COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY

BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In the Matter of:

APPLICATION OF BIG SANDY WATER DISTRICT FOR AN ADJUSTMENT IN RATES)	CASE NO.
PURSUANT TO THE ALTERNATIVE RATE)	2012-00152
FILING PROCEDURE FOR SMALL UTILITIES	j	

NOTICE OF FILING OF COMMISSION STAFF REPORT

Notice is hereby given that, in accordance with the Commission's Order of June 7, 2012, the attached report containing the findings and recommendations of Commission Staff regarding the Applicant's proposed rate adjustment has been filed in the record of the above-styled proceeding.

Jeff Deroven

Executive Director

Public Service Commission

P.O. Box 615

Frankfort, KY 40602

DATE	J	UL	9	6	2012	

cc: Parties of Record

STAFF REPORT ON

BIG SANDY WATER DISTRICT

CASE NO. 2012-00152

On April 24, 2012, Big Sandy Water District ("Big Sandy District") filed an application with the Commission requesting to adjust its rates for water service in order to produce additional annual revenues from water sales of \$250,499, an increase of 11.7 percent over normalized revenues from water sales of \$2,136,489. The monthly bill for an average residential customer would increase from \$30.21 to \$33.30, or approximately 10.2 percent.

The application was prepared with the assistance of Commission Staff ("Staff") pursuant to a written request from Big Sandy District dated August 3, 2011. To prepare the application, Staff, with assistance from Big Sandy District's personnel, performed a limited financial review of Big Sandy District's test-year operations, the year ending December 31, 2010. The scope of the review was limited to determining whether operations reported for the test-year were representative of normal operations. Known and measurable changes to test-year operations were identified and adjustments were made when their effects were deemed to be material. Insignificant or immaterial discrepancies were not pursued and were not addressed.

After completing the financial review, Staff assisted Big Sandy District in calculating its revenue requirements and developing a cost-of-service study using ratemaking methods and principles historically used by the Commission. The rates requested by Big Sandy District are supported by the cost-of-service study.

After Big Sandy District filed its application, Staff identified additional adjustments to Big Sandy District's test-year operations. These adjustments are summarized below showing their impact on Big Sandy District's revenue requirements. These adjustments, along with all the adjustments proposed in Big Sandy District's application, are included on the Adjusted Operating Statement shown in Table B1 of Appendix B and are explained in Appendix B. The adjustments shown below for the Purchased Water Adjustment ("PWA") do not appear on Table B1 exactly as they are shown below. In Table B1, these adjustments are netted with other adjustments to the same revenue and expense accounts.

Adjustments Recommended by Staff in Addition to those included in the Application

		Amount	Ref.
Revenues Adjustments	•		
Purchased Water Adjustment	\$	(224,988)	(A)
Other Operating Revenues		(71,563)	(B)
Expense Adjustments			
Purchased Water Adjustment		169,978	(E)
Purchased Power to Pump Excess Water Loss	-	(4,491)	(F)
Net Effect on Revenue Requirement	\$	(131,064)	

After making the four additional adjustments shown above, Staff calculated Big Sandy District's overall revenue requirements to be \$2,555,884 using the same method applied in Big Sandy District's application, the Debt Service Coverage ("DSC") method. The revenue required from rates is \$2,480,912, which requires a revenue increase of \$119,436, or 5.06 percent, over normalized water sales of \$2,361,476. These

calculations are detailed in Table A1 of Appendix A and are shown in comparison to those included in Big Sandy District's application. These revenue requirements were entered into the cost-of-service study as shown in Appendix C to formulate the rates shown in Appendix D. If the Commission were to approve these rates, the average residential customer's monthly bill would increase from \$32.90¹ to \$35.03, or approximately 6.47 percent.

Staff members Mark Frost and Jason Green performed the limited financial review of Big Sandy District's test-year operations. This report summarizes Staff's review and recommendations. Mr. Frost is responsible for Appendix A, Determination of Overall Revenue Requirement and Required Revenue Increase; and Appendix B, Determination of Adjusted Operations and Explanation of Adjustments. Mr. Green is responsible for Appendix C, Staff's Cost-of-Service Study; and Appendix D, Staff's Recommended Rates.

Signatures

Prepared by: Mark C. Frost

Financial Analyst, Water and Sewer Revenue Requirements Branch Division of Financial Analysis

Prepared by: Jason Green

Rate Analyst, Communications, Water

and Sewer Rate Design Branch Division of Financial Analysis

¹ The current rates used to calculate the minimum bill were the rates approved in Case No. 2012-00161 to reflect the pass-through of the increase in the City of Kenova's wholesale rate.

APPENDIX A STAFF REPORT CASE NO. 2012-00152

DETERMINATION OF OVERALL REVENUE REQUIREMENT AND REQUIRED REVENUE INCREASE

Big Sandy District proposed to use the Debt Service Coverage ("DSC") method to calculate its revenue requirement and required revenue increase. These calculations are shown below in Table A1 along with Staff's calculations using the DSC method.

