meeting of Stockholders, which is incorporated herein by reference.



ALLTEL Corporation

Form 10-K, Part IV

**Item 15. Exhibits, Financial Statement Schedules**

(a) The following documents are filed as a part of this report:

1. Financial Statements:

The following Consolidated Financial Statements of ALLTEL Corporation and subsidiaries for the year ended December 31, 2004, included in the Financial Supplement, which is incorporated by reference herein:

	Financial Supplement <u>Page Number</u>
Management's Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting	F-40
Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm	F-41 – F-42
Consolidated Statements of Income – for the years ended December 31, 2004, 2003 and 2002	F-43
Consolidated Balance Sheets — as of December 31, 2004 and 2003	F-44
Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows – for the years ended December 31, 2004, 2003 and 2002	F-45
Consolidated Statements of Shareholders' Equity – for the years ended December 31, 2004, 2003 and 2002	F-46
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements	F-47 to F-77
	Form 10-K
2. <u>Financial Statement Schedules:</u>	<u>Page Number</u>
Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm	28
Schedule II. Valuation and Qualifying Accounts	29
3. <u>Exhibits:</u>	
Exhibit Index	30-36

Separate condensed financial statements of ALLTEL Corporation have been omitted since the Company meets the tests set forth in Regulation S-X Rule 4-08(e)(3). All other schedules are omitted since the required information is not present or is not present in amounts sufficient to require submission of the schedule, or because the information required is included in the consolidated financial statements and notes thereto.

SIGNATURE

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

ALLTEL Corporation  
Registrant

By /s/ Jeffery R. Gardner  
Jeffery R. Gardner, Executive Vice President –  
Chief Financial Officer  
(Principal Financial Officer)

Date: June 21, 2005

**Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm on  
Financial Statement Schedule**

To the Board of Directors of ALLTEL Corporation:

Our audits of the consolidated financial statements, of management's assessment of the effectiveness of ALLTEL Corporation's (the "Company") internal control over financial reporting and of the effectiveness of the Company's internal control over financial reporting referred to in our report dated February 10, 2005 appearing in this 2004 Annual Report on Form 10-K of the Company also included an audit of the financial statement schedule listed in Item 15(a)(2) of this Form 10-K. In our opinion, this financial statement schedule presents fairly, in all material respects, the information set forth therein when read in conjunction with the related consolidated financial statements.

/s/ PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP

Little Rock, Arkansas,  
February 10, 2005

ALLTEL CORPORATION  
SCHEDULE II — VALUATION AND QUALIFYING ACCOUNTS  
(Dollars in Millions)

<u>Column A</u>	<u>Column B</u>	<u>Column C</u> <u>Additions</u>			<u>Column D</u>	<u>Column E</u>
<u>Description</u>	<u>Balance at Beginning of Period</u>	<u>Charged to Cost and Expenses</u>	<u>Charged to Other Accounts</u>	<u>Deductions Describe</u>		<u>Balance at End of Period</u>
<b>Allowance for doubtful accounts, customers and other:</b>						
<b>For the years ended:</b>						
December 31, 2004	\$46.3	\$184.9	\$ —	\$177.6	(A)	\$53.6
December 31, 2003	\$68.4	\$184.7	\$ —	\$206.8	(A)	\$46.3
December 31, 2002	\$49.7	\$265.9	\$ —	\$247.2	(A)	\$68.4
<b>Valuation allowance for deferred tax assets:</b>						
<b>For the years ended:</b>						
December 31, 2004	\$13.5	\$ 2.7	\$ —	\$ —		\$16.2
December 31, 2003	\$ 6.0	\$ 7.5	\$ —	\$ —		\$13.5
December 31, 2002	\$ 3.9	\$ 2.1	\$ —	\$ —		\$ 6.0
<b>Accrued liabilities related to restructuring and other charges:</b>						
<b>For the years ended:</b>						
December 31, 2004	\$ 3.8	\$ 50.9	(B)	\$ —	\$ 54.0	(C)
December 31, 2003	\$13.1	\$ 19.0	(D)	\$ —	\$ 28.3	(E)
December 31, 2002	\$13.8	\$ 69.9	(F)	\$ —	\$ 70.6	(G)

**Notes:**

- (A) Accounts charged off net of recoveries of amounts previously written off.
- (B) During 2004, the Company recorded restructuring and other charges of \$28.4 million related to a planned workforce reduction and the exit of its competitive local exchange carrier operations in the Jacksonville, Florida market. In addition, the Company recorded a \$2.3 million reduction in the liabilities associated with various restructuring activities initiated prior to 2003. The Company also recorded a write-down in the carrying value of certain corporate and regional facilities to fair value in conjunction with the proposed leasing or sale of those facilities of \$24.8 million.
- (C) Includes cash outlays of \$28.4 million for expenses paid in 2004 and non-cash charges of \$25.6 million, primarily consisting of the carrying value of certain corporate and regional facilities discussed in Note (B).
- (D) During 2003, the Company recorded restructuring and other charges of \$8.5 million in connection with the restructuring of the Company's call center operations. The Company also recorded a \$2.7 million reduction in the liabilities associated with various restructuring activities initiated prior to 2003, and ALLTEL also wrote off \$13.2 million of capitalized software development costs that had no alternative future use or functionality.
- (E) Includes cash outlays of \$13.1 million for expenses paid in 2003 and non-cash charges of \$15.2 million, primarily consisting of the write-off of capitalized computer software development costs discussed in Note (D).
- (F) These charges included a write-down in the carrying value of certain cell site equipment of \$7.1 million and integration expenses totaling \$28.8 million incurred in connection with the acquisition of wireline properties in Kentucky and wireless properties from CenturyTel. The integration expenses included branding and signage costs and costs to convert the acquired properties to the Company's internal billing and operations support systems. In addition, the Company also recorded charges of \$34.0 million in connection with the restructuring of the Company's CLEC, call center, retail and product distribution operations.
- (G) Includes cash outlays of \$58.0 million for expenses paid in 2002 and non-cash charges of \$12.6 million, primarily consisting of the write-downs in the carrying value of capitalized computer software costs and cell site equipment discussed in Note (F).

See Note 9 on pages F-66 to F-68 of the Financial Supplement, which is incorporated herein by reference, for additional information regarding the restructuring and other charges recorded by the Company in 2004, 2003 and 2002.

## EXHIBIT INDEX

### Number and Name

- (2)(a) Agreement and Plan of Merger, dated as of January 9, 2005, by and among ALLTEL Corporation, Western Wireless Corporation and Wigeon Acquisition LLC (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 2 to Current Report on Form 8-K, dated January 11, 2005). \*
- (3)(a)(1) Amended and Restated Certificate of Incorporation of ALLTEL Corporation (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit B to Proxy Statement, dated March 9, 1990). \*
- (a)(2) Amendment No. 1 to Amended and Restated Certificate of Incorporation of ALLTEL Corporation (incorporated herein by reference to Annex F of ALLTEL Corporation Registration Statement (File No. 333-51915) on Form S-4 dated May 6, 1998). \*
- (b) Bylaws of ALLTEL Corporation (As amended as of January 29, 1998) (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 3(b) to Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 1997). \*
- (4)(a) Rights Agreement dated as of January 30, 1997, between ALLTEL Corporation and First Union National Bank of North Carolina (incorporated herein by reference to Form 8-K dated February 3, 1997, filed with the Commission on February 4, 1997). \*
- (b) The Company agrees to provide to the Commission, upon request, copies of any agreement defining rights of long-term debt holders. \*
- (c) Indenture dated as of March 7, 1996, between 360° Communications Company and Citibank, N.A., as Trustee (the "1996 360° Indenture") (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 4.2 to 360° Communications Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 1995). \*
- (d) Form of 7 1/2% Senior Note Due 2006 issued under the 1996 360° Indenture (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to 360° Communications Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 1995). \*
- (e) First Supplemental Indenture dated as of February 1, 1999, among 360° Communications Company, ALLTEL Corporation and Citibank, N.A. as trustee (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 4(e) to Form 10-Q for the period ended March 31, 2003). \*
- (f) Indenture dated as of March 1, 1997, between 360° Communications Company and Citibank, N.A., as Trustee (the "1997 360° Indenture") (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 4.6 to 360° Communications Company's Current Report on Form 8-K dated March 17, 1997). \*
- (g) Form of 7.60% Senior Note Due 2009 issued under the 1997 360° Indenture (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 4.7 to 360° Communications Company's Current Report on Form 8-K dated March 17, 1997). \*
- (h) Form of 6.65% Senior Note Due 2008 issued under the 1997 360° Indenture (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 4.8 to 360° Communications Company's Current Report on Form 8-K dated January 13, 1998). \*
- (i) First Supplemental Indenture dated as of February 1, 1999, among 360° Communications Company, ALLTEL Corporation and Citibank, N.A. as trustee (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 4(i) to Form 10-Q for the period ended March 31, 2003). \*
- (10)(a)(1) Five Year Revolving Credit Agreement dated as of July 28, 2004, between ALLTEL Corporation and Bank of America, N.A., JPMorgan Chase Bank, Banc of America Securities LLC and J.P. Morgan Securities Inc., Citicorp USA, Inc., KeyBank National Association, Wachovia Bank, National Association, and Barclays Bank PLC (incorporated herein by reference to Form 10-Q for the period ended June 30, 2004). \*

\* Incorporated herein by reference as indicated.

\*\*\* Previously filed in ALLTEL's original 2004 Annual Report on Form 10-K.

(a) Filed herewith.

EXHIBIT INDEX, Continued

Number and Name

- (10)(b)(1) Agreement by and between ALLTEL Corporation and Joe T. Ford effective as of July 26, 2001 (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10(b)(4) to Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2001). \*
  
- (b)(2) Employment Agreement by and between ALLTEL Corporation and Scott T. Ford effective as of July 24, 2003 (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10(c)(9) to Form 10-Q for the period ended September 30, 2003). \*
  
- (c)(1) Change in Control Agreement by and between the Company and Scott T. Ford effective as of April 25, 1996 (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10(c)(6) to Form 10-Q for the period ended June 30, 1996). \*
  
- (c)(1)(a) Amendment to Change in Control Agreement by and between the Company and Scott T. Ford effective as of July 24, 2003 (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10(c)(10) to Form 10-Q for the period ended September 30, 2003). \*
  
- (c)(2) Change in Control Agreement by and between the Company and Kevin L. Beebe effective as of July 23, 1998 (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10(c)(2) to Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 1998). \*
  
- (c)(2)(a) Amendment to Change in Control Agreement by and between the Company and Kevin L. Beebe effective as of October 23, 2003 (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10(c)(2)(a) to Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2003). \*
  
- (c)(3) Change in Control Agreement by and between the Company and Jeffrey H. Fox effective as of January 30, 1997 (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10(c)(4) to Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 1998). \*
  
- (c)(3)(a) Amendment to Change in Control Agreement by and between the Company and Jeffrey H. Fox effective as of October 23, 2003 (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10(c)(3)(a) to Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2003). \*
  
- (c)(4) Change in Control Agreement by and between the Company and Francis X. Frantz effective as of October 24, 1994 (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10(c)(4) to Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 1994). \*
  
- (c)(4)(a) Amendment to Change in Control Agreement by and between the Company and Francis X. Frantz effective as of October 23, 2003 (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10(c)(4)(a) to Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2003). \*
  
- (c)(5) Change in Control Agreement by and between the Company and Jeffery R. Gardner effective as of January 28, 1999 (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10(c)(8) to Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 1998). \*
  
- (c)(5)(a) Amendment to Change in Control Agreement by and between the Company and Jeffery R. Gardner effective as of October 23, 2003 (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10(c)(5)(a) to Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2003). \*
  
- (c)(6) Change in Control Agreement by and between the Company and Keith A. Kostuch effective as of February 15, 2001 (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10(c)(9) to Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2000). \*
  
- (c)(7) Change in Control Agreement by and between the Company and C.J. Duvall Jr. effective as of January 22, 2004 (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10(c)(7)(a) to Form 10-Q for the period ended June 30, 2004). \*

\* Incorporated herein by reference as indicated.

