DORSEY, GRAY, NORMENT & HOPGOOD

ATTORNEYS-AT-LAW

318 SECOND STREET

JOHN DORSEY (1920-1986) STEPHEN D. GRAY WILLIAM B. NORMENT, JR. J. CHRISTOPHER HOPGOOD S. MADISON GRAY DAVIS L. HUNTER HENDERSON, KENTUCKY 42420

TELEPHONE (270) 826-3965 TELEFAX (270) 826-6672 www.dkgnlaw.com

December 23, 2014

FEDERAL EXPRESS

RECEIVED

DEC 24 2014

Mr. Jeff DeRouen Public Service Commission 211 Sower Boulevard Frankfort, Kentucky 40601

PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

Re: Kenergy Corp.

Case No. 2014-0376

Dear Mr. DeRouen:

Enclosed you will find Kenergy's Responses to Data Requests (original plus 10 copies) in the above referenced matter.

Your assistance in this matter is appreciated.

Very truly yours,

DORSEY, GRAY, NORMENT & HOPGOOD

By

J. Christopher Hopgood Counsel for Kenergy Corp.

JCH/cds Encls.

COMMONWEALTH OFKENTUCKY BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

RECEIVED

DEC 24 2014

PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

IN THE MATTER OF:

THE APPLICATION OF KENERGY CORP.)	CASE NO. 2014-00376
FOR AN ORDER ISSUING A CERTIFICATE)	
OF PUBLIC CONVENIENCE AND)	
NECESSITY)	

<u>PETITION FOR ORDER ISSUING</u> KENERGY CORP. CONFIDENTIAL PROTECTION

- 1. **KENERGY CORP.** ("Kenergy") hereby petitions the Kentucky Public Service Commission ("Commission), pursuant to 807 KAR 5:001 Section 13, and KRS 61.878(1)(c), to grant confidential protection to certain information Kenergy is filing with is responses to the Commission Staff's ("PSC"), Initial Data Requests for Information. The information for which Kenergy seeks confidential treatment is hereinafter referred to as the "Confidential Information."
- 2. The Confidential Information includes responses to Item 1 of the Commission Staff's Initial Requests for Information. Kenergy committed to vendors that it would maintain confidentiality of the vendors' pricing and other proposal content that were stamped as "proprietary" and "confidential". Attached hereto as Exhibits 1-a and 1-b are the vendors' written requests to the Commission to honor the confidential nature of this information.

- 3. One (1) copy of the paper attachments with the confidential information underscored, highlighted with transparent ink, printed on yellow paper, or otherwise marked "CONFIDENTIAL," is being filed with this petition in a separate sealed envelope marked "CONFIDENTIAL." A copy of those pages, with the Confidential Information redacted, is being filed with the original and each of the 10 copies of the responses to the information requests filed with this petition. See 807 KAR 5:001 Sections 13(2)(a)(3), 13(2)(b).
- 4. A copy of this petition with the Confidential Information redacted has been served on all parties to this proceeding. See 807 KAR 5:001 Section 13(2)(c).
- 5. The Confidential Information is not publicly available, is not disseminated within Kenergy except to those employees and professionals with a legitimate business need to know and act upon the information, and is not disseminated to others without a legitimate need to know and act upon the information.
- 6. If and to the extent the Confidential Information becomes generally available to the public, whether through filings required by other agencies or otherwise, Kenergy will notify the Commission in writing See 807 KAR 5:001 Section 13(10)(b).
- 7. As discussed below, the Confidential Information is being submitted confidentially pursuant to 807 KAR 5:001 Section 13(9)(a) and/or is entitled to confidential protection based upon KRS 61.878(1)(c)(1). 807 KAR 5:001 Section 13(2)(a)(1).