Table A1
Comparison of Revenue Requirements

	Big Sandy District	
	Application	Commission Staff
Pro Forma Operating Expenses, See Table B1	\$ 1,975,542	\$ 2,141,029
Three-Year Average Principal and Interest Payment		
RD	124,227	124,227
KIA and KRWFC	241,621	241,621
Debt Service Coverages:		
RD at 20 Percent	24,845	24,845
KIA and KRWFC at 10 Percent	24,162	24,162
Total Revenue Requirement	2,390,397	2,555,884
Less: Other Operating Revenues, See Table B1		(71,563)
Other Income and Deductions, See Table B1	(3,409)	(3,409)
Total Revenue Requirement from Rates	2,386,988	2,480,912
Less: Normalized Revenues at Present rates, See Table B1	(2,136,489)	(2,361,476)
Required Revenue Increase	\$ 250,499	\$ 119,436
Percentage Increase	11.725%	5.058%

DSC Method. Historically, the Commission has used the DSC method to determine the revenue requirement of water districts and water associations that have long-term debt. This approach is used primarily because a bond ordinance or loan agreement requires that a water district or water association maintain a predetermined DSC level. Pursuant to this approach, the Commission calculates the revenue requirement by summing a utility's operating expenses; average annual debt

principal and interest payments; and the DSC requirements of the utility's debt agreements.

Big Sandy District reports the following outstanding long-term debt issuances: Rural Development ("RD") Waterworks Revenue Bonds of 1993; RD Waterworks Revenue Bonds, Series 2000; RD Waterworks Revenue Bonds, series 2001; Kentucky Infrastructure Authority ("KIA"), C89-16 Loan; Kentucky Rural Water Financing Corporation ("KRWFC"), 2001 Loan; KRWFC, 2004 Loan; and KRWC, 2007 Loan. Using the amortization schedules for these long-term debts, Big Sandy District calculates a total three-year average debt service payment of \$365,848 as shown in Table A2.

Table A2
Average Debt Service Calculation

	Average Debt Service Calculation							
		2012		2013		2014	_	3-Year Avg.
RD 91-07	\$	67,093	\$	67,490	\$	67,320	\$	67,301
RD 91-10		27,423		28,040		27,613		27,692
RD 91-11		28,920		29,583		29,200		29,234
Subtotal RD		123,436		125,113		124,133		124,227
KIA C89-16		101,001		70,293		19,824		63,706
KRWA 2001		65,846		64,915		66,776		65,845
KRWA 2004		48,242		48,369		46,422		47,678
KRWA 2007		54,410		69,412		69,354		64,392
Subtotal KIA & KRWA		269,499		252,989	ami "manan'inarino"manan	202,376		241,621
Totals	\$	392,935	\$	378,102	\$	326,509	\$	365,848

Big Sandy District's RD Bonds require a DSC ratio of 1.2 and its KIA loans and KRWFC loans require a DSC ratio of 1.1. By applying the 1.2 ratio to the average RD

² Application, Outstanding Debt Instruments.

debt service of \$124,227 and the 1.1 ratio to the average loan payments of \$241,621, Staff calculated Big Sandy District's total annual revenue requirement to be \$2,555,884 and an annual revenue requirement from water sales of \$2,480,912, an increase of \$119,436, or 5.06 percent above normalized revenue from water sales of \$2,361,476.

APPENDIX B

STAFF REPORT CASE NO. 2012-00152

DETERMINATION OF ADJUSTED OPERATIONS AND EXPLANATION OF ADJUSTMENTS

Table B1 Staff's Adjusted Operating Statement

	-	Test Year	_Ad	ustments	Ref.	Ref. Pro form	
Operating Revenue Water Sales Other Operating Revenue	\$	2,104,849	\$	256,627 71,563	(A) (B)	\$	2,361,476 71,563
Total Operating Revenue		2,104,849		328,190			2,433,039
Operating Expenses							
Operation and Maintenance							
Salaries and Wages - Employees		309,202		6,349	(C)		315,551
Salaries and Wages - Commissioners		30,000					30,000
Employee Pensions and Benefits		124,033		24,957	(D)		148,990
Purchased Water		795,787		159,349	(E)		955,136
Purchased Power		61,674		(4,491)	(F)		57,183
Materials and Supplies		115,746		(37,614)	(G)		78,132
Contractual Services - Accounting		4,620					4,620
Contractual Services - Legal		4,188					4,188
Contractual Services - Water Testing		4,978					4,978
Contractual Services - Other		26,580		(3,090)	(H)		23,490
Transportation		26,673					26,673
Insurance		19,103		(5,399)	(1)		13,704
Advertising		190					190
Bad Debt Expense		8,680					8,680
Miscellaneous		52,568					52,568
Total Operation and Maintenance Expense		1,584,022		140,061			1,724,083
Deprecation		393,195		(388)	(J)		392,807
Taxes Other Than Income		28,771		(4,632)	(K)		24,139
Total Operating Expenses		2,005,988	***************************************	135,041			2,141,029
Net Operating Income		98,861		193,149			292,010
Interest Income		3,409				-	3,409
Income Available to Service Debt	_\$_	102,270	\$	193,149		\$	295,419