\*\*\* Previously filed in ALLTEL's original 2004 Annual Report on Form 10-K.

(a) Filed herewith.

EXHIBIT INDEX, Continued

Number and Name

- (10)(c)(8) Change in Control Agreement by and between the Company and Sharilyn S. Gasaway effective as of January 22, 2004 (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10(c)(8)(a) to Form 10-Q for the period ended June 30, 2004). \*
- (c)(9) Change in Control Agreement by and between the Company and Scott H. Settelmyer effective as of January 22, 2004 (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10(c)(9)(a) to Form 10-Q for the period ended June 30, 2004). \*
- (d) Split-dollar Life Insurance Agreement by and between the Company and Francis X. Frantz effective as of March 1, 1994 (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10(d)(2) to Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 1994). \*
- (e)(1) Amended and Restated ALLTEL Corporation Supplemental Executive Retirement Plan (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10(e)(1) to Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2001). \*
- (e)(2) Amendment No. 1 to Amended and Restated ALLTEL Corporation Supplemental Executive Retirement Plan effective October 23, 2003 (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit (e)(2) to Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2003). \*
- (f)(1) Executive Deferred Compensation Plan of ALLTEL Corporation, as amended and restated effective October 1, 1993 (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10(e) to Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 1993). \*
- (f)(2) Amendment No. 1 to Executive Deferred Compensation Plan of ALLTEL Corporation (October 1, 1993 Restatement) effective January 29, 1998 (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10(f)(2) to Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 1997). \*
- (f)(3) Amendment No. 2 to Executive Deferred Compensation Plan of ALLTEL Corporation (October 1, 1993 Restatement) effective April 23, 1998 (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10(f)(3) to Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2002). \*
- (f)(4) Amendment No. 3 to Executive Deferred Compensation Plan of ALLTEL Corporation (October 1, 1993 Restatement) effective January 28, 1999 (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10(f)(4) to Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2002). \*
- (f)(5) Amendment No. 4 to Executive Deferred Compensation Plan of ALLTEL Corporation (October 1, 1993 Restatement) effective April 21, 1999 (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10(f)(5) to Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2002). \*
- (f)(6) Amendment No. 5 to Executive Deferred Compensation Plan of ALLTEL Corporation (October 1, 1993 Restatement) effective April 25, 2002 (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10(f)(6) to Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2002). \*
- (f)(7) Deferred Compensation Plan for Directors of ALLTEL Corporation, as amended and restated effective October 1, 1993 (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10(f) to Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 1993). \*
- (f)(8) Amendment No. 1 to Deferred Compensation Plan for Directors of ALLTEL Corporation (October 1, 1993 Restatement) (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10(f)(3) to Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 1996). \*
- (f)(9) Amendment No. 2 to Deferred Compensation Plan for Directors of ALLTEL Corporation (October 1, 1993 Restatement) effective April 25, 2002 (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10(f)(9) to Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2002). \*

\* Incorporated herein by reference as indicated.

\*\*\* Previously filed in ALLTEL's original 2004 Annual Report on Form 10-K.

(a) Filed herewith.

EXHIBIT INDEX, Continued

Number and Name

- |             |   |   |
|-------------|---|---|
| (10)(f)(10) | ALLTEL Corporation 1999 Nonemployee Directors Stock Compensation Plan (as Amended and Restated effective January 22, 2004)(incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit (f)(10) to Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2003). | * |
| (f)(11)     | ALLTEL Corporation 1998 Management Deferred Compensation Plan, effective June 23, 1998 (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10(f)(5) to Form 10-Q for the period ended June 30, 1998).  | * |
| (f)(12)     | Amendment No. 1 to the ALLTEL Corporation 1998 Management Deferred Compensation Plan effective June 23, 1998 (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10(f)(11) to Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2002).            | * |
| (f)(13)     | Amendment No. 2 to the ALLTEL Corporation 1998 Management Deferred Compensation Plan effective April 25, 2002 (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10(f)(12) to Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2002).           | * |
| (f)(14)     | ALLTEL Corporation 1998 Directors' Deferred Compensation Plan, effective June 23, 1998 (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10(f)(6) to Form 10-Q for the period ended June 30, 1998).  | * |
| (f)(15)     | Amendment No. 1 to the ALLTEL Corporation 1998 Directors' Deferred Compensation Plan, effective April 25, 2002 (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10(f)(14) to Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2002).          | * |
| (g)(1)      | ALLTEL Corporation 1991 Stock Option Plan (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit A to Proxy Statement, dated March 8, 1991).  | * |
| (g)(2)      | First Amendment to ALLTEL Corporation 1991 Stock Option Plan (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10(g)(3) to Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2000).   | * |
| (g)(3)      | ALLTEL Corporation 1994 Stock Option Plan for Employees (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit A to Proxy Statement dated March 4, 1994).   | * |
| (g)(4)      | First Amendment to ALLTEL Corporation 1994 Stock Option Plan for Employees (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10(g)(5) to Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2000).   | * |
| (g)(5)      | ALLTEL Corporation 1994 Stock Option Plan for Nonemployee Directors (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit B to Proxy Statement dated March 4, 1994).   | * |
| (g)(6)      | First Amendment to ALLTEL Corporation 1994 Stock Option Plan for Nonemployee Directors (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10(g)(5) to Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 1996).                                   | * |
| (g)(7)      | Second, Third and Fourth Amendments to ALLTEL Corporation 1994 Stock Option Plan for Nonemployee Directors (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10(g)(8) to Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2000).               | * |
| (g)(8)      | ALLTEL Corporation 1998 Equity Incentive Plan (incorporated herein by reference to Annex G of ALLTEL Corporation Registration Statement (File No. 333-51915) on Form S-4 dated May 6, 1998).  | * |
| (g)(9)      | First and Second Amendments to ALLTEL Corporation 1998 Equity Incentive Plan (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10(g)(9) to Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2000).   | * |

\* Incorporated herein by reference as indicated.

\*\*\* Previously filed in ALLTEL's original 2004 Annual Report on Form 10-K.

(a) Filed herewith.

EXHIBIT INDEX, Continued

Number and Name

- |             |   |   |
|-------------|---|---|
| (10)(g)(10) | ALLTEL Corporation 2001 Equity Incentive Plan (incorporated herein by reference to Appendix C to Proxy Statement dated March 5, 2001).  | * |
| (h)(1)      | Amended and Restated 360° Communications Company 1996 Equity Incentive Plan (incorporated herein by reference to Form S-8 (File No. 333-88923) of ALLTEL Corporation filed with the Commission on October 13, 1999).  | * |
| (h)(2)      | Lincoln Telecommunications Company 1989 Stock and Incentive Stock Plan (incorporated herein by reference to Form S-8 (File No. 333-88907) of ALLTEL Corporation filed with the Commission on October 13, 1999).   | * |
| (i)(1)      | ALLTEL Corporation Performance Incentive Compensation Plan as amended, effective January 1, 1993 (Exhibit 10(i) to Form SE dated February 17, 1993).  | * |
| (i)(2)      | Amendment No. 1 to ALLTEL Corporation Performance Incentive Compensation Plan, effective January 29, 1998 (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10(i)(1) to Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 1997).  | * |
| (j)(1)      | ALLTEL Corporation Long-Term Performance Incentive Compensation Plan, as amended and restated effective January 1, 1993 (Exhibit 10(j) to Form SE dated February 17, 1993).   | * |
| (j)(2)      | Amendment No. 1 to ALLTEL Corporation Long-Term Performance Incentive Compensation Plan as amended and restated effective January 1, 1993 (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10(j)(1) to Amendment No. 1 to Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 1993). | * |
| (j)(3)      | Amendment No. 2 to ALLTEL Corporation Long-Term Performance Incentive Compensation Plan (January 1, 1993 Restatement), effective January 29, 1998 (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10(j)(2) to Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 1997).            | * |
| (k)(1)      | ALLTEL Corporation Pension Plan (January 1, 2001 Restatement) (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10(k) to Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2001).   | * |
| (k)(2)      | Amendment No. 1 to ALLTEL Corporation Pension Plan (January 1, 2001 Restatement) (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10(k)(1) to Form 10-Q for the period ended September 30, 2002).   | * |
| (k)(3)      | Amendment No. 2 to ALLTEL Corporation Pension Plan (January 1, 2001 Restatement) (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10(k)(3) to Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2002).   | * |
| (k)(4)      | Amendment No. 3 to ALLTEL Corporation Pension Plan (January 1, 2001 Restatement) (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10(k)(4) to Form 10-Q for the period ended June 30, 2003).  | * |
| (k)(5)      | Amendment No. 4 to ALLTEL Corporation Pension Plan (January 1, 2001 Restatement) (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10(k)(9) to Form 10-Q for the period ended June 30, 2004).  | * |
| (k)(6)      | Amendment No. 5 to ALLTEL Corporation Pension Plan (January 1, 2001 Restatement) (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10(k)(5) to Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2003).   | * |
| (k)(7)      | Amendment No. 6 to ALLTEL Corporation Pension Plan (January 1, 2001 Restatement) (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit (10)(k)(6) to Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2003).   | * |

\* Incorporated herein by reference as indicated.

\*\*\* Previously filed in ALLTEL's original 2004 Annual Report on Form 10-K.

(a) Filed herewith.