I. Information Protected by KRS 61.878(1)©(1)

A. Kenergy's Vendors Face Actual Competition

- 8. KRS 61.878(1)(c)(1) protects "records confidentially disclosed to an agency or required by an agency to be disclosed to it, generally recognized as confidential or proprietary, which if openly disclosed would permit an unfair commercial advantage to competitors of the entity that disclosed the records."
- 9. Kenergy's vendors compete in the automated meter market. The information sought to be protected is proprietary and if disclosed would subject the vendor to unfair competition.
- 10. As such, the Confidential Information is generally recognized as confidential and proprietary.

II. Time Period

11. Kenergy requests that the Confidential Information contained in the attachments remain confidential for a period of five (5) years from the date of this petition, which should allow sufficient time for the projected data to become historical and sufficiently outdated that it could not be used to determine similar confidential information at that time or to competitively disadvantage to Kenergy's vendors.

III. Conclusion

12. Based on the foregoing, the Confidential Information is entitled to confidential protection. If the Commission disagrees that Kenergy is entitled to confidential protection, due process requires the Commission to hold an evidentiary

hearing. Utility Regulatory Com'n v. Kentucky Water Service Co., Inc., 642 S.W2d 591 (Ky. App. 1982).

WHEREFORE, Kenergy respectfully requests that the Commission classify and protect as confidential the Confidential Information.

DORSEY, GRAY, NORMENT & HOPGOOD 318 Second Street Henderson, KY 42420 Telephone (270) 826-3965 Telefax (270) 826-6672 Attorneys for Kenergy Corp.

By

J. Christopher Hopgood chopgood@dkgnlaw.com

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that the foregoing was served by mailing the original to the Kentucky Public Service Commission, 211 Sower Blvd., Frankfort, KY 40602 by Federal Express on this 23 day of December, 2014.

Counsel for Kenergy Con



December 22, 2014

Doug Hoyt Director of Procurement & Contracts Kenergy Apex CoVantage 200 Presidents Plaza 198 Van Buren Street Herndon, Virginia 20170-5338

t 703.709.3000 f 703.709.0333 info@apexcovantage.com www.apexcovantage.com

Re: Apex Confidential & Proprietary Information

Dear Mr. Hoyt,

Per your email request on December 19, 2014, Apex is requesting that the following information related to our proposal to provide Advanced Metering Infrastructure Services - Installation, Testing, and Removal of Meters Response be kept confidential and proprietary. The following matrix responds to this request and explains the basis therefor:

Sections	Section Heading & Description	Rationale
C.	Installation, Testing and Removal of Meters Methodology, schedule, and installation pricing	Confidential and Proprietary information concerning Apex's specific approach to, methodology for and scheduling of the AMI project. Reveals Apex's specific pricing proposal for each element of the project, including the underlying pricing assumptions. This information, if disclosed, would be useful to Apex's competitors;
E.	Warranty Information	Reveals Apex's specific warranty offering for the Proposal. This information, if disclosed, would be useful to Apex's competitors.
F	Additional Information ProField, Apex's unique offering, and value add	Reveals aspects of Apex's specific proposal for the project, including the application of the ProField platform to increase productivity. This information, if disclosed, would be useful to Apex's competitors.



Sections	Section Heading & Description	Rationale
Ex.A	Contact Information	Reveals information about Apex personnel assigned as contacts for the project and their titles/positions and contact numbers.

Let me know if there are any questions concerning the foregoing.

Sincerely,

Ronald J. Jarvis

General Counsel

Apex CoVantage rjarvis@apexcovantage.com

www.apexcovantage.com



December 22, 2014

Mr. Jeff Derouen Kentucky Public Service Commission P.O. Box 614 211 Sower Blvd. Frankfort, KY 40602-0615

RE:

Confidentiality Request of the information submitted to Kenergy

Case No. 2014-00376

Dear Mr. Derouen:

Landis & Gyr is aware that Kenergy has submitted to the Kentucky Public Service Commission the required Application for a Certificate for Public Convenience and Necessity (CPCN) associated with their proposed deployment of an automated metering infrastructure (AMI) solution on their electric distribution system. Landis & Gyr submitted a proposal that was accepted by Kenergy to provide certain components of this system. Kenergy committed to vendors that it would maintain confidentiality of the vendor's pricing and other proposal content that was marked as "proprietary" and "confidential".