(A) <u>Water Sales and Other Operating Revenues</u>. Big Sandy District reported test-year revenue from Water Sales of \$2,104,849. As a part of its application, Big Sandy District verified this level of revenue by presenting a billing analysis. In the analysis, test-year sales were recalculated by applying water service rates to test-year meter readings. The results of the billing analysis demonstrate that test-year water sales should be restated to \$2,136,489. Accordingly, Big Sandy District requested to increase reported sales by \$31,640.

After Big Sandy District filed its application, the Commission issued an Order on May 18, 2012, in Case No. 2012-00161³ allowing Big Sandy District an increase to its water service rates to pass-through an increase in its wholesale purchased water costs. Staff recommends that test-year revenues be increased to \$2,361,476 to account for this increase. To calculate this amount, Staff applied the rates approved in Case No. 2012-00161 to the customer usages shown in Big Sandy District's billing analysis. Purchased water expense was also increased. This adjustment is discussed in detail at Reference Item (E).

Also, as discussed in Reference Item (B), Staff found that test-year Other Operating Revenues of \$71,563 were incorrectly reported as revenues from Water Sales. Therefore, test-year revenues from water sales should have been reported as \$2,033,286. Correcting this reporting error would restate Big Sandy's requested billing analysis adjustment from \$31,640 to \$103,203 (\$2,136,489, revenue per billing analysis - \$2,033,286, corrected test-year water sales); however, this restatement has no effect

³ Case No. 2012-00161, Purchased Water Adjustment Filing of Big Sandy Water District (Ky. PSC May 18, 2012).

on Staff's normalized revenues from water sales of \$2,361,476. This level of revenue was determined by applying current rates to test-year usages and is not affected by the reporting error.

- (B) Other Operating Revenues. After Big Sandy District's application was filed, it came to Staff's attention that Big Sandy District inappropriately reported test-year Other Operating Revenues in the amount of \$71,563 in the Water Sales account. These revenues were collected for late payment penalties and other nonrecurring charges. Staff recommends that these revenues be reclassified to the appropriate account, Other Operating Revenues. Accordingly, Other Operating Revenues has been increased by \$71,563.
- (C) <u>Salaries and Wages Employee</u>. Big Sandy District proposes to increase its test-year Salaries and Wages Employee expenses of \$309,202 by \$6,349. Using Big Sandy District's current staff level, the actual hours worked in the calendar year 2010, and the 2011 employee wage rates, Commission Staff calculates a pro forma Salaries and Wages Employees expense of \$315,551 as shown in Table A4. Commission Staff is of the opinion that the proposed adjustment is reasonable and that it meets the ratemaking criteria of known and measurable. Accordingly, Commission Staff recommends the Commission accept Big Sandy District's proposed adjustment.

Table B2
Pro forma Salaries and Wages

		2011	Wag	ges	2010 H	F	Pro Forma	
Position Title	R	Regular		Overtime	Regular	Overtime	Salaries	
Manager	\$	22.00	\$	33.00	2,060	208.00	\$	52,184
Assistant Manager	\$	19.41	\$	29.12	2,060	226.00		46,566
Meter Reader/Operator	\$	16.24	\$	24.36	2,060	206.50		38,484
Office Manager	\$	17.50	\$	26.25	2,060	151.50		40,027
Clerk	\$	12.50	\$	18.75	2,060	0.00		25,750
Laborer	\$	10.50	\$	15.75	2,060	315.50		26,599
Meter Reader	\$	13.50	\$	20.25	2,060	218.50		32,235
Meter Reader/Operator	\$	15.74	\$	23.61	2,060	72,50		34,136
Clerk	\$	9.50	\$	14.25	2,060	0.00		19,570
Totals							\$	315,551

(D) <u>Employee Pensions and Benefits</u>. Big Sandy District proposes to increase its test-year employee pensions and benefits expense of \$148,993 by \$24,957 to reflect the 2011 employee insurance premiums and the 2011 County Employees Retirement System ("CERS") employer contribution rate.

By memorandum dated June 21, 2011, Big Sandy District was informed that the CERS employer contribution rate would increase to 18.96 percent on July 1, 2011. Using the employee benefit premiums effective in the calendar year 2011, the pro forma Salaries and Wages - Employees expense, and the July 1, 2011 CERS contribution rate, Commission Staff calculates a pro forma level of Employee Pensions and Benefits expense of \$148,990 as shown in Table B3. Staff recommends the Commission accept Big Sandy District's proposed increase.