EXHIBIT INDEX, Continued

Number and Name

(10)(k)(8)	Amendment No. 7 to ALLTEL Corporation Pension Plan (January 1, 2001 Restatement) (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit (10)(k)(7) to Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2003).	*
(k)(9)	Amendment No. 8 to ALLTEL Corporation Pension Plan (January 1, 2001 Restatement) (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit (10)(k)(8) to Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2003).	*
(k)(10)	Amendment No. 9 to ALLTEL Corporation Pension Plan (January 1, 2001 Restatement).	***
(k)(11)	Amendment No. 10 to ALLTEL Corporation Pension Plan (January 1, 2001 Restatement).	***
(k)(12)	Amendment No. 11 to ALLTEL Corporation Pension Plan (January 1, 2001 Restatement).	***
(1)(1)	ALLTEL Corporation Profit-Sharing Plan (January 1, 2002 Restatement) (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10(l) to Form 10-Q for the period ended March 31, 2002).	*
(l)(2)	Amendment No. 1 to ALLTEL Corporation Profit-Sharing Plan (January 1, 2002 Restatement) (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10(l)(2) to Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2002).	*
(l)(3)	Amendment No. 2 to ALLTEL Corporation Profit-Sharing Plan (January 1, 2002 Restatement) (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10(l)(3) to Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2003).	*
(l)(4)	Amendment No. 3 to ALLTEL Corporation Profit-Sharing Plan (January 1, 2002 Restatement) (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10(l)(4) to Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2003).	*
(l)(5)	Amendment No. 4 to ALLTEL Corporation Profit-Sharing Plan (January 1, 2002 Restatement) (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10(l)(5) to Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2003).	*
(l)(6)	Amendment No. 5 to ALLTEL Corporation Profit-Sharing Plan (January 1, 2002 Restatement).	***
(m)	ALLTEL Corporation Benefit Restoration Plan (January 1, 1996 Restatement) (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10(m) to Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 1995).	*
(n)(1)	Amended and Restated ALLTEL Corporation Supplemental Medical Expense Reimbursement Plan (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10(p) to Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 1990).	*
(n)(2)	First Amendment to ALLTEL Corporation Supplemental Medical Expense Reimbursement Plan (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10(n)(1) to Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2001).	*
(o)(1)	ALLTEL Corporation 401(k) Plan (January 1, 2001 Restatement) (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10(o) to Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2001).	*
(o)(2)	Amendment No. 1 to ALLTEL Corporation 401(k) Plan (January 1, 2001 Restatement) (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10(o)(2) to Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2002).	*
(o)(3)	Amendment No. 2 to ALLTEL Corporation 401(k) Plan (January 1, 2001 Restatement) (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10(o)(3) to Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2002).	*

\* Incorporated herein by reference as indicated.

\*\*\* Previously filed in ALLTEL's original 2004 Annual Report on Form 10-K.

(a) Filed herewith.

EXHIBIT INDEX, Continued

Number and Name

(10)(o)(4)	Amendment No. 3 to ALLTEL Corporation 401(k) Plan (January 1, 2001 Restatement) (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10(o)(4) to Form 10-Q for the period ended June 30, 2003).	*
(o)(5)	Amendment No. 4 to ALLTEL Corporation 401(k) Plan (January 1, 2001 Restatement) (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10(o)(5) to Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2003).	*
(o)(6)	Amendment No. 5 to ALLTEL Corporation 401(k) Plan (January 1, 2001 Restatement) (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10(o)(6) to Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2003).	*
(o)(7)	Amendment No. 6 to ALLTEL Corporation 401(k) Plan (January 1, 2001 Restatement) (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10(o)(7) to Form 10-Q for the period ended June 30, 2004).	*
(o)(8)	Amendment No. 7 to ALLTEL Corporation 401(k) Plan (January 1, 2001 Restatement).	***
(11)	Statement Re: Computation of per share earnings.	***
(12)	Statement Re: Computation of ratios.	***
(21)	Subsidiaries of ALLTEL Corporation.	***
(23)	Consent of PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP.	(a)
(24)	Power of attorney.	***
31(a)	Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.	(a)
31(b)	Certification of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.	(a)
32(a)	Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.	(a)
32(b)	Certification of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.	(a)

\* Incorporated herein by reference as indicated.

\*\*\* Previously filed in ALLTEL's original 2004 Annual Report on Form 10-K.

(a) Filed herewith.

ALLTEL CORPORATION

FINANCIAL SUPPLEMENT  
TO ANNUAL REPORT ON FORM 10-K  
FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2004

ALLTEL CORPORATION

INDEX TO FINANCIAL SUPPLEMENT  
TO ANNUAL REPORT ON FORM 10-K  
FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2004

<b>Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</b>	<b>F-2 – F-36</b>
<b>Selected Financial Data</b>	<b>F-37 – F-38</b>
<b>Management's Responsibility for Financial Statements</b>	<b>F-39</b>
<b>Management's Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting</b>	<b>F-40</b>
<b>Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm</b>	<b>F-41 – F-42</b>
<b>Annual Financial Statements:</b>	
Consolidated Statements of Income for the years ended December 31, 2004, 2003 and 2002	<b>F-43</b>
Consolidated Balance Sheets as of December 31, 2004 and 2003	<b>F-44</b>
Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the years ended December 31, 2004, 2003 and 2002	<b>F-45</b>
Consolidated Statements of Shareholders' Equity for the years ended December 31, 2004, 2003 and 2002	<b>F-46</b>
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements	<b>F-47 – F-77</b>

## MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

### Executive Summary

ALLTEL Corporation ("ALLTEL" or the "Company") is a customer-focused communications company providing wireless, local telephone, long-distance, Internet and high-speed data services to more than 13 million residential and business customers in 26 states. Among the highlights in 2004:

- Wireless customer growth was strong as ALLTEL added more than 600,000 net customers during the year, most of which were on postpay plans. Excluding the effects of acquisitions, the number of net new wireless customers increased 511,000 or 86 percent, from 2003. Primarily due to improvements in customer service levels, digital network expansion and ALLTEL's proactive retention strategies targeting customer contract extensions, wireless postpay churn decreased 35 basis points from 2003 to 1.74 percent, the lowest annual churn rate since 1998. Total churn, which includes prepay customer losses, was 2.23 percent for the year, a 36 basis point improvement from 2003.
- Wireless service revenues increased 7 percent from 2003 driven by an 8 percent increase in retail revenues, reflecting ALLTEL's focus on quality customer growth, data revenues and ETC subsidies. Average revenue per customer increased to \$48.13, the highest annual rate in four years. Retail minutes of use per wireless customer per month increased 32 percent from a year ago to 494 minutes.
- Wireless segment income for 2004 increased 2 percent from a year ago, reflecting the growth in retail revenues noted above, partially offset by a decline in wholesale wireless revenues and increased network costs attributable to the significant growth in customer usage. Wireless wholesale revenues decreased 4 percent year-over-year, primarily due to lower analog and TDMA minutes of use by other carriers' customers roaming on ALLTEL's wireless network, partially offset by continued growth in CDMA minutes of use.
- In its wireline business, ALLTEL added more than 90,000 high-speed data customers, increasing ALLTEL's DSL customer base to approximately 243,000. During 2004, the Company lost approximately 86,000 wireline access lines, a year-over-year decline of approximately 2.8 percent. Average revenue per wireline customer increased 2 percent from a year ago to \$65.87 due primarily to growth in DSL revenues and selling additional services and features to existing wireline customers. Wireline segment income comparisons for 2004 were favorably affected by the effects of strike-related expenses of \$14.9 million incurred in 2003. As a result of ALLTEL's continued focus on controlling operating expenses and the favorable year-over-year comparisons attributable to the strike-related expenses, wireline segment income for 2004 increased 5 percent from a year ago.
- ALLTEL maintained its strong financial position while returning more than \$1 billion in capital to shareholders. During 2004, ALLTEL paid out \$467.6 million in dividends and repurchased 11.2 million of its common shares at a cost of \$595.3 million, leaving \$154.7 million remaining under the Company's \$750.0 million stock repurchase program that expires at the end of 2005. At December 31, 2004, the Company had approximately \$1 billion in cash and marketable securities.

As further discussed under "Pending Acquisitions to be Completed in 2005", ALLTEL positioned its wireless business for future growth opportunities as a result of the Company's planned merger with Western Wireless Corporation ("Western Wireless"). This transaction, which is expected to close by mid-year 2005, is significant to ALLTEL in several ways. First, it will increase ALLTEL's wireless revenue mix to nearly 70 percent of the Company's total consolidated revenues. Second, the transaction will increase the Company's retail position in markets where ALLTEL can bring significant value to customers by offering competitive national rate plans. Third, this transaction will diversify ALLTEL's wireless roaming revenue sources, and, as a result of offering multiple technologies, the Company will become the leading independent roaming partner for the four national carriers in the markets served by ALLTEL. Finally, ALLTEL will enhance its strategic options as the wireless industry continues to restructure while preserving the Company's strong financial position.

During 2005, the Company will continue to face significant challenges resulting from competition in the telecommunications industry and changes in the regulatory environment, including the effects of potential changes to the rules governing universal service and inter-carrier compensation. In addressing competition, ALLTEL will continue to focus its efforts on improving customer service, enhancing the quality of its networks and expanding its product and service offerings.

## ACQUISITIONS

### Pending Acquisitions to be Completed During 2005

On January 9, 2005, ALLTEL entered into an Agreement and Plan of Merger (the "Merger Agreement") with Western Wireless providing for the merger of Western Wireless with and into a wholly-owned subsidiary of ALLTEL (the "Merger"). In the Merger, each share of Western Wireless common stock will be exchanged for .535 shares of ALLTEL common stock and \$9.25 in cash unless the shareholder makes an all-cash or all-stock election. Western Wireless shareholders making an all-stock or all-cash election may be subject to proration depending on elections made by shareholders. In the aggregate, ALLTEL will issue approximately 60 million shares of stock and pay approximately \$1.0 billion in cash. A subsidiary of ALLTEL will also assume debt of approximately \$2.2 billion, including \$1.2 billion of term notes issued under Western Wireless' credit facility that, as a result of a change in control, will become due immediately upon the closing of the Merger. The transaction is valued at approximately \$6 billion. As a result of the Merger, ALLTEL will add approximately 1.3 million domestic wireless customers (excluding reseller customers) in 19 midwestern and western states that are contiguous to the Company's existing wireless properties, increasing the number of wireless customers served by ALLTEL to approximately 10 million. ALLTEL also will add wireless operations in nine new states, including California, Idaho, Minnesota, Montana, Nevada, North Dakota, South Dakota, Utah and Wyoming, and will also significantly expand its wireless operations in Arizona, Colorado, New Mexico and Texas. In addition, ALLTEL will add approximately 1.6 million international wireless customers in six countries. Consummation of the Merger is subject to certain conditions, including, without limitation, the approval of the Merger by the stockholders of Western Wireless and the receipt of regulatory approvals. The transaction is expected to close by mid-year 2005. (See Note 18 to the consolidated financial statements for additional information regarding this pending merger.)

On November 26, 2004, ALLTEL and Cingular Wireless LLC ("Cingular"), a joint venture between SBC Communications, Inc. and BellSouth Corporation, entered into a definitive agreement to exchange certain wireless assets. Under the terms of the agreement, Cingular will sell to ALLTEL former AT&T Wireless properties, including licenses, network assets, and subscribers, in selected markets in Kentucky, Oklahoma, Texas, Connecticut and Mississippi. Cingular will also sell to ALLTEL 20MHz of spectrum and network assets owned by AT&T Wireless in Wichita, Kansas and wireless spectrum in several counties in Georgia and Texas. In addition, ALLTEL and Cingular will exchange partnership interests, with Cingular receiving interests in markets including Wichita, Kansas; Kansas City, Missouri; Milwaukee, Wisconsin and several in Texas, and ALLTEL receiving more ownership in markets it manages in Michigan, Louisiana, and Toledo, Ohio. ALLTEL will also pay Cingular \$170.0 million in cash. Completion of this transaction is contingent upon regulatory approval and is expected to occur in the second quarter of 2005.