Items considered proprietary or confidential include trade secrets, commercially sensitive or proprietary information, research, development, inventions, processes, methods, specifications, protocols, designs, drawings, diagrams, knowhow, engineering, marketing data, techniques, documentation, customer information, pricing information, procedures, data concepts, business and marketing plans or strategies, financial information, business opportunities, requests for proposals or information, software and related documentation, hardware information, services and products (both existing and planned) and product road maps.

Please consider this letter as Landis & Gyr's request to the Commission to honor the confidential nature of this information.

Sincerely,

Stevven E Timm Account Executive

Landis+Gyr

Cellular: +1 727 808 6610 Stevven.Timm@landisgyr.com

COMMONWEALTH OFKENTUCKY BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

RECEIVED

DEC 24 2014

PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

IN THE MATTER OF:

THE APPLICATION OF KENERGY CORP.)	CASE NO. 2014-00376
FOR AN ORDER ISSUING A CERTIFICATE)	
OF PUBLIC CONVENIENCE AND)	
NECESSITY)	

KENERGY CORP.'S RESPONSES TO DATA REQUESTS

KENERGY CORP. ("Kenergy") submits the following Responses to Data

Requests.

DORSEY, GRAY, NORMENT & HOPGOOD 318 Second Street Henderson, KY 42420 Telephone (270) 826-3965 Telefax (270) 826-6672 Attorneys for Kenergy Corp.

By

J. Christopher Hopgood chopgood@dkgmlaw.com

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that the foregoing original plus ten copies was served by mailing the original to the Kentucky Public Service Commission, 211 Sower Blvd., Frankfort, KY 40602 by Federal Express on this ²³⁷⁷ day of December, 2014.

Counsel for Kenergy Gorp

COMMONWEALTH OFKENTUCKY BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

RECEIVED

DEC 24 2014

PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

IN THE MATTER OF:
THE APPLICATION OF KENERGY CORP.) CASE NO. 2014-0376
FOR AN ORDER ISSUING A CERTIFICATE OF PUBLIC CONVENIENCE AND NECESSITY)
<u>VERIFICATION</u>
I verify, state and affirm that the data request responses 1, 3,
4, 5, 6, 7, 8 & 9 and all subparts attached hereto and filed with this verification is
true and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief formed after a reasonable
inquiry. The Veuland
John E. Newland
STATE OF KENTUCKY
COUNTY OF HENDERSON
The foregoing was signed, acknowledged and sworn to before me by JOHN E. NEWLAND this 23 ³⁰ day of December, 2014.
My commission expires 5-3-2018
Notary Public, State of Kentucky at Large
(seal) John Christopher Hongcod, Notary Particular of Tubility at Darge My Commission Christopher Hongcod, Notary My Commission Christopher Hongcod, Notary D # 509367

COMMONWEALTH OFKENTUCKY BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

IN THE MATTER OF:	
THE APPLICATION OF KENERGY CORP.) CASE NO. 2014-0376
FOR AN ORDER ISSUING A CERTIFICATE OF PUBLIC CONVENIENCE AND NECESSITY)))
VERIFICATI	ON
I verify, state and affirm t	that the data request response 2 and
all subparts attached hereto and filed with this	verification is true and correct to the
best of my knowledge and belief formed after a Steve	Steve Thompson Thompson
STATE OF KENTUCKY	
COUNTY OF DAVIESS	
The foregoing was signed, me by STEVE THOMPSON this 22 M day of I	acknowledged and sworn to before December, 2014.
My commission expires	Oct. 16, 2016
Senit Notary Publi	ic, State of Kentucky at Large

