COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY

BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

IN THE MATTER OF: THE APPLICATION OF BIG RIVERS ELECTRIC CORPORATION FOR AN ADJUSTMENT OF RATES

Case No. 2013-00199

PECENTED

OCT 29 2013

COMMISSION

DIRECT TESTIMONY OF

KELLY THOMAS

ON BEHALF OF THE

KENTUCKY INDUSTRIAL UTILITY CUSTOMERS, INC.

October 2013

COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

IN THE MATTER OF: THE APPLICATION OF BIG RIVERS ELECTRIC CORPORATION FOR AN ADJUSTMENT OF RATES

Case No. 2013-00199

DIRECT TESTIMONY OF KELLY THOMAS ON BEHALF OF ALERIS INTERNATIONAL, INC. AS A MEMBER OF KENTUCKY INDUSTRIAL UTILITY CUSTOMERS, INC.

I. INTRODUCTION AND QUALIFICATIONS

- 2 Q. Please state your name, occupation, and business address.
- 3 A. My name is Kelly Thomas. I am the Senior Vice President and General Manager, Rolled
- 4 Products North America of Aleris International, Inc. My business address is 25825
- 5 Science Park Drive, Beachwood, OH 44122.

6

- 7 Q. Please describe your educational and professional background.
- 8 A. I joined Aleris in 2010 and currently serve as Senior Vice President and General Manager
- 9 for the company's Rolled Products North America business, responsible for all business
- and operational activities. I served as Vice President, Risk Management from 2010
- through July 2011 before taking over as Vice President and Treasurer from July 2011
- through July 2012. Prior to that, I held a variety of roles with increasing responsibility at
- Alcoa from 2000 2010, including Vice President, Alcoa Materials Management Europe

from 2007 - 2010, responsible for all commercial activities for Alcoa's Primary Europe business. Prior to this role, I was Director, Risk Management for Alcoa, responsible for the global aluminum hedging function from 2004 - 2007. Prior to joining Alcoa, I held a variety of financial and commercial leadership roles with Reynolds Metals, Louis Dreyfus Energy and IBM. I graduated from the University of Virginia, McIntire School of Commerce with a concentration in Finance in 1991.

Α.

Q. On whose behalf are you appearing in this proceeding?

I am appearing on behalf of Aleris International, which is a member of the Kentucky Industrial Utilities Customers, Inc. ("KIUC"). Aleris is a privately-held, global leader in aluminum rolled and extruded products, and recycling, with global headquarters in Cleveland, Ohio. The company's annual sales are approximately \$4.4 billion with business locations in 17 countries, employing approximately 7,200 people worldwide.

Aleris owns and operates an aluminum rolling mill in Lewisport, Kentucky. The Lewisport Rolling Mill has been in operation since 1964, and provides rolled aluminum sheet to key industries in Kentucky and the United States including Distribution, Transportation, Automotive, Building and Construction and Specialty Products. The Mill employs approximately 820 people. These are high-paying, family supporting jobs. Aleris is served under the Large Industrial rate by Big Rivers Electric Corporation ("Big Rivers") through our distribution cooperative, Kenergy Corp.

Q. Would you please summarize your testimony?

A. Yes. I discuss the adverse impacts of the proposed rate increases on Aleris' Lewisport,

Kentucky facility. If approved, the magnitude of those increases could discourage additional investment by Aleris in the Lewisport facility, harming the economy in Western Kentucky. As I explain, Aleris would be unable to significantly offset the level of the proposed increase through energy efficiency efforts.

I recommend that the Commission adopt KIUC's Rate Plan, as set forth in the testimony of witness Lane Kollen. I also explain why I think it is important for the Commission to allow Large Industrial customers to have access to market-based rates for at least a portion of their load. Aleris has experience with such rates elsewhere and could significantly benefit from those rates in terms of its competitiveness in the global market. While I strongly prefer that the Commission adopt this approach to mitigate the impacts of the proposed rate increases on Large Industrial customers, if the Commission does not, it should at least alter the terms of the Rural Economic Reserve fund so that it can be used to protect both Rural and Large Industrial customers from the proposed rate increases.

Q. Have you testified in any other proceeding(s) before the Commission?

16 A. Yes. I testified in the last Big Rivers rate case, Case No. 2012-00535.

A.

Q. Please summarize your testimony in Big Rivers' last rate case.

I testified regarding what Aleris expects and values from its electric utilities. This includes safe and reliable service as well as low-cost, stable electric rates, which are both critical to Aleris' continued viability and prosperity. Because Aleris competes in an increasingly global market, we require cost-competitive and predictable electricity rates that reflect the true cost of service in order to succeed.

I explained that we cannot absorb inefficiencies in our suppliers' systems or subsidize other industries. We cannot pay for excess capacity that is not providing service to us. Moreover, if prices are not competitive or lack predictability, it severely impedes our ability to justify the capital improvements that are required for our facility to remain both technologically and commercially competitive.