### Acquisitions Completed During 2004, 2003 and 2002

On December 1, 2004, ALLTEL completed the purchase of certain wireless assets from United States Cellular Corporation ("U.S. Cellular") and TDS Telecommunications Corporation ("TDS Telecom") for \$148.2 million in cash, acquiring wireless properties with a potential service area covering approximately 584,000 potential customers ("POPs") in Florida and Ohio. The Company also purchased partnership interests in seven ALLTEL-operated markets in Georgia, Mississippi, North Carolina, Ohio and Wisconsin. Prior to this acquisition, ALLTEL owned an approximate 42 percent interest in the Georgia market, with a potential service area covering approximately 229,000 POPs, and ALLTEL owned a majority interest in the Mississippi, North Carolina, Ohio and Wisconsin markets. On November 2, 2004, the Company purchased for \$35.6 million in cash wireless properties with a potential service area covering approximately 275,000 POPs in south Louisiana from SJI, a privately held company. During the fourth quarter of 2004, ALLTEL also acquired additional ownership interests in wireless properties in Louisiana and Wisconsin in which the Company owned a majority interest in exchange for \$1.4 million in cash and a portion of the Company's ownership interest in a wireless partnership serving the St. Louis, Missouri market. Through these transactions, ALLTEL added approximately 92,000 wireless customers. Because all of the acquisitions were completed in the fourth quarter of 2004, the acquired operations did not have a significant effect on the Company's consolidated results of operations or cash flows for the year ended December 31, 2004.

On August 29, 2003, ALLTEL purchased for \$22.8 million in cash a wireless property with a potential service area covering approximately 205,000 POPs in an Arizona Rural Service Area ("RSA"). During the third quarter of 2003, the Company also purchased for \$5.7 million in cash additional ownership interests in wireless properties in Mississippi, New Mexico and Virginia in which the Company owned a majority interest. On April 1, 2003, the Company paid \$7.5 million to increase its ownership interest from 43 percent to approximately 86 percent in a wireless property with a potential service area covering about 145,000 POPs in a Wisconsin RSA. On February 28, 2003, the Company purchased for \$72.0 million in cash wireless properties with a potential service area covering approximately 370,000 POPs in southern Mississippi, from Cellular XL Associates ("Cellular XL"), a privately held company. On February 28, 2003, the Company also purchased for \$60.0 million in cash the remaining ownership interest in wireless properties with a potential service area covering approximately 355,000 POPs in two Michigan RSAs. Prior to this acquisition, ALLTEL owned approximately 49 percent of the Michigan properties. Through the completion of these transactions, ALLTEL added approximately 147,000 wireless customers.

On August 1, 2002, ALLTEL completed its purchase of local telephone properties serving approximately 589,000 wireline customers in Kentucky from Verizon Communications Inc. ("Verizon") for \$1.93 billion in cash. The acquired wireline properties overlapped ALLTEL's existing wireless service in northeastern Kentucky and increased the Company's total access lines by approximately 23 percent to nearly 3.2 million wireline customers. On August 1, 2002, ALLTEL also completed its purchase of substantially all of the wireless properties owned by CenturyTel, Inc. ("CenturyTel") for approximately \$1.59 billion in cash. Through the completion of the transaction, ALLTEL added properties representing approximately 8.3 million POPs, acquired approximately 762,000 customers, increasing its wireless customer base to more than 7.5 million customers, and expanded its wireless footprint into new markets across Arkansas, Louisiana, Michigan, Mississippi, Texas and Wisconsin. Also included in the transaction were minority partnership interests in cellular operations representing approximately 1.8 million proportionate POPs, and Personal Communications Services ("PCS") licenses covering 1.3 million POPs in Wisconsin and Iowa. To fund the cost of these acquisitions, during the second quarter of 2002, ALLTEL sold 27.7 million equity units and received net proceeds of \$1.34 billion. In June 2002, the Company also issued \$1.5 billion of unsecured long-term debt consisting of \$800.0 million of 7.0 percent senior notes due July 1, 2012 and \$700.0 million of 7.875 percent senior notes due July 1, 2032. Net proceeds from this debt issuance were \$1.47 billion, after deducting the underwriting discount and other offering expenses. The net proceeds from the issuance of the equity units and long-term debt of \$2.81 billion were invested until completion of the acquisitions.

The accounts and results of operations of the acquired wireline and wireless properties discussed above are included in the accompanying consolidated financial statements from the date of acquisition. (See Note 3 to the consolidated financial statements for additional information regarding these acquisitions.)

### **CONSOLIDATED RESULTS OF OPERATIONS**

(Millions, except per share amounts)	2004	2003	2002
<b>Revenues and sales:</b>			
Service revenues	\$7,374.3	\$7,156.1	\$6,428.9
Product sales	871.8	823.8	683.5
<b>Total revenues and sales</b>	<b>8,246.1</b>	<b>7,979.9</b>	<b>7,112.4</b>
<b>Costs and expenses:</b>			
Cost of services	2,374.2	2,273.6	2,039.0
Cost of products sold	1,075.5	1,043.5	891.3
Selling, general, administrative and other	1,524.2	1,498.1	1,297.0
Depreciation and amortization	1,299.7	1,247.7	1,095.5
Restructuring and other charges	50.9	19.0	69.9
<b>Total costs and expenses</b>	<b>6,324.5</b>	<b>6,081.9</b>	<b>5,392.7</b>
<b>Operating income</b>	<b>1,921.6</b>	<b>1,898.0</b>	<b>1,719.7</b>
<b>Non-operating income (expense), net</b>	<b>22.9</b>	<b>(3.2)</b>	<b>(5.3)</b>
<b>Interest expense</b>	<b>(352.5)</b>	<b>(378.6)</b>	<b>(355.1)</b>
<b>Gain on disposal of assets, write-down of investments and other</b>	<b>—</b>	<b>17.9</b>	<b>1.0</b>
<b>Income from continuing operations before income taxes</b>	<b>1,592.0</b>	<b>1,534.1</b>	<b>1,360.3</b>
<b>Income taxes</b>	<b>565.3</b>	<b>580.6</b>	<b>510.2</b>
<b>Income from continuing operations</b>	<b>1,026.7</b>	<b>953.5</b>	<b>850.1</b>
<b>Income from discontinued operations, net of income taxes</b>	<b>19.5</b>	<b>361.0</b>	<b>74.2</b>
<b>Cumulative effect of accounting change, net of income taxes</b>	<b>—</b>	<b>15.6</b>	<b>—</b>
<b>Net income</b>	<b>\$1,046.2</b>	<b>\$1,330.1</b>	<b>\$ 924.3</b>
<b>Basic earnings per share:</b>			
Income from continuing operations	\$3.34	\$3.06	\$2.73
Income from discontinued operations	.06	1.16	.24
Cumulative effect of accounting change	—	.05	—
<b>Net income</b>	<b>\$3.40</b>	<b>\$4.27</b>	<b>\$2.97</b>
<b>Diluted earnings per share:</b>			
Income from continuing operations	\$3.33	\$3.05	\$2.72
Income from discontinued operations	.06	1.15	.24
Cumulative effect of accounting change	—	.05	—
<b>Net income</b>	<b>\$3.39</b>	<b>\$4.25</b>	<b>\$2.96</b>

Service revenues increased \$218.2 million, or 3 percent, in 2004, primarily reflecting growth in ALLTEL's wireless customer base and the corresponding increase of \$333.8 million in wireless access revenues compared to 2003. Service revenues for 2004 also reflected growth in revenues derived from wireless and wireline data services and from the sale of enhanced communication services, including caller identification, call waiting, call forwarding, voice mail, and wireless equipment protection plans. Revenues from data and enhanced services increased \$78.5 million in 2004 compared to 2003, primarily reflecting continued demand for these services. Wireless service revenues in 2004 also included increased regulatory and other fees of \$76.4 million compared to 2003. Regulatory fees in 2004 included Universal Service Fund ("USF") support received by ALLTEL pursuant to its certification in seven states as an Eligible Telecommunications Carrier ("ETC"), and accounted for \$48.2 million of the overall increase in regulatory fees in 2004. Regulatory fees in 2004 also reflected additional amounts billed to customers to offset costs related to certain regulatory mandates, including universal service funding, primarily resulting from changes in Federal Communications Commission ("FCC") regulations applicable to universal service fees that were effective on April 1, 2003.

The above increases in service revenues in 2004 were partially offset by lower wireless airtime, retail roaming and wholesale revenues, reductions in revenues derived from telecommunications information services and decreases in wireline access and toll service revenues. Compared to 2003, wireless airtime and retail roaming revenues decreased \$122.2 million in 2004, primarily due to the effects of customers migrating to rate plans with a larger number of packaged minutes. For a flat monthly service fee, such rate plans provide customers with a specified number of airtime minutes and include unlimited weekend, nighttime and mobile-to-mobile minutes at no extra charge. Wholesale wireless revenues declined \$15.1 million in 2004 compared to 2003 primarily due to lower analog and TDMA minutes of use by other carriers' customers roaming on ALLTEL's wireless network, partially offset by growth in CDMA minutes of use as other CDMA carriers direct wholesale traffic to ALLTEL's network. Telecommunications information services revenues decreased \$67.1 million in 2004 compared to 2003, primarily due to the December 2003 sale of certain assets and related liabilities, including selected customer contracts and capitalized software development costs, to Convergys Information Management Group, Inc. ("Convergys"), and the loss in 2004 of one of ALLTEL's remaining unaffiliated wireline services customers. Revenues from long-distance and network management services decreased \$15.2 million in 2004, primarily due to declining usage by residential customers and a reduction in intercompany and residential customer billing rates. Wireline local service and network access and toll revenues decreased \$51.6 million in 2004, primarily as a result of the loss of wireline access lines and a \$20.3 million reduction in high-cost funding received by ALLTEL's wireline subsidiaries under the USF program.

Service revenues increased \$727.2 million, or 11 percent, in 2003. The acquisitions of wireless and wireline properties completed in 2003 and 2002 previously discussed accounted for approximately \$544.4 million of the overall increase in service revenues in 2003. In addition to the effects of the acquisitions, service revenues for 2003 also reflected increased wireless access revenues of \$209.6 million resulting from nonacquisition-related customer growth, increased sales of ALLTEL's higher-yield national rate plans and continued growth in average monthly minutes of use per customer. Service revenues for 2003 also reflected a \$41.4 million increase in amounts billed to customers to offset costs related to certain regulatory mandates, including Universal Service funding. Growth in revenues from the Company's Internet operations of \$12.8 million and increased revenues of \$66.0 million derived from wireless and wireline data services and from the sale of enhanced communications services also contributed to the increase in service revenues in 2003. The increase in service revenues in 2003 attributable to acquisitions, higher network usage, additional regulatory mandate fees billed to customers and growth in Internet, wireless data and enhanced communications services were partially offset by lower wireless airtime and retail roaming revenues of \$110.7 million, a reduction in intrastate network access and toll revenues of \$19.6 million due to the loss of wireline access lines, and a \$10.2 million decrease in telecommunications information services revenues. Wireline access lines declined in both 2004 and 2003 primarily due to the effects of broadband and wireless substitution.