Table B3
Pro forma Employee Pensions and Benefits

	Em	p. Health	Er	Emp. Dental		Retirement			
Position Title	Insurance			Premium		18.9%	Totals		
Manager	\$	15,861	\$	781	\$	9,863	\$	26,505	
Assistant Manager		15,394		678		8,801		24,873	
Meter Reader/Operator		16,067		537		7,273		23,877	
Office Manager		0		0		7,565		7,565	
Clerk		7,234		0		4,867		12,101	
Laborer		5,661		396		5,027		11,084	
Meter Reader		18,512		603		6,092		25,207	
Meter Reader/Operator		10,816		510		6,452		17,778	
Clerk		0		0		0		0	
Totals	\$	89,545	\$	3,505	\$	55,940	\$	148,990	

(E) <u>Purchased Water</u>. In its 2010 Annual Report, Big Sandy District reported a test-year level of purchased water expense of \$795,787 and unaccounted-for water of 88,960,000 gallons or 23.48 percent. Big Sandy District proposes to decrease its purchased water expense by \$10,629 to reflect reducing its unaccounted-for water to 15 percent.

Using the number of gallons of water sold as shown on the billing analysis and the gallons recorded on the purchased water invoices, Staff determined that the test-year unaccounted-for water was actually 81,257,193⁴ or 21.31 percent.⁵ Big Sandy District's test-year line loss of 21.31 percent exceeds the Commission's allowable limit of 15 percent.⁶ Further, effective with water purchased on and after April

 $^{^4}$ 381,312,693 (Water Purchases) – 298,578,500 (Water Sales) – 20,000 (Water Used at Office) – 859,000 (System Flushing) – 245,000 (Fire Department Uses) – 353,000 (Other Uses) = 81,257,193.

⁵ Although the unaccounted-for water loss differs from the percentage referenced in the cost-of-service study, there is no impact to Staff's recommended rates because unaccounted-for water is held to the 15 percent allowable limit.

⁶ 807 KAR 5:066, Section 6(3).

13, 2012, the City of Kenova is increasing the wholesale water rate it charges to Big Sandy District from \$1.79 per 1,000 gallons to \$2.55 per 1,000 gallons.

As shown in Table B4, limiting Big Sandy District's unaccounted-for water to 15 percent and using the current wholesale rates, Staff calculates a purchased water expense of \$955,136, \$159,349 above reported test-year purchased water expense of \$795,787. Staff recommends the Commission deny Big Sandy District's adjustment and increase purchased water expense by \$159,349.

Table B4
Pro Forma Purchased Water Expense

	Current			Water		Allowable
	Wholesale	Rates		Purchases		Purchases
Cannonsburg Water District	\$	3.67	×	47,395.019	=\$	173,940
City of Kenova	\$	2.55	Х	220,483.734	=	562,234
Rattlesnake Ridge Water District	\$	2.96	Х	4,747.621	=	14,053
Louisa Water Company	\$	2.55	X	80,356.567	=	204,909
Pro Forma Purchased Water Expense					\$	955,136

(F) <u>Purchased Power</u>. Big Sandy District reports a test-year level of purchased power expense of \$61,674. The amount of electricity used by Big Sandy District is dependent on the amount of water that is purchased and pumped through its distribution system. Limiting unaccounted-for water to 15 percent impacts the amount of electricity used which will result in a reduction to the annual cost.

Dividing the test-year purchased power expense of \$61,674 by the test year water purchases of 381,312,693 gallons results in a variable cost of electricity of \$0.162 per 1,000 gallons.⁷ Applying the variable cost of electricity to the allowable water purchases of 352,982,941 gallons, Staff calculates a pro forma purchased power

 $^{^{7}}$ \$61,674 (Purchased Power) ÷ 381,312,693 (Purchases - Gallons) = \$0.162 cost per 1,000 Gallons.

expense of \$57,183,8 which is \$4,491 below the test-year level. Accordingly, Staff is recommending the Commission reduce purchased power expense by this amount to reflect the impact on purchased power expense of limiting unaccounted-for water to 15 percent.

(G) <u>Materials and Supplies</u>. Big Sandy District reports a test-year level of Materials and Supplies expense of \$115,746. In reviewing the test-year invoices, Staff discovered that \$37,614 of capital expenditures were incorrectly recorded as expenses. To eliminate the capital expenditures listed in Table B5 below, Staff recommends that Big Sandy District's Materials and Supplies expense be reduced by \$37,614.