CASE NO. 2014-00376

1			OAGE NO. 2014 00070	
2	Item 1)	Refer	to paragraph (f) of the Application.	Provide a cost breakdown
3	for:			
4		a.	The meter and module;	
5				
6	Response 1a	a)	and the state of the	
7		b.	Installation (including removal)	
8				
9	Response 1	b)	元生学 (1944)	
10		C.	End of life testing;	
11				
12	Response 1	c)		
13		d.	Operating system and software;	
14				
15	Response 1	d)		
16		e.	Communication equipment;	
17				
18	Response 1	e)	《在 上述》	
19		f.	Meter Data Management System ("I	MDMS");
20				
21	Response 1	f)	The same of	
22				
	II .			

	CASE NO. 2014-00376
1	
2	g. and Training.
3	
4	Response 1g)
5	(Misc. Material)
6	(Annual maintenance for software)
7	(Total Estimate)
8	
9	
10	
11	Witness) John Newland
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	

CASE NO. 2014-00376

1										
2	Item 2)	Resol	ve the	estimated di	fferenc	es between	:			
3		a.	\$9,719	9,314 as sho	wn in p	aragraph (f)	of the	Application	,	
4	Response 2	a)	This re	epresents the	e firm p	rice of the pr	oject.			
5		b.	\$9,094	4,000 shown	in Exhi	ibit 4 of the A	Applica	tion; and		
6										
7	Response 2	(b)	The c	lifference be	tween	\$9,719,314	and S	\$9,094,000	are o	costs
8	that will be e	expense	ed:							
9		Kene	rgy Sta	ff Labor					\$438	,991
10		End c	of Life T	esting for old	d mete	ers			\$170	,616
11		Refine	ement	of Project Co	ost				\$ 15.	,707
12									\$625	,314
13										
14		C.	\$11,1	60,384 show	n in Ex	hibit 6 of the	Applic	ation reflec	t the	
15	prelim	ninary p	rice pro	posal						
16										
17	Response 2	2c)	This	represents	the	preliminary	cost	estimate	that	was
18	developed p	rior to	receipt	of firm vende	or prop	oosal.				
19										
20										
21	Witness)	Steve	Thom	pson						
22										

				CASE	NO. 2014	4-00376				
1										
2	Item 3)	Refer	to Exhib	oit 1 of the	Applicati	on.				
3		a.	When	Kenergy	formally	began	its tollgate	e proc	ess, v	vhich
4	Advanced M	letering	g Infrastr	ucture ("A	MI") tech	nologies	were eval	uated p	orior to	staf
5	determining	a radic	frequer	ncy ("RF")	system w	as prefer	able?			
6										
7	Response 3	a)	Power	line Carri	er, Cellula	ar and Ra	dio Frequ	ency w	ere	
8	considered.									
9		b.	Were t	he 20 ben	chmarked	d utilities of	discussed	in the	evaluat	ion
10	similar in size	e and	scale to	Kenergy a	nd did the	ey deploy	AMI techi	nologie	s?	
11										
12	Response 3	b)	The ut	ilities wer	e of vari	ous sizes	s and sca	ales (so	ome la	rger,
13	some smalle	r). The	ey all de	ployed AN	II or AMR	technolo	gies.			
14		C.	Discus	s the bene	efits of a r	obust MD	MS to Kei	nergy a	nd its	
15	customers.									
16										
17	Response 3	c)	The Mi	OMS will v	erify and	cleanse	the raw m	ieter da	ata, pro	vide
18	storage and	archivi	ng of sa	id data, al	low Kene	rgy to an	alyze the	distribu	tion sys	stem
19	(e.g., transfo	ormer	loading)	, give m	embers a	access to	hourly (usage	informa	ation
20	through Kene	erav's (existina '	"MvAccou	nt" Web r	ortal (we	bsite or m	obile a	pp) and	d will

21

allow the use of prepaid metering and dynamic pricing in the future.