Product sales increased \$48.0 million, or 6 percent, in 2004 and \$140.3 million, or 21 percent, in 2003. The increase in product sales in 2004 was primarily driven by higher retail prices realized on the sale of wireless handsets that include advanced features, such as picture messaging, and that are capable of downloading games, entertainment content, weather and office applications. Compared to 2003, sales attributable to the Company's directory publishing operations increased \$33.3 million, reflecting an increase in the number of directories published, including the initial publication of directories for the Kentucky and Nebraska operations, which had been previously outsourced. The increase in product sales in 2003 primarily resulted from higher retail prices realized on the sale of wireless handsets and accessories driven by growth in gross customer additions and increased retention efforts by the Company. The wireless and wireline acquisitions discussed above accounted for approximately \$25.4 million of the overall increase in product sales in 2003. Product sales for 2003 also reflected increased sales of telecommunications and data products to non-affiliates of \$60.0 million, primarily reflecting increased sales of wireless handsets to retailers and other distributors.

Cost of services increased \$100.6 million, or 4 percent, in 2004 and \$234.6 million, or 12 percent, in 2003. The increases in both years reflected higher wireless network-related costs and increased wireless regulatory fees. Compared to the prior year periods, wireless network-related costs increased \$131.8 million in 2004 and \$44.5 million in 2003 reflecting increased network traffic due to customer growth, increased minutes of use and expansion of network facilities. Cost of services for 2004 and 2003 also reflected increases in wireless regulatory fees of \$12.7 million and \$40.5 million, respectively, principally related to USF, reflecting changes in FCC regulations effective April 1, 2003. In addition, cost of services for 2004 also reflected increased wireless customer service expenses of \$34.5 million, primarily reflecting additional costs associated with ALLTEL's initiatives designed to improve customer satisfaction and reduce postpay churn including subsidizing the cost of new handsets provided to existing customers before the expiration of their service contracts. Cost of services for 2004 also reflected decreased network-related costs for the wireline operations of \$32.9 million, primarily due to the loss of wireline access lines and the effects of incremental strike-related expenses and maintenance costs incurred in 2003. During 2003, the Company incurred incremental expenses of approximately \$14.9 million associated with a strike that began in early June and ended on October 1, 2003, when the Company signed a new collective bargaining agreement impacting approximately 400 ALLTEL employees in Kentucky represented by the Communications Workers of America. ALLTEL also incurred \$6.0 million of additional maintenance costs in 2003 to repair damage caused by severe winter storms. Cost of services for 2004 was also favorably affected by reduced operating costs of \$48.2 million, resulting from the sale of certain telecommunications information services operations to Convergys, as previously discussed.

In addition to higher wireless network-related costs and increased wireless regulatory fees, cost of services for 2003 also reflected the effects of the acquisitions of wireless and wireline properties completed in 2003 and 2002, which accounted for approximately \$214.4 million of the overall increase in cost of services in 2003. Losses sustained from bad debts decreased \$81.2 million in 2003, primarily reflecting the Company's continued efforts to monitor its customer credit policies, evaluate minimum deposit requirements for high-credit risk customers and improve collection practices by adding new technologies and proactively managing the efforts of its collection agencies.

Cost of products sold decreased \$32.0 million, or 3 percent, in 2004 and increased \$152.2 million, or 17 percent, in 2003. The decrease in 2004 reflected decreased sales of telecommunications and data products to regulated wireline affiliates, as well as the effects of vendor rebates earned by ALLTEL for attaining specified purchase volumes with the Company's wireless handset vendors, partially offset by increased costs incurred by the Company's directory publishing operations associated with publishing additional directories. The increase in cost of products sold in 2003 was consistent with the growth in wireless customer activations and the Company's continued retention efforts. In addition, the wireless and wireline property acquisitions accounted for \$46.8 million of the overall increase in cost of products sold in 2003.

Selling, general, administrative and other operating expenses increased \$26.1 million, or 2 percent, in 2004 and \$201.1 million, or 16 percent, in 2003. The increase in 2004 primarily reflected increased wireless commissions expense of \$34.0 million, driven by increased sales of ALLTEL's more profitable rate plans and a higher mix of postpay gross customer additions, as compared to 2003. The increase in 2004 due to wireless commissions expense was partially offset by cost savings realized in the wireline operations, reflecting ALLTEL's continued control of operating expenses. The wireless and wireline property acquisitions accounted for \$123.2 million of the overall increase in selling, general, administrative and other expenses in 2003. Advertising costs increased \$33.6 million in 2003 primarily due to increased promotional activities, including the launch of a new advertising campaign to promote ALLTEL's brand name recognition among consumers. Wireless general and administrative expenses increased \$34.8 million in 2003 due to additional costs incurred to complete, for various acquisitions, the conversion of these operations to the Company's billing and operational support systems.

Pension expense, which is included in both cost of services and selling, general, administrative and other expenses, decreased \$9.0 million in 2004 and increased \$32.2 million in 2003. The decrease in pension expense for 2004 primarily reflected the effects of strong investment returns earned on pension plan assets during the year ended December 31, 2003, partially offset by a reduction in the discount rate used to measure annual pension costs from 6.85 percent in 2003 to 6.40 percent in 2004. Conversely, the increase in pension expense for 2003 reflected a reduction in the discount rate used to measure annual pension costs from 7.25 percent in 2002 to 6.85 percent in 2003. In addition, pension expense for 2003 included \$20.7 million of additional amortization of unrecognized actuarial losses, primarily reflecting negative investment returns earned on pension plan assets during the three years ended December 31, 2002. (See "Pension Plans" below for an additional discussion of the factors affecting the Company's annual pension costs.)

Depreciation and amortization expense increased \$52.0 million, or 4 percent, in 2004 and \$152.2 million, or 14 percent, in 2003. The increase in 2004 primarily resulted from growth in wireless plant in service. The wireless and wireline property acquisitions accounted for \$101.3 million of the overall increase in depreciation and amortization expense in 2003. In addition to the effects of the acquisitions, depreciation and amortization expense increased \$50.9 million in 2003, primarily as a result of growth in communications plant in service.

Operating income increased \$23.6 million, or 1 percent, in 2004 and \$178.3 million, or 10 percent, in 2003. The increase in operating income in 2004 reflected growth in both wireless and wireline segment income, partially offset by the net increase in restructuring and other charges incurred in 2004 compared to 2003, as further discussed below. The growth in wireless segment income in 2004 primarily reflected an increase in wireless revenues and sales, partially offset by increased network costs attributable to the significant growth in customer usage and additional costs associated with Company's retention efforts. Wireline segment income increased in 2004 primarily due to selling additional services and features to existing wireline customers, growth in the Company's Internet operations and the effects of the incremental strike-related costs incurred in 2003. The changes in wireless and wireline segment income in 2004 are further discussed below under "Results of Operations by Business Segment".

The increase in operating income in 2003 primarily reflected the nonacquisition-related growth in revenues and sales discussed above, as well as the effects of the wireless and wireline acquisitions, which accounted for \$84.1 million of the overall increase in operating income in 2003. Operating margins attributable to the acquisitions declined in 2003 compared to 2002, primarily reflecting the incremental strike-related costs discussed above, the effects of migrating the acquired CenturyTel operations to ALLTEL's negotiated roaming rates, increased selling-related expenses due to volume growth in new wireless customer activations, and the additional costs incurred to convert the acquired operations to the Company's billing and operational support systems. Operating income for 2003 also included the effects of restructuring and other charges as further discussed below.

#### Restructuring and Other Charges

A summary of the restructuring and other charges recorded in 2004 was as follows:

(Millions)	Communications				Total
	Wireless	Wireline	Support Services	Corporate Operations	
Severance and employee benefit costs	\$ 8.6	\$11.2	\$0.5	\$ 2.1	\$22.4
Relocation costs	2.7	1.2	0.1	0.1	4.1
Lease and contract termination costs	0.5	(1.9)	—	(0.1)	(1.5)
Write-down in the carrying value of certain facilities	0.7	—	—	24.1	24.8
Other exit costs	0.4	0.7	—	—	1.1
<b>Total restructuring and other charges</b>	<b>\$12.9</b>	<b>\$11.2</b>	<b>\$0.6</b>	<b>\$26.2</b>	<b>\$50.9</b>

In January 2004, the Company announced its plans to reorganize its operations and support teams. During February 2004, ALLTEL announced its plans to exit its Competitive Local Exchange Carrier ("CLEC") operations in the Jacksonville, Florida market due to the continued unprofitability of these operations. In connection with these activities, the Company recorded a restructuring charge of \$29.3 million consisting of \$22.9 million in severance and employee benefit costs related to a planned workforce reduction, \$4.8 million of employee relocation expenses, \$0.5 million in lease termination costs and \$1.1 million of other exit costs. The severance and employee benefit costs included a \$1.2 million payment to a former employee of the Company's sold financial services division that became payable in the first quarter of 2004 pursuant to the terms of a change in control agreement between the employee and ALLTEL. During the fourth quarter of 2004, the Company recorded a \$0.9 million reduction in the liabilities associated with the restructuring efforts initiated in the first quarter of 2004, consisting of \$0.7 million in employee relocation expenses and \$0.2 million in severance and employee benefit costs. The reductions primarily reflected differences between estimated and actual costs paid in completing the employee relocations and terminations. As of December 31, 2004, the Company had paid \$22.5 million in severance and employee-related expenses, and all of the employee reductions and relocations had been completed.

During the first quarter of 2004, ALLTEL recorded a \$2.3 million reduction in the liabilities associated with various restructuring activities initiated prior to 2003, consisting of \$2.0 million in lease and contract termination costs and \$0.3 million in severance and employee benefit costs. The reductions primarily reflected differences between estimated and actual costs paid in completing the previous planned workforce reductions and lease and contract terminations. In the first quarter of 2004, ALLTEL also recorded a write-down in the carrying value of certain corporate and regional facilities to fair value in conjunction with the 2004 organizational changes and the 2003 sale of the Company's financial services division to Fidelity National Financial Inc. ("Fidelity National"), as further discussed below under "Discontinued Operations".

A summary of the restructuring and other charges recorded in 2003 was as follows:

(Millions)	Communications				Total
	Wireless	Wireline	Support Services	Corporate Operations	
Severance and employee benefit costs	\$ 1.3	\$ 7.0	\$ —	\$(2.0)	\$ 6.3
Lease and contract termination costs	—	—	(0.5)	—	(0.5)
Write-down of software development costs	7.6	1.8	3.8	—	13.2
Total restructuring and other charges	\$ 8.9	\$ 8.8	\$ 3.3	\$(2.0)	\$ 19.0

During the second quarter of 2003, the Company recorded a restructuring charge of \$8.5 million consisting of severance and employee benefit costs related to a planned workforce reduction, primarily resulting from the closing of certain call center locations. As of December 31, 2004, ALLTEL had paid \$8.5 million in severance and employee-related expenses, and all of the employee reductions had been completed. ALLTEL also recorded a \$2.7 million reduction in the liabilities associated with various restructuring activities initiated prior to 2003, consisting of \$2.2 million in severance and employee benefit costs and \$0.5 million in lease termination costs. The reduction primarily reflected differences between estimated and actual costs paid in completing the previous planned workforce reductions and lease terminations. In the second quarter of 2003, ALLTEL also wrote off certain capitalized software development costs that had no alternative future use or functionality.