Table B5
Capital Expenditures

Vendor	Descriptions	٨	mount
——————————————————————————————————————			
Consolidated Pip & Supply	Meter Installations	\$	(2,472)
Consolidated Pip & Supply	Meter Installations		(2,110)
Consolidated Pip & Supply	Meter Installations		(3,621)
Consolidated Pip & Supply	Meter Installations		(4,480)
Consolidated Pip & Supply	Meter Installations		(3,121)
Consolidated Pip & Supply	Meter Installations		(2,820)
IT Technologies	Mole for Service Lines		(4,116)
Neptune Equipment Company	Automated Meter Reading Equip.		(2,693)
Neptune Equipment Company	Automated Meter Reading Equip.		(920)
Neptune Equipment Company	Automated Meter Reading Equip.		(5,804)
Cannonsburg Water District	28 Setters		(2,800)
CI Thornburg	Check Valve		(330)
CI Thornburg	4" Meter		(1,319)
CI Thornburg	4" Meter		(1,008)
Total Capital Expenditures		\$	(37,614)

(H) <u>Contractual Services - Other</u>. Big Sandy District reports a test-year level Contractual Services - Other expense of \$26,580. In reviewing the test-year invoices,

⁸ \$0.162 (Variable Cost of Electricity per 1,000 gallons) x 352,982,941 (Allowable Purchases - Gallons) = \$57,183.

Commission Staff discovered that Big Sandy District had incorrectly expensed the purchase of automated meter reading equipment of \$3,090, which is a capital expenditure. Big Sandy District proposes to reduce Contractual Services – Other expense by \$3,090 to eliminate the capital expenditure, which Staff recommends the Commission accept.

- (I) <u>Insurance</u>. Big Sandy District reports a test-year level of Insurance expense of \$19,103. The total of the 2011 insurance premiums is \$13,704, which is \$5,399 below the reported expense. Big Sandy District proposes to reduce its insurance expense by \$5,399 to reflect the 2011 invoices. Staff recommends that the Commission accept the proposed adjustment.
- (J) <u>Depreciation</u>. Big Sandy District reports a test-year level of Depreciation Expense of \$393,195. As shown in Table B6, Commission Staff calculates a pro forma depreciation expense of \$392,807 to remove the depreciation of the items that were fully depreciated in 2011, to include depreciation for the capital expenditures removed from operating expenses, and to include post-test-year capital expenditures.

Table B6 Depreciation Expense

	·	•			Α	ccumulated	Pro Forma
	Account	Depreciation		UPIS	D	epreciation	Depreciation
No.	Title	Lives	1	2/31/10		12/31/10	 Expense
303.00	Land		\$	25,529	\$	0	\$ 0
304.00	Structures & Improvements	10		64,512		61,397	3,115
311.00	Pumping Equipment	50		292,307		105,120	5,846
330.00	Distribution Reservoir	50		1,223,302		451,488	24,466
331.00	Transmission & Distribution	50		9,077,248		2,634,801	181,545
333.00	Pipe Acces	50		3,855,964		1,053,815	77,119
334.00	Meters/Installations	20		1,171,436		571,667	58,572
335.00	Hydrants	30		227,402		19,910	7,580
340.00	Office Equipment	10		36,077		36,077	0
341.00	Transportation Equipment	5		52,218		5,222	10,444
343.00	Tools/Equipment	5		46,120		46,120	0
345.00	Backhoe/Equipment	5		74,003		74,003	0
346.00	Communication	5		6,014		6,014	0
347.00	Other Equipment	5		11,484		11,484	0
348.00	Telemetry Equipment	20		154,543		154,543	0
349.00	Digital Mapping	5		14,583		14,583	0
304.20	Office Building	10		57,018		53,062	3,956
340.00	Computers	5		81,825		78,538	3,287
Capital Expend	itures Expensed in Test Period:						
	Meter Installations	20		21,424			1,071
	Check Valve	30		330			11
	Automated Meter Reading Equip.	5		9,417			1,883
	Mole for Service Lines	20		4,116			206
	4" Meters	20		2,327			116
Post-Test-Perio	od Capital Expenditures:						
	River Crossing - City of Kenova	50		117,000			2,340
	30 Main Meter with Bypass - Zone System	20		225,000			 11,250
Pro Forma Dep	preciation Expense						\$ 392,807
Less: Reporte	d Depreciation Expense						 393,195
Pro Forma Adji	ustment						\$ (388)

(K) <u>Payroll Taxes</u>. Big Sandy District reports a test-year payroll tax expense of \$28,771. Applying the current "FICA" rate of 7.65 percent to the pro forma salaries, Big Sandy District proposes to reduce payroll tax expense by \$4,632, a proposal which Staff recommends the Commission accept.