CASE NO. 2014-00376

d. If one of the benefits of MDMS is the customer's availability to hourly data, explain the methods by which the customer may access the information.

Response 3d) On the Kenergy website or via a smart phone the member will sign up for or log into their existing "MyAccount" Web portal. Member's hourly usage data will be readily accessible there. The MDMS provides graphs for investigating usage and demand on individual accounts by year, month, week, day, hour, etc. The graphs include high, low, and average temperature data by service location zip code. Users may view billing information, including side-by-side billing comparisons and estimated usage charges to date. Users may also create markers on a selected date (or date range) to signify events in the life of the account; for example, users may create markers for energy efficient installations to compare before and after consumption patterns. In addition, Member Service Representatives would also be able to assist in accessing energy usage information during office visits.

e. Describe the procedure Kenergy used to develop a distribution vendor list for its June 26, 2014 RFP.

1	CASE NO. 2014-00376
2	Response 3e) Kenergy utilized all known vendors with two-way RF
3	communications solutions for June 26, 2014 RFP. The list was compiled through
4	benchmarking with other electric utilities, industry research, existing software
5	partners and by attending industry meetings and trade shows.
6	f. How were the AMI vendors selected to participate in the RF
7	propagation study and was participation required to qualify as a respondent to the
8	June 26, 2014 RFP?
9	
10	Response 3f) See response to "e" above. All known vendors were asked to
11	provide a propagation study prior to Kenergy's issuance for request for proposal.
12	Completion of a propagation study was not a requirement to qualify as a respondent
13	to the June 26, 2014 RFP.
14	
15	
16	
17	Witness) John Newland
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	

	CASE NO. 2014-00376
1	OASE NO. 2014-00010
2	Item 4) Refer to the "AMI" Solution – Specifications" section of Exhibit 1 of the
3	Application.
4	a. Explain the requirements to use specific meter brands
5	
6	Response 4a) The meter manufacturers listed in Exhibit 1 of the application
7	were deemed acceptable by Kenergy based on experience and are compatible wi
8	a 2-way RF solution.
9	b. Does Kenergy require that meter manufacturers support
10	multiple software vendor applications/modules? Explain in detail.
11	
12	Response 4b) Kenergy did not make it a requirement that met
13	manufacturers support multiple AMI applications. The AMI solution chosen w
14	support multiple meter manufacturers.
15	c. Clarify the requirement to "utilize [a] design which results in r
16	more than 8 hops per meter and allows each meter's 'last gasp' to be delivered
17	Milsoft's OMS."
18	
19	Response 4c) Kenergy requires that meter to meter communication be limited
20	to seven points of data transfer (hops) with the eighth point of transfer being
21	collector. As part of the selected AMI solution, meter data is communicated through
22	an RF transfer between meters before it is delivered to collectors installed

CASE NO. 2014-00376

throughout the system. Data is communicated from collectors to a central master station server. "Hops" refer to the number of data transfers by the meter. "Last gasp" refers to the last data transfer by a meter before it is unable to communicate due to loss of power. Eight hops per meter was specified to maximize capability for meter reporting during outages.

d. Provide examples and the expected benefits of "down line devices" envisioned by Kenergy to receive DNP3 meter communication.

Response 4d) Examples of down line devices include regulators, capacitors, & switches. Initially Kenergy will control down line regulators to allow for optimization of system voltage along with enhancing distribution demand response capability.

e. Elaborate on a meter's ability to allow for "intermittent shutoff."

Response 4e) The AMI system allows for intermittent shut off which is a feature of the solution. This feature comes as a basic capability of the AMI/meter and is provided at no additional cost to Kenergy. Kenergy has no immediate plans to use this feature.

f. What is the anticipated life of the Landis+Gyr meter and the related software module?