I provided an assessment of the strength of the aluminum industry, stating that the long-term projections for the industry are strong due to the material's ideal characteristics for light-weight applications and its recyclability. Aluminum is increasingly being considered as an attractive alternative to other more traditional materials, such as steel, which creates opportunities for new and exciting products and applications for aluminum. As a result of this positive outlook, however, competition in the U.S. flat-rolled aluminum industry has grown significantly.

I also discussed how Big Rivers' proposed rate increase would adversely affect Aleris' business plans for its Lewisport, Kentucky facility. As one of Aleris' most important U.S. manufacturing facilities, we have already invested significantly in the Lewisport facility. Though we are considering further investment options to take advantage of the new opportunities for aluminum, the substantial rate increase proposed by Big Rivers would significantly discourage any additional investment in that facility.

Q. How would a 112% rate increase impact Aleris' Lewisport facility?

A 112% rate increase would be a major impediment to future investment in the Lewisport facility. That facility already absorbed an approximately 5% increase recently as a result

¹ This 112% increase accounts for changes in base rates, the FAC, ECR, Smelter surcredits and the MSRM. See

of Big Rivers' last rate case, Case No. 2010-00037. Such a significant additional change in the cost structure of the Lewisport facility will force us to consider alternative investment opportunities elsewhere.

Such an outcome would be very unfortunate since additional investment in the Lewisport facility would help to secure a prosperous and long-term future for the facility and would greatly benefit the Lewisport workforce, our customers, and the Commonwealth of Kentucky. However, as I explained previously, Aleris competes on a global basis. When we suffer the burden of increased electricity costs, our competitors in other parts of the U.S. and around the world do not. We will not be able to pass those increased costs on to our customers, as Big Rivers is attempting to do. In today's market, our customers expect and demand that we increase productivity and eliminate waste and inefficiencies from our operations. Importantly, they expect to share in the benefit of these process improvements. It now appears that the benefit achieved by any increases in productivity will be greatly reduced, or completely offset, by higher power bills.

A.

Q. Would approving the proposed rate increases be detrimental to the Kentucky economy?

I believe so. Kentucky has traditionally benefited from its ability to attract industry with competitive power rates, which allow local businesses to maintain a competitive position in a global business environment. This has brought great economic value to the region in the form of jobs, tax revenues, and a highly-skilled labor force. But approving such

1		substantial rate increases to business customers in Western Kentucky would risk losing
2		some of those significant benefits.
3		
4	Q.	How does Aleris view Big Rivers' proposal?
5	A.	Aleris believes that it is entirely unreasonable for Big Rivers to force its remaining
6		customers to pay for 100% of the cost burden associated with the loss of the smelter load.
7		
8	Q.	Has Aleris continued its effort to educate the public concerning Big Rivers'
9		proposal?
10	A.	Yes. Along with Domtar and Kimberly-Clark, Aleris founded Fair Rates Kentucky in
11		order to get the word out to the public that the rate increases proposed by Big Rivers in
12		the Century and Alcan rate cases were going to be much higher than Big Rivers claimed.
13		Aleris has continued these efforts, which we believe are very important given the
14		magnitude of the rate increases that could ultimately result from Big Rivers' proposals.
15		
16	Q.	Can Aleris engage in energy efficiency efforts to reduce the impact of the proposed
17		increases on their Lewisport facility?
18	A.	Aleris already undertakes significant energy efficiency efforts to protect our bottom line
19		and will continue to do so. There are practical limits, however, to how much energy
20		efficiency our Lewisport facility can engage in. Given the magnitude of the increases
21		proposed by Big Rivers, Aleris will not be able to reduce its load requirements anywhere

near the amount needed to offset a significant portion of the rate increase.

22

Q. What do you recommend that the Commission do with respect to Big Rivers' proposal?

In addition to adopting the Rate Plan proposed by KIUC witness Kollen, I strongly recommend that the Commission allow Large Industrial customers to have access to market-based rates for a portion of their load. I have been advised by counsel that such a decision would be legal. And one smelter has already received Commission approval to do so.² Since the Commission now allows certain customers to benefit from market-based rates, it should expand that policy to include Large Industrial customers. Large Industrial customers should not be completely precluded from the benefits of market-based rates while other customers receive those benefits.

11

10

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

Α.

12 Q. Does Aleris have access to market-based rates in any other states?

13 A. Yes. Aleris has experience with market-based rates in Ohio and has significantly
14 benefitted from the availability of those rates. Such rates help maintain the viability and
15 prosperity of large industrial customers who must compete on a global basis, like Aleris.

16

17

- Q. If the Commission does not grant Large Industrial customers access to marketbased rates, what should the Commission do?
- 19 A. Though I would greatly prefer that the Commission allow Large Industrial customers to
 20 access market-based rates for a portion of their load, in the event the Commission does
 21 not wish to do so, it should at least alter the terms of the Rural Economic Reserve fund to
 22 protect both Rural and Large Industrial customers, as proposed by KIUC witness Baron.

² See Case No. 2013-00221.

- This would provide some additional mitigation for Large Industrial customers from the
- 2 substantial rate increases proposed by Big Rivers.

- 4 Q. Does this conclude your testimony?
- 5 A. Yes.