APPENDIX C STAFF REPORT CASE NO. 2012-00152 STAFF'S COST-OF-SERVICE STUDY

BIG SANDY WATER DISTRICT

WATER PURCHASED AND SOLD

Total Produced	381,312,693	
Fire Department and Sewer	245,000	0.0006
System Use	1,232,000	0.0031
Line Loss	101,767,193	0.2533
Bulk Sales	- :	0,0000
Retail Sales	288,464,000	0.7179
Wholesale Sales	10,114,500	0.0252
Total	401,822,693	1.00

Note: Information was derived from Annual Report & Staff Billing Analysis

BIG SANDY WATER DISTRICT INCH MILE RATIO

	Total System			Jointly Used		
Size	Feet	Miles	Inch Miles	Feet	Miles In	ch Miles
12		2.09	25.08	-	2.09	25.08
8		27.58	220.64	-	6.50	52.00
6		118.20	709.20	-	<u>-</u>	0.00
4		71.20	284.80	-	-	0.00
3		189.00	567.00	- :	-	0.00
2		9.50	19.00	-	-	0.00
1		2.20	2.20	-	- F	0.00
3/4"		3.75	2.81	-	-	0.00
Total	-	423.52	1830.73	-	-	77.08
Inch Mile F	Ratio	0.0421				

WHOLESALE ALLOCATION FACTORS

		Factor
Line Loss Percentage *		0.1500
Plant Use Percentage		0.0031
Allowable Line Loss & Plant Use	0.1500 + 0.0032	0.1531
Production Multiplier	1/1-0.0032	1.0031
Inch Mile Ratio	77.08 / 1830.73	0.0421
Wholesale Share of Line Loss	0.0421 X 0.1500	0.0063
Joint Share Line Loss / Plant Use	0.0063 + 0.0032	0.0094
Wholesale Production Multiplier	1/1-0.0095 10,114,500/298,578,500 X	1.0095
Production Allocation Factor	(1.0096/1.0032) 10,114,500/298,578,500 X	0.0341
Pipeline Transmission Factor	0.0421	0.0014
Use Factor	10,114,500/298,578,500	0.0339

^{*}Note: Big Sandy's line loss is being held to the amount allowable by the PSC

WHOLESALE ALLOCATION FACTORS

				Factor
Line Loss Percentage			Amount Allowable by PSC	0.1500
Plant Use Percentage				0.0031
Allowable Line Loss & Plant Use	0.1500 + 0.0032	=	Line Loss % + Plant Use %	0.1531
Production Multiplier	1/1-0.0032	==	1/(1 - Plant Use %)	1.0031
Inch Mile Ratio	77.08 / 1830.73	==	Total Jointly Used Inch Miles / Total Inch Miles	0.0421
Wholesale Share of Line Loss	0.0421 * 0.1500	. =	Inch Mile Ratio X Line Loss %	0.0063
Joint Share Line Loss / Plant Use	0.0063 + 0.0032	. =	Wholesale Share of Line Loss + Plant Use %	0.0094
Wholesale Production Multiplier	1/1-0.0095 10.114,500/298,578,500 *	=	1/1 - Joint Share Line Loss / Plant Use) (Sales to Wholesale customers / Total Water Sold) *	1.0095
Production Allocation Factor	(1 0096/1 0032)	=	(Wholesale Production Multiplier / Production Multiplier) (Sales to Wholesale customers / Total Water Sold) • Inch	0.0341
Pipeline Transmission Factor	10,114,500/298,578,500 * 0.0421	=	Mile Ratio	0.0014
Use Factor	10,114,500/298,578,500	=	Sales to Wholesale Customers / Total Water Sold	0.0339

BIG SANDY WATER DISTRICT ALLOCATION OF EXPENSES

		P	umping and	Trar	nsmission and		
Expense	Total	Tr	eatment	Dis	tribution	Cu	stomer
Purchased Power *	\$ 57,183	\$	57,183				
Purchased Water *	955,136		955,136				
Employee Salaries	315,551		87,382		59,516		168,654
Employee Pension & Benefits	148,990		48,367		28,210		72,413
Materials & Supplies (Meters)	78,132						78,132
Advertising	190						190
Bad Debts Expense	8,680						8,680
Contractual Services - Other	23,490						23,490
Contractual Services - Water Testing *	4,978		4,978				
Subtotal Less Commodity*	\$ 575,033	\$	135,749	\$	87,726	\$	351,558
Percentage			0.2361		0.1526		0.6114
Administrative and General							
Commissioners Salaries	30,000		7,082		4,577		18,341
Contractual Services - Accounting	4,620		1,091		705		2,825
Contractual Services - Legal	4,188		989		639		2,560
Insurance	13,704		3,235		2,091		8,378
Miscellaneous	52,568		12,410		8,020		32,139
Transportation Expense	26,673		6,297		4,069		16,307
Payroll Taxes	24,139		5,699		3,683		14,758
Subtotal	\$ 1,748,222	\$	1,189,848	\$	111,508	\$	446,866
Depreciation	\$ 392,807	\$	92,731	\$	59,926	\$	240,151
Debt Service plus Coverage	\$ 414,855	\$	97,935	\$	63,289	\$	253,630
Total Expenses	\$ 2,555,884	\$	1,380,514	\$	234,723	\$	940,648
Commodity Costs designated by ()							

^{*}Commodity Costs designated by (*)