	CASE NO. 2014-00376
1	
2	Response 4f) Manufacturer literature states that the meter and module
3	selected have a 20 year life design. This was confirmed during on-site vendor
4	proposal evaluation meetings. Kenergy plans to depreciate this system over 15
5	years.
6	g. Does Kenergy anticipate selecting a meter which could remain
7	in service as new technology becomes available through a software upgrade?
8	
9	Response 4g) Yes, Kenergy has a firm commitment from Landis+Gyr that it
10	will support the system for a minimum of 15 years. All meters installed in this
11	project are able to be remotely upgraded.
12	h. Define and provide information regarding a "CSV" file.
13	
14	Response 4h) CSV, ("comma separated values") is an industry database
15	format standard. The AMI related vendors will provide data in this format for
16	integration into Kenergy's existing information systems.
17	
18	
19	
20	Witness) John Newland
21	
22	

	CASE NO. 2014-00376
1	
2	
3	Item 5) Refer to page 2 of Exhibit 3 of the Application. Kenergy states that i
4	will replace a portion of three-phase meters with new digital meters. Is Kenergy
5	anticipating having both new and old meters on its system? Explain in detail.
6	
7	Response 5) Kenergy clarifies 100% of three phase meters will be replaced
8	as part of the project. This will be done by Kenergy personnel as well as contrac
9	labor.
10	
11	
12	
13	Witness) John Newland
14	
15	
6	
7	
8	
9	
20	
1	
2	

CASE NO. 2014-00376

1			
2			
3	Item 6)	Refer	to the Exhibit 5 of the Application.
4		a.	Provide a discussion of Kenergy's "MyAccount" app.
5			
6	Response 6	Sa)	MyAccount is a Kenergy branded web portal to allow access to
7	member ac	count	and billing information. This website provides Kenergy's
8	members' ad	ccount	management at their fingertips. Members can view their usage
9	and billing,	manag	ge payments, notify customer service of account and service
10	issues and re	eceive	special messaging from Kenergy.
11		b.	Provide Kenergy's criteria for installing meters with remote
12	connect/disc	connect	t.
13			
14	Response 6	Sb)	Remote disconnects will be installed on apartments, seasona
15	accounts an	d acco	ounts that have been disconnected then reconnected during the
16	last 18 mont	hs.	
17			
18			
19			
20	Witness)	John	Newland
21			
22			

	CASE NO. 2014-00376
1	
2	
3	Item 7) Refer to the response to Item 6 of the Testimony of John Newland
4	("Newland Testimony") which states, "An AMI system will eliminate the need for
5	manual reads for three (3) phase accounts that have been estimated for six (6)
6	months." Confirm that all of Kenergy's meters are manually and periodically read
7	and provide a timetable.
8	
9	Response 7) Each Kenergy meter is read annually by our contractor or a
10	Kenergy employee. In addition all three phase demand accounts are read monthly
11	by Kenergy personnel.
12	
13	
14	
15	Witness) John Newland
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	

22

	CASE NO. 2014-00376
1	
2	
3	Item 8) Refer to the response to Item 7 of the Newland Testimony which
4	states, "RUS has approved a modification to the Kenergy Construction Work
5	Program. Loan funds will provide 100% of the cost of the project." Does Exhibit 6
6	of the Application represent the loan amount requested by Kenergy?
7	
8	Response 8) Yes, this is the amount Kenergy requested approval of RUS,
9	based on preliminary project cost estimates. Subsequent negotiations have
10	resulted in the reduced estimated project cost included in the application.
11	
12	
13	
14	Witness) John Newland
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	

CASE NO. 2014-00376

1	CASE NO. 2014-00370
2	
3	Item 9) Refer to response to Item 8 of the Newland testimony. Provide
4	minutes of the October 14, 2014 Kenergy Board meeting.
5	
6	Response 9) The approval of Tollgate 3 at the October 14, 2014 Kenergy
7	Board meeting (excerpt attached) granted the AMI Team permission to move
8	forward with the AMI System.
9	
10	
11	
12	Witness) John Newland
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	