A summary of the restructuring and other charges recorded in 2002 was as follows:

(Millions)	Communications			Total
	Wireless	Wireline	Support Services	
Severance and employee benefit costs	\$ 6.4	\$ 6.6	\$ 1.8	\$ 14.8
Lease and contract termination costs	5.2	3.8	3.6	12.6
Computer system conversion and other integration costs	4.0	17.0	—	21.0
Write-down of cell site equipment	7.1	—	—	7.1
Write-down of software development costs	0.3	4.1	—	4.4
Branding and signage costs	4.1	3.7	—	7.8
Equipment removal and other disposal costs	—	2.2	—	2.2
Total restructuring and other charges	\$27.1	\$37.4	\$5.4	\$ 69.9

During the evaluation of its existing CLEC operations, ALLTEL determined that a business model that relied heavily on interconnection with other carriers had limited potential for profitably acquiring market share. Accordingly, in January 2002, the Company announced its plans to exit its CLEC operations in seven states representing less than 20 percent of its CLEC access lines. In the course of exiting these markets, ALLTEL honored all existing customer contracts, licenses and other obligations and worked to minimize the inconvenience to affected customers by migrating these customers to other service providers. During 2002, the Company also consolidated its call center, retail store and product distribution operations. In connection with these activities, the Company recorded restructuring charges totaling \$27.4 million consisting of \$14.8 million in severance and employee benefit costs related to planned workforce reductions and \$12.6 million of costs associated with terminating certain CLEC transport agreements and lease termination fees incurred with the closing of certain retail, call center and product distribution locations. In exiting the CLEC operations, the Company also incurred costs to disconnect and remove switching and other transmission equipment from central office facilities and expenses to notify and migrate customers to other service providers. ALLTEL also wrote off certain capitalized software development costs that had no alternative future use or functionality. The restructuring plans were completed in 2002 and resulted in the elimination of 1,040 employees primarily in the Company's sales, customer service and network operations support functions and ALLTEL's product distribution operations. As of December 31, 2004, the Company had paid \$14.3 million in severance and employee-related expenses, and all of the employee reductions had been completed.

The \$12.6 million in lease and contract termination costs recorded in 2002 consisted of \$6.2 million, representing the estimated minimum contractual commitments over the next one to five years for 38 operating locations that the Company abandoned, net of anticipated sublease income. The lease and contract termination costs also included \$1.6 million of costs to terminate transport agreements with six interexchange carriers. The Company also recorded an additional \$3.8 million to reflect the revised estimated costs, net of anticipated sublease income, to terminate leases associated with four operating locations. ALLTEL had previously recorded \$6.3 million in lease termination costs related to these four locations in 1999. The additional charge reflected a reduction in expected sublease income primarily due to softening demand in the commercial real estate market and the bankruptcy filings by two sublessees. The lease termination costs also included \$1.0 million of unamortized leasehold improvements related to the abandoned locations.

In connection with the purchase of wireline properties in Kentucky from Verizon and wireless properties from CenturyTel, the Company incurred branding and signage costs, computer system conversion costs and other integration expenses. These expenses included internal payroll and employee benefit costs, contracted services, and other computer programming costs incurred in connection with expanding ALLTEL's customer service and operations support functions to handle increased customer volumes resulting from the acquisitions and to convert Verizon's customer billing and operations support systems to ALLTEL's internal systems.

In conjunction with a product replacement program initiated by a vendor in 2001, the Company exchanged certain used cell site equipment for new equipment. The exchange of cell site equipment began during the third quarter of 2001 and continued through the first quarter of 2002. As the equipment exchanges were completed, the Company recorded write-downs in the carrying value of the used cell site equipment to fair value.

As of December 31, 2004, the remaining unpaid liability related to the Company's integration and restructuring activities consisted of severance and employee-related expenses of \$0.2 million, relocation expenses of \$0.2 million and lease and contract termination costs of \$0.3 million. Cash outlays for the remaining employee-related expenses, relocation expenses and lease termination costs will be internally financed from operating cash flows and disbursed over the ensuing 12 to 24 months. The restructuring and other charges decreased net income \$31.1 million, \$11.5 million and \$42.3 million for the years ended December 31, 2004, 2003 and 2002, respectively. The restructuring and other charges discussed above were not allocated to the Company's business segments, as management evaluates segment performance excluding the effects of these items. (See Note 9 to the consolidated financial statements for additional information regarding these charges.)

**Non-Operating Income (Expense), Net**

(Millions)	2004	2003	2002
Equity earnings in unconsolidated partnerships	\$ 68.5	\$ 64.4	\$ 65.8
Minority interest in consolidated partnerships	(80.1)	(78.6)	(73.4)
Other income, net	34.5	11.0	2.3
Non-operating income (expense), net	\$ 22.9	\$ (3.2)	\$ (5.3)

As indicated in the table above, non-operating income, net increased \$26.1 million, or 816 percent, in 2004 and non-operating expense, net decreased \$2.1 million, or 40 percent, in 2003. The increase in equity earnings of \$4.1 million in 2004 reflected improved operating results in the Company's minority-owned wireless partnerships. The effects of the improved operating results on equity earnings were partially offset by the effects of the acquisitions of additional ownership interests in wireless properties in Wisconsin and Georgia, in which the Company previously held a minority ownership interest. Other income, net for 2004 included a \$6.2 million increase in the amount of annual dividends paid on the Company's investment in Rural Telephone Bank Class C stock. In the second quarter of 2003, ALLTEL received additional shares of this stock investment as a result of the Company's repayment of all outstanding debt under the Rural Utilities Services, Rural Telephone Bank and Federal Financing Bank programs, as further discussed below. In addition, other income, net for 2004 included a gain of \$3.8 million realized from the previously discussed exchange of wireless partnership interests involving markets in Louisiana and St. Louis, Missouri. Compared to 2003, other income, net for 2004 included additional interest income earned on the Company's cash and short-term investments of \$3.3 million. The additional interest income reflected growth in the Company's available cash on hand following the sale of the financial services division to Fidelity National. Compared to 2003, other income, net for 2004 also included additional dividend income of \$2.8 million earned on the Company's investment in Fidelity National common stock.

Equity earnings in unconsolidated partnerships in 2003 included \$17.9 million of additional income resulting from the acquisition of certain minority partnership interests from CenturyTel, as previously discussed. The increase in equity earnings in 2003 attributable to the CenturyTel acquisition was partially offset by the effects of the acquisitions of additional ownership interests in the Michigan and Wisconsin wireless properties, in which the Company previously held a minority ownership interest. Minority interest expense in 2003 included \$8.8 million of additional expense resulting from the acquisition of certain non-wholly owned partnership interests from CenturyTel. In addition to the effects of the CenturyTel acquisition, minority interest expense increased in 2003 due to improved earnings in ALLTEL's majority-owned wireless operations as compared to 2002. Other income, net for 2003 included additional dividend income of \$8.3 million earned on the Company's equity investments, principally Fidelity National common stock. Other income, net for 2003 included net losses of \$4.9 million related to the disposal of certain assets. Conversely, other income, net for 2002 included net losses of \$12.1 million related to the disposal of certain assets. Other income, net for 2002 also included additional interest income of \$8.2 million from investing the cash proceeds from ALLTEL's equity unit and long-term debt offerings resulting from prefunding the Company's 2002 wireless and wireline acquisitions, as previously discussed.

### Interest Expense

Interest expense decreased \$26.1 million, or 7 percent, in 2004 and increased \$23.5 million, or 7 percent, in 2003. The decrease in 2004 reflected the repayment of a \$250.0 million, 7.25 percent senior unsecured note that the Company repaid on April 1, 2004, using available cash on hand. Interest expense for 2004 also reflected the Company's repayment of \$763.4 million of long-term debt during 2003. In the first quarter of 2003, the Company repaid a \$450.0 million, 7.125 percent unsecured note due March 1, 2003, using commercial paper borrowings. In the second quarter of 2003, ALLTEL repaid all outstanding commercial paper borrowings and prepaid \$249.1 million of long-term debt outstanding under the Rural Utilities Services, Rural Telephone Bank and Federal Financing Bank programs. The debt repayments in the second quarter of 2003 were funded primarily from the cash proceeds received from the sale of the financial services division. The increase in 2003 primarily reflected the additional interest expense resulting from ALLTEL's equity unit and long-term debt offerings to finance the cost of its 2002 wireline and wireless property acquisitions previously discussed. The increase in interest expense in 2003 attributable to the equity unit and long-term debt offerings was partially offset by the effects of the repayment of \$763.4 million of long-term debt discussed above.

### Gain on Disposal of Assets, Write-Down of Investments and Other

In 2003, ALLTEL sold to Convergys certain assets and related liabilities, including selected customer contracts and capitalized software development costs, associated with the Company's telecommunications information services operations. In connection with this sale, the Company received proceeds of \$37.0 million and recorded a pretax gain of \$31.0 million. ALLTEL also recorded pretax write-downs totaling \$6.0 million to reflect other-than-temporary declines in the fair value of certain investments in unconsolidated limited partnerships. As noted above, during the second quarter of 2003, ALLTEL retired, prior to stated maturity dates, \$249.1 million of long-term debt. In connection with the early retirement of this debt, the Company incurred pretax termination fees of \$7.1 million. These transactions increased net income \$10.7 million in 2003.

In 2002, the Company recorded a pretax gain of \$22.1 million from the sale of a wireless property in Pennsylvania to Verizon Wireless. The Company also recorded pretax write-downs totaling \$15.1 million related to its investment in Hughes Tele.com Limited ("HTCL"). The initial write-down of \$12.5 million was recorded during the second quarter of 2002 in connection with HTCL's agreement to merge with a major Indian telecommunications company and an other-than-temporary decline in the fair value of HTCL's common stock. In December 2002, ALLTEL exchanged its shares of HTCL for non-voting, mandatory redeemable convertible preferred shares of Tata Teleservices Limited ("Tata"), a privately held Indian company. Subsequently, ALLTEL decided to liquidate this investment by selling the Tata preferred shares. The additional \$2.6 million write-down of the Tata investment recorded in the fourth quarter of 2002 reflected the difference between the carrying amount of the Tata preferred shares and the estimated sales proceeds to be realized by ALLTEL upon completion of the sale, which occurred in February 2003. During 2002, the Company also recorded a pretax adjustment of \$4.8 million to reduce the gain initially recognized in 2001 from the dissolution of the wireless partnership with BellSouth Mobility, Inc. ("BellSouth") involving wireless properties in four states. This additional adjustment reflected a true up for cash distributions payable to BellSouth in conjunction with the dissolution of the partnership. In 2002, the Company also recorded a pretax write-down of \$1.2 million related to an other-than-temporary decline in ALLTEL's investment in Airspan Networks, Inc., a provider of wireless telecommunications equipment. The effect of these transactions increased net income \$0.6 million in 2002.