WHOLESALE RATE

Expense Commodity	Total	Allocation Factor	Amount to Wholesale	Rate to Wholesale
Purchased Power & Water	\$1,012,319	0.0339	\$ 34,293	3.3905
Water Testing	\$ 4,978	0.0339	\$ 169	0.0167
Operation and Maintenance				
Pumping and Treatment	172,551	0.0341	5,883	0.5816
Transmission and Distribution	111,508	0.0014	159	0.0157
Customer	446,866			
Depreciation				
Pumping and Treatment	92,731	0.0341	3,161	0.3126
Transmission and Distribution	59,926	0.0014	85	0.0085
Customer	240,151			
Debt Service				
Pumping and Treatment	97,935	0.0341	3,339	0.3301
Transmission and Distribution	63,289	0.0014	90	0.0089
Customer	253,630			
Total Expenses	\$2,555,884		\$ 47,179	
Less: Interest Income	3,409	0.0014	\$ 5	0.0005
Less: Other Operating Revenue	71,563	0.0014	\$ 102	0.0101
Wholesale Rate	2,480,912		\$ 47,072	\$ 4.65

ALLOCATION OF PLANT VALUE

	TOTAL	COMMODITY	DEMAND	CUSTOMER
Land & Land Rights	\$25,529		\$25,529	
Distribution Reservoirs & Standpipes	1,223,301		1,223,301	
Transmission & Distribution Mains	9,077,247		9,077,247	
Hydrants	227,402			\$227,402
Structures & Improvements	121,530		121,530	
Pumping Equipment	292,308		292,308	
Meters & Meter Installations	1,171,436			1,171,436
Services	3,855,964			3,855,964
SUBTOTAL	\$15,994,717	\$0	\$10,739,915	\$5,254,802
PERCENT	100.00%	0	67.15%	32.85%
General Plant (1)				
Communication Equipment	6,014		4,038	1,976
Power Operated Equipment	74,003		49,691	24,312
Tools, Shop & Garage	60,704		40,761	19,943
Transportation Equipment	184,275		123,734	60,541
Miscellaneous	11,484		7,711	3,773
Office Furniture & Equipment	117,904		79,169	38,735
Other Tangible Plant	154,544		103,771	50,773
TOTAL VALUE	\$16,603,645	\$0	\$11,148,790	\$5,454,855

⁽¹⁾ General Plant allocated based on overall weighted allocation of all other plant.

Note: Figures used were derived from 2010 annual report

ALLOCATION OF DEPRECIATION EXPENSE

	TOTAL	COMMODITY	DEMAND	CUSTOMER
Distribution Reservoirs & Standpipes	\$451,488		\$451,488	ooo i oiiiii
Transmission & Distribution Mains	2,634,802		2,634,802	
Hydrants	20,109			\$20,109
Structures & Improvements	114,459		114,459	
Pumping Equipment	105,120		105,120	
Meters & Meter Installations	571,668			571,668
Services	1,053,715			1,053,715
SUBTOTAL	\$4,951,361	\$0	\$3,305,869	\$1,645,492
PERCENT	100.00%	0.	66.77%	33.23%
General Plant (1)				
Power Operated Equipment	74,003		49,409	24,594
Tools, Shop & Garage	60,703		40,529	20,174
Transportation Equipment	137,279		91,657	45,622
Miscellaneous	11,484		7,668	3,816
Communication Equipment	6,014		4,015	1,999
Office Furniture & Equipment	114,617		76,526	38,091
Other Tangible Plant	154,543		103,184	51,359
TOTAL DEPRECIATION	\$5,510,004	\$0	\$3,678,857	\$1,831,147

Note: Figures were derived from 2010 annual report

ALLOCATION OF OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE EXPENSE

	TOTAL	COMMODITY	DEMAND	CUSTOMER
Purchased Power *	\$55,246	\$55,246		
Purchased Water *	922,780	922,780		
Employee Salaries	312,487		\$143,833	\$168,654
Employee Pension & Benefits	147,301		74,888	72,413
Materials & Supplies (Meters)	78,132			78,132
Advertising	190			190
Bad Debt	8,680			8,680
Contractual Services - Other	23,490			23,490
Contractual Services - Water Testing *	4,809	4,809		
SUBTOTAL	\$1,553,116	\$982,836	\$218,722	\$351,558
LESS COMMODITY	-\$982,836			
SUBTOTAL	\$570,280		\$218,722	\$351,558
PERCENT	100.00%		38.35%	61.65%
Commissioner Fees	29,752		11,411	18,341
Contractual Services - Accounting	4,582		1,757	2,825
Contractual Services - Legal	4,153		1,593	2,560
Insurance	13,591		5,213	8,378
Miscellaneous Expense	52,133		19,995	32,139
Transportation	26,453		10,145	16,307
Payroll Taxes	23,939		9,182	14,758
TOTAL	\$1,707,720	\$982,836	\$278,017	\$446,866