### Income Taxes

Income tax expense decreased \$15.3 million, or 3 percent, in 2004 primarily due to tax benefits associated with the reversal of certain income tax contingency reserves and the allowance of a prior year loss from the sale of a subsidiary further discussed below, partially offset by additional taxes attributable to the overall growth in the Company's earnings from continuing operations. Conversely, income tax expense increased \$70.4 million, or 14 percent, in 2003 consistent with the overall growth in segment income as further discussed below under "Results of Operations by Business Segment". As more fully discussed in Note 11 to the consolidated financial statements, during the third quarter of 2004, the Internal Revenue Service ("IRS") completed its fieldwork related to the audits of ALLTEL's consolidated federal income tax returns for the fiscal years 1997 through 2001. As a result of the IRS issuing its proposed audit adjustments related to the periods under examination, ALLTEL reassessed its income tax contingency reserves to reflect the IRS findings and recorded a \$129.3 million reduction in these reserves during the third quarter of 2004. The corresponding effects of the adjustments to the tax contingency reserves resulted in a reduction in goodwill of \$94.5 million and a reduction in income tax expense associated with continuing operations of \$19.7 million. The remaining \$15.1 million of the adjustments to the tax contingency reserves related to the sold financial services division and has been reported as "discontinued operations" in the Company's consolidated financial statements for 2004. During 2004, the Company also reached an agreement with the IRS allowing for the deduction of a previously realized loss associated with ALLTEL's 1997 disposition of a subsidiary, which resulted in the recognition of a tax benefit of \$17.6 million in 2004.

Primarily due to the tax benefits associated with the reversal of income tax contingency reserves and the allowance of a prior year loss from the sale of a subsidiary, the Company's annual effective income tax rate from continuing operations decreased to 35.5 percent in 2004 compared to 37.8 percent for 2003. For 2005, ALLTEL's annual effective income tax rate is expected to range between 38.0 percent and 39.0 percent, reflecting the absence of the favorable tax benefits realized in 2004 discussed above.

#### Net Income and Earnings per Share from Continuing Operations

Net income from continuing operations increased \$73.2 million, or 8 percent, in 2004 and \$103.4 million, or 12 percent, in 2003. Basic and diluted earnings per share from continuing operations both increased 9 percent and 12 percent in 2004 and 2003, respectively. The increases in net income and earnings per share in 2004 primarily reflected growth in wireless and wireline segment income, increased income earned from the Company's investments in Rural Telephone Bank stock, Fidelity National common stock, cash and short-term investments and minority-owned wireless partnerships, and the tax benefits associated with the reversal of income tax contingency reserves and the allowance of a prior year loss from the sale of a subsidiary previously discussed. These increases were partially offset by the effects of restructuring and other charges. Conversely, the increases in net income and earnings per share in 2003 primarily reflected growth in segment income, partially offset by the effects of restructuring and other charges, investment write-downs and termination fees on the early retirement of long-term debt. The changes in segment income in 2004 and 2003 are further discussed below under "Results of Operations by Business Segment".

#### Discontinued Operations

On April 1, 2003, ALLTEL completed the sale of the financial services division of its information services subsidiary, ALLTEL Information Services, Inc., to Fidelity National, for \$1.05 billion, received as \$775.0 million in cash and \$275.0 million in Fidelity National common stock. As part of this transaction, Fidelity National acquired ALLTEL's mortgage servicing, retail and wholesale banking and commercial lending operations, as well as the community/regional bank division. Approximately 5,500 employees of the Company transitioned to Fidelity National as part of the transaction. As a result of this transaction, the financial services division has been reflected as discontinued operations in the Company's consolidated financial statements for all periods presented. The telecom division of ALLTEL Information Services, Inc. was retained by the Company and was not part of the sale transaction with Fidelity National. The operations of the retained telecom division are included in the communications support services segment.

In January 2003, ALLTEL completed the termination of its business venture with Bradford & Bingley Group. The business venture, ALLTEL Mortgage Solutions, Ltd., a majority-owned consolidated subsidiary of ALLTEL, was created in 2000 to provide mortgage administration and information technology products in the United Kingdom. Unfortunately, the business climate in the United Kingdom limited the venture's ability to leverage the business across a broad base of customers. As a result, the operations of ALLTEL Mortgage Solutions, Ltd. were also reflected as discontinued operations in the Company's consolidated financial statements for all periods presented.

The following table includes certain summary income statement information related to the financial services operations reflected as discontinued operations for the years ended December 31:

(Millions)	2004	2003	2002
Revenues and sales	\$ -	\$210.3	\$871.0
Operating expenses	-	148.1	775.1
Operating income	-	62.2	95.9
Minority interest in consolidated partnerships	-	-	3.5
Other income (expense), net	-	(0.1)	5.8
Gain on sale of discontinued operations	-	555.1	-
Pretax income from discontinued operations	-	617.2	105.2
Income tax expense (benefit)	(19.5)	256.2	31.0
Income from discontinued operations	\$ 19.5	\$361.0	\$ 74.2

The income tax benefit recorded in 2004 included the reversal of \$15.1 million of federal income tax contingency reserves attributable to the sold financial services division, as previously discussed. In connection with the IRS audits of the Company's consolidated federal income tax returns for the fiscal years 1997 through 2001, the Company also recorded a foreign tax credit carryback benefit of \$4.4 million.

The depreciation of long-lived assets related to the financial services division ceased as of January 28, 2003, the date of the agreement to sell such operations. The cessation of depreciation had the effect of reducing operating expenses by approximately \$13.0 million in 2003. The Company recorded an after-tax gain of \$323.9 million upon completion of the sale of the financial services division.

Included in operating expenses for 2002 was a \$42.3 million charge associated with discontinuing the Company's business venture with Bradford & Bingley Group. The charge primarily consisted of the write-off of capitalized software development costs that had no alternative use or functionality. The charge also included the write-off of unamortized leasehold improvements and other costs to unwind the business venture. (See Note 12 to the consolidated financial statements for additional information regarding the disposal of the financial services operations.)

#### Cumulative Effect of Accounting Change

Except for certain wireline subsidiaries as further discussed below, the Company adopted Statement of Financial Accounting Standards ("SFAS") No. 143, "Accounting for Asset Retirement Obligations", effective January 1, 2003. SFAS No. 143 addresses financial accounting and reporting for obligations associated with the retirement of tangible long-lived assets and the associated asset retirement costs. This standard applies to legal obligations associated with the retirement of long-lived assets that result from the acquisition, construction, development, or normal use of the assets. SFAS No. 143 requires that a liability for an asset retirement obligation be recognized when incurred and reasonably estimable, recorded at fair value and classified as a liability in the balance sheet. When the liability is initially recorded, the entity capitalizes the cost and increases the carrying value of the related long-lived asset. The liability is then accreted to its present value each period, and the capitalized cost is depreciated over the estimated useful life of the related asset. At the settlement date, the entity will settle the obligation for its recorded amount and recognize a gain or loss upon settlement.

ALLTEL has evaluated the effects of SFAS No. 143 on its operations and has determined that, for telecommunications and other operating facilities in which the Company owns the underlying land, ALLTEL has no contractual or legal obligation to remediate the property if the Company were to abandon, sell or otherwise dispose of the property. Certain of the Company's cell site and switch site operating lease agreements contain clauses requiring restoration of the leased site at the end of the lease term. Similarly, certain of the Company's lease agreements for office and retail locations require restoration of the leased site upon expiration of the lease term. Accordingly, ALLTEL is subject to asset retirement obligations associated with these leased facilities under the provisions of SFAS No. 143. The application of SFAS No. 143 to the Company's cell site and switch site leases and leased office and retail locations did not have a material impact on ALLTEL's consolidated results of operations, financial position or cash flows as of or for the year ended December 31, 2003.

In accordance with federal and state regulations, depreciation expense for the Company's wireline operations has historically included an additional provision for cost of removal. The additional cost of removal provision does not meet the recognition and measurement principles of an asset retirement obligation under SFAS No. 143. On December 20, 2002, the FCC notified wireline carriers that they should not adopt the provisions of SFAS No. 143 unless specifically required by the FCC in the future. As a result of the FCC ruling, ALLTEL will continue to record a regulatory liability for cost of removal for its wireline subsidiaries that follow the accounting prescribed by SFAS No. 71 "Accounting for the Effects of Certain Types of Regulation". For the acquired Kentucky and Nebraska wireline operations not subject to SFAS No. 71, effective January 1, 2003, the Company ceased recognition of the cost of removal provision in depreciation expense and eliminated the cumulative cost of removal included in accumulated depreciation. The cumulative effect of retroactively applying these changes to periods prior to January 1, 2003, resulted in a non-cash credit of \$15.6 million, net of income tax expense of \$10.3 million, and was included in net income for the year ended December 31, 2003. The cessation of the cost of removal provision in depreciation expense for the acquired Kentucky and Nebraska wireline operations did not have a material impact on the Company's consolidated results of operations for the year ended December 31, 2003.

#### Average Common Shares Outstanding

The average number of common shares outstanding decreased one percent in 2004 compared to a slight increase in average shares outstanding in 2003. The decrease in 2004 primarily reflected the effects of the Company's repurchase of approximately 11.2 million of its common shares during 2004, as further discussed below under "Cash Flows from Financing Activities". The decrease in outstanding common shares in 2004 attributable to the share repurchase was partially offset by additional shares issued upon the exercise of options granted under ALLTEL's employee stock-based compensation plans. The increase in 2003 primarily reflected additional shares issued upon the exercise of options granted under ALLTEL's employee stock-based compensation plans.

## RESULTS OF OPERATIONS BY BUSINESS SEGMENT

### Communications—Wireless Operations

(Dollars in millions, customers in thousands)

	2004	2003	2002
<b>Revenues and sales:</b>			
Service revenues	\$4,791.2	\$4,466.5	\$3,999.2
Product sales	286.9	261.9	161.0
<b>Total revenues and sales</b>	<b>5,078.1</b>	<b>4,728.4</b>	<b>4,160.2</b>
<b>Costs and expenses:</b>			
Cost of services	1,543.6	1,367.8	1,246.1
Cost of products sold	573.7	536.7	430.6
Selling, general, administrative and other	1,201.8	1,154.9	958.0
Depreciation and amortization	738.8	671.0	577.6
<b>Total costs and expenses</b>	<b>4,057.9</b>	<b>3,730.4</b>	<b>3,212.3</b>
<b>Segment income</b>	<b>\$1,020.2</b>	<b>\$ 998.0</b>	<b>\$ 947.9</b>
Customers	8,626.5	8,023.4	7,601.6
Average customers	8,295.9	7,834.5	7,095.5
Gross customer additions (a)	2,812.7	2,856.8	3,157.0
Net customer additions (a)	603.1	421.8	1,032.5
Market penetration	13.8%	13.3%	12.9%
Postpay customer churn	1.74%	2.09%	2.23%
Total churn	2.23%	2.59%	2.50%
Retail minutes of use per customer per month (b)	494	375	309
Retail revenue per customer per month (c)	\$44.39	\$43.39	\$42.90
Average revenue per customer per month (d)	\$48.13	\$47.51	\$46.97
Cost to acquire a new customer (e)	\$315	\$308	\$304

#### Notes to Communications—Wireless Operations Table:

- (a) Includes the effects of acquisitions and dispositions. Excludes reseller customers for all periods presented.
- (b) Represents the average monthly minutes that ALLTEL's customers use on both the Company's network and while roaming on other carriers' networks.
- (c) Retail revenue per customer is calculated by dividing wireless retail revenues by average customers for the period. A reconciliation of the revenues used in computing retail revenue per customer per month was as follows:

(Millions)	2004	2003	2002
Service revenues	\$4,791.2	\$4,466.5	\$3,999.2
Less wholesale revenues	(372.4)	(387.5)	(346.2)
<b>Total retail revenues</b>	<b>\$4,418.8</b>	<b>\$4,079.0</b>	<b>\$3,653.0</b>

- (d) Average revenue per customer per month is calculated by dividing wireless service revenues by average customers for the period.
- (e) Cost to acquire a new customer is calculated by dividing the sum of product sales, cost of products sold and sales and marketing expenses (included within "Selling, general, administrative and other"), as reported above, by the number of internal gross customer additions during the period. Customer acquisition costs exclude amounts related to the Company's customer retention efforts. A reconciliation of the revenues, expenses and customer additions used in computing cost to acquire a new customer was as follows:

(Millions, except customers in thousands)	2004	2003	2002
Product sales	\$ (209.9)	\$ (176.4)	\$ (118.0)
Cost of products sold	322.7	296.8	269.0
Sales and marketing expense	743.9	714.0	579.3
<b>Total costs incurred to acquire new customers</b>	<b>\$ 856.7</b>	<b>\$ 834.4</b>	<b>\$ 730.3</b>
Gross customer additions, excluding acquisitions	2,720.3	2,709.4	2,404.2
<b>Cost to acquire a new customer</b>	<b>\$ 315</b>	<b>\$ 308</b>	<b>\$ 304</b>

During 2004, the total number of wireless customers served by ALLTEL increased by more than 600,000 customers, or 8 percent, compared to an annual growth rate in customers of 6 percent in 2003. Excluding the effects of acquisitions, net wireless customer additions were 511,000 in 2004, substantially all of which were on postpay plans. As previously discussed, in the fourth quarter of 2004, the Company purchased wireless properties in Florida, Georgia, Louisiana, Mississippi, North Carolina, Ohio and Wisconsin. The acquired properties accounted for approximately 92,000 of the overall increase in wireless customers that occurred during 2004. Excluding the effects of acquisitions, wireless gross customer additions were 2,720,000 in 2004 and 2,709,000 in 2003. Gross postpay customer additions increased in 2004 compared to 2003, reflecting the Company's focus on growing its postpay customer base by emphasizing to customers through pricing, advertising and retail store operations, the value of ALLTEL's postpay service plans. Sales of ALLTEL's higher-yield Total and National Freedom rate plans accounted for approximately 39 percent of the gross additions during 2004. At December 31, 2004, customers on the Company's Total and National Freedom rate plans represented approximately 38 percent of ALLTEL's wireless customer base. The increase in gross postpay customer additions in 2004 also included the effects of the Company's launch of "Touch2Talk", ALLTEL's "walkie-talkie" wireless offering that provides customers with service coverage over ALLTEL's entire digital wireless network. During 2003, net wireless customer additions were 422,000, of which 147,000 were attributable to the Company's acquisition of wireless properties in Arizona, Michigan, Mississippi and Wisconsin. Overall, the Company's wireless market penetration rate (number of customers as a percent of the total population in ALLTEL's service areas) increased to 13.8 percent as of December 31, 2004.

The level of customer growth in 2005 will be dependent upon the Company's ability to attract new customers in an increasingly competitive marketplace, which is currently supporting up to seven competitors in each market. The Company will continue to focus its efforts on sustaining value-added customer growth by improving service quality and customer satisfaction, managing its distribution channels and customer segments, offering attractively priced rate plans and new or enhanced services and other features, selling additional phone lines and services to existing customers and pursuing strategic acquisitions, such as the pending merger with Western Wireless and the exchange of wireless properties with Cingular previously discussed.

The Company continues to focus its efforts on lowering postpay customer churn (average monthly rate of customer disconnects). To improve customer retention, during the second quarter of 2003, the Company launched several operational initiatives designed to improve overall service quality to its customers both at its retail stores and in its call centers. ALLTEL also continues to upgrade its telecommunications network in order to offer expanded network coverage and quality and to provide enhanced service offerings to its customers. In addition, the Company has increased the number of its customers under contract through the offering of competitively priced rate plans, proactively analyzing customer usage patterns and migrating customers to newer digital handsets. The Company believes that its improvements in customer service levels, digital network expansion and proactive retention efforts contributed to the decrease in postpay customer churn in both 2004 and 2003. Primarily due to the decline in postpay churn and improvement in prepay churn resulting from minor pricing changes made late in the third quarter, total churn also decreased in 2004 compared to 2003. Conversely, total churn increased in 2003 primarily due to an increase in the number of prepaid customer disconnects as compared to 2002, primarily driven by the Company's decision to phase-out offering unlimited prepaid service in 11 markets, as well as a change in the Company's prepaid disconnect policy, effective in the fourth quarter of 2002. In integrating the operations of the former CenturyTel properties, the Company standardized disconnect policies across its entire wireless operations, the primary effects of which were a two-month advancement of customer disconnects among the Company's prepaid customer segment and a reduction of ALLTEL's customer base. This policy change did not affect reported operating results because the customer accounts disconnected were inactive.

Wireless revenues and sales increased \$349.7 million, or 7 percent, in 2004 and \$568.2 million, or 14 percent, in 2003. Service revenues increased \$324.7 million, or 7 percent, in 2004 and \$467.3 million, or 12 percent, in 2003. The increases in service revenues in both years primarily reflected growth in ALLTEL's customer base and the resulting increase in access revenues, which increased \$333.8 million in 2004 and \$209.6 million in 2003. The acquisition of wireless properties completed in 2003 and 2002 accounted for approximately \$301.8 million of the overall increase in service revenues in 2003. Service revenues for both years also reflected growth in revenues derived from text messaging and other wireless data services and from the sale of enhanced communication services, including caller identification, call waiting, call forwarding, voice mail, and wireless equipment protection plans. Revenues from data and enhanced services increased \$54.2 million in 2004 and \$44.1 million in 2003. Wireless service revenues also included increases in regulatory and other fees of \$76.4 million in 2004 and \$41.4 million in 2003. The increase in fees in 2004 and 2003 reflected additional amounts billed to customers to offset costs related to certain regulatory mandates, including universal service funding, primarily resulting from changes in FCC regulations applicable to universal service fees that were effective on April 1, 2003. Regulatory fees in 2004 also included USF support received by ALLTEL pursuant to its certification in seven states as an ETC, and accounted for \$48.2 million of the overall increase in regulatory fees in 2004. During 2004, ALLTEL

received FCC approval for five non-rural ETC applications and obtained approval of its petitions from state commissions in seven states. After deducting the portion of USF subsidies distributed to its partners in wireless markets operated in partnership with other companies, ALLTEL expects to receive on a quarterly basis in 2005 net USF subsidies in its wireless business of approximately \$25.0 million.

Service revenue growth in 2004 and 2003 attributable to increased access revenues from customer growth, additional revenues earned from data and enhanced services, and increased regulatory and other fees were partially offset by lower airtime and retail roaming revenues of \$122.2 million and \$110.7 million, respectively. The decrease in airtime and retail roaming revenues in 2004 primarily reflected the effects of customers migrating to rate plans with a larger number of packaged minutes. For a flat monthly service fee, such rate plans provide customers with a specified number of airtime minutes and include unlimited weekend, nighttime and mobile-to-mobile minutes at no extra charge. The decrease in local airtime and retail roaming revenues in 2003 primarily reflected the expansion of local, regional and national calling areas. In addition, wholesale wireless revenues declined \$15.1 million in 2004 compared to 2003 primarily due to lower analog and TDMA minutes of use by other carriers' customers roaming on ALLTEL's wireless network, partially offset by growth in CDMA minutes of use as other CDMA carriers direct wholesale traffic to ALLTEL's network. The decline in TDMA minutes is likely to continue; however, this impact may be somewhat offset by CDMA minute growth as other CDMA carriers direct wholesale traffic to ALLTEL's network.

Primarily driven by growth in average monthly retail minutes of use, increased sales of higher-priced postpay rate plans, additional revenues from data and other enhanced services and the effects of the USF subsidies which were partially offset by lower airtime revenues, retail revenue per customer per month increased 2 percent in 2004 compared to 2003. Average revenue per customer per month also increased one percent in 2004 compared to 2003 due to the increase in retail revenue per customer per month, partially offset by the effects of the decline in wholesale revenues. Both retail revenue per customer per month and average revenue per customer per month in 2003 increased one percent compared to the corresponding 2002 amounts, primarily reflecting increased sales of the Company's higher-yield Total and National Freedom rate plans and growth in average minutes of use per customer per month, partially offset by decreased wholesale roaming rates and slightly dilutive effects of migrating the acquired CenturyTel markets to ALLTEL's roaming rate structure. The increase in regulatory fees billed to customers did not have a significant impact on average revenue per customer per month during 2003. Growth in service revenues and average revenue per customer per month in 2005 will depend upon ALLTEL's ability to maintain market share in an increasingly competitive marketplace by adding new customers, retaining existing customers, increasing customer usage, and continuing to sell data and additional enhanced services.

Product sales increased \$25.0 million, or 10 percent, in 2004 and \$100.9 million, or 63 percent, in 2003. The increase in product sales in 2004 was primarily driven by higher retail prices for wireless handsets that include advanced features, such as picture messaging, and that are capable of downloading games, entertainment content, weather and office applications. The increase in product sales in 2003 primarily resulted from higher retail prices and growth in gross customer additions. The increases in product sales in both 2004 and 2003 also reflected the continued retention efforts by the Company focused on migrating existing wireless customers to new wireless technologies. The acquisitions of wireless properties completed in 2003 and 2002 accounted for approximately \$19.5 million of the overall increase in product sales in 2003.

Cost of services increased \$175.8 million, or 13 percent, in 2004 and \$121.7 million, or 10 percent, in 2003. The increases in cost of services in both years reflected higher network-related costs and increased wireless regulatory fees, partially offset by reductions in bad debt expense. In addition to these factors, the acquisitions of wireless properties completed in 2003 and 2002 accounted for approximately \$108.4 million of the overall increase in cost of services in 2003. Compared to the prior year periods, wireless network-related costs increased \$131.8 million in 2004 and \$44.5 million in 2003 reflecting increased network traffic due to customer growth, increased minutes of use and expansion of network facilities. Cost of services for 2004 and 2003 also reflected increases in wireless regulatory fees of \$12.7 million and \$40.5 million, respectively, principally related to USF, reflecting changes in FCC regulations effective April 1, 2003. In addition, cost of services for 2004 also reflected increased wireless customer service expenses of \$34.5 million, primarily reflecting additional costs associated with ALLTEL's initiatives designed to improve customer satisfaction and reduce postpay churn by subsidizing the cost of new handsets provided to existing customers before the expiration of their service contracts. Losses sustained from bad debts decreased \$5.3 million in 2004 and \$84.6 million in 2003, primarily reflecting the Company's continued efforts to monitor its customer credit policies, evaluate minimum deposit requirements for high-credit risk customers and improve collection practices by adding new technologies and proactively managing the efforts of its collection agencies.

Cost of products sold increased \$37.0 million, or 7 percent, in 2004 and \$106.1 million, or 25 percent, in 2003. The increase in cost of products sold in 2004 was consistent with the corresponding increase in product sales discussed above and primarily reflected the effects of selling higher-priced handsets and the Company's continuing efforts to migrate