SUMMARY OF ALLOCATIONS

	TOTAL	COMMODITY	DEMAND	CUSTOMER
Plant Percentages	100.00%		67.15%	32.85%
Available For Debt Service	\$411,426		\$276,259	\$135,167
Depreciation Percentages	100.00%		66.77%	33.23%
Total Depreciation	389,560		260,097	129,463
Total Operation & Maintenance	1,707,720	982,836	278,017	446,866
REVENUE REQUIREMENT	\$2,508,706			
Less: Other Operating Revenue				-71,461
Less: Interest Income				-3,404
COST TO PROVIDE WATER SERVICE	\$2,433,840	\$982,836	\$814,373	\$636,632
REVENUE REQUIRED FROM RATES	\$2,433,840			

CALCULATION OF WATER RATES

	TOTAL	FIRST 2,000	NEXT 8,000	NEXT 20,000	NEXT 20,000	OVER 50,000
FROM BILLING ANALYSIS:						
COMMODITY PERCENTS	100.00%	31.80%	36.19%	4.50%	0.96%	26.55%
ACTUAL COMMODITY SALES	288,464,000	91,736,700	104,401,700	12,981,600	2,768,300	76,575,700
PEAK DEMAND WEIGHTED FACTOR		2	1.75	1.5	1.25	1
PEAK DEMAND WEIGHTED SALES	465,684,850	183,473,400	182,702,975	19,472,400	3,460,375	76,575,700
DEMAND PERCENTS	100.00%	39.40%	39.23%	4.18%	0.74%	16.44%
COMMODITY COSTS	\$982,836	\$312,559	\$355,711	\$44,230	\$9,432	\$260,904
DEMAND COSTS	\$814,373	\$320,852	\$319,504	\$34,053	\$6,051	\$133,913
CUSTOMER COSTS	\$636,632	\$636,632				
TOTAL COSTS	\$2,433,840	\$1,270,043	\$675,215	\$78,283	\$15,483	\$394,817
DIVIDE BY BILLS/GALLONS		53,686	104,401,700	12,981,600	2,768,300	76,575,700
CALCULATED RATES		\$23.66	\$6.47	\$6.03	\$5.59	\$5.16
		FIRST 2,000	NEXT 8,000	NEXT 20,000	NEXT 20,000	OVER 50,000
RECOMMENDED RATES		\$23.41	\$6.15	\$5.67	\$5.25	\$5.02
		FIRST 2,000	NEXT 8,000	NEXT 20,000	NEXT 20,000	OVER 50,000

Big Sandy Water District Verification of Rates

Meter Size	Gallons Sold	Revenue
5/8 inch	207,604,200	1,956,774.44
1 inch	2,177,800	17,300.97
1.5 inch	110,500	2,232.12
2 inch	112,500	13,968.48
3 inch	9,043,700	62,807.11
4 inch	69,415,300	380,848.36
Cannonsburg	2,841,500	13,212.98
Overland	7,273,000	33,819.45
Totals	298.578.500	\$2,480,963,91

APPENDIX D STAFF REPORT CASE NO. 2012-00152 STAFF'S RECOMMENDED RATES

Monthly Water Rates

5/8" X 3/4" Me	<u>ter</u>					
First	•	Gallons	\$23.41	Minimum Bill		
Next	•	Gallons	6.15	per 1,000 Gallons		
Next	,	Gallons	5.67	per 1,000 Gallons		
Next	,	Gallons	5.25 5.02	per 1,000 Gallons		
Over	50,000	Gallons	5.02	per 1,000 Gallons		
1" Meter						
First	10,000	Gallons	\$72.61	Minimum Bill		
Next	•	Gallons	5.67	per 1,000 Gallons		
Next	•	Gallons	5.25	per 1,000 Gallons		
Over	50,000	Gallons	5.02	per 1,000 Gallons		
1 1/2" Meter						
First	30 000	Gallons	\$186.01	Minimum Bill		
Next	,	Gallons	5.25	per 1,000 Gallons		
Over	,	Gallons	5.02	per 1,000 Gallons		
				•		
2" Meter		-	****			
First	•	Gallons	\$291.01	Minimum Bill		
Over	50,000	Gallons	5.02	per 1,000 Gallons		
3" Meter						
First	100,000	Gallons	\$542.01	Minimum Bill		
Over	•	Gallons	5.02	per 1,000 Gallons		
4" Meter	000 000	0 "	64 044 04	Address to the Dill		
First	200,000		\$1,044.01 5.02	Minimum Bill		
Over	200,000	Gallons	5.02	per 1,000 Gallons		
Wholesale Customer						
Overland Dev	elonment		\$4.65	Per 1,000 Gallons		
Cannonsburg	•	trict	4.65	Per 1,000 Gallons		
				,		

Mr. Jimmy Blanton Superintendent Big Sandy Water District 18200 Kentucky Route #3 Catlettsburg, KY 41129