RECEIVED

Jeff DeRouen, Executive Director
Public Service Commission of Kentucky
211 Sower Boulevard
P. O. Box 615

Frankfort, Kentucky 40602

September 22,2011

SEP 222011
PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSI ON
Kentucky Utilities Company
State Regulation and Rates 220 West Main Street PO Box 32010 Louisville, Kentucky 40232
www.lge-ku.com

Robert M. Conroy
Director - Rates
T 502-627-3324
F 502-627-3213
robert.conroy@lge-ku.com

# RE: The Application of Kentucky Utilities Conipany for Certificates of Public Convenience and Necessity and Approval of Its 2011 Compliance Planfor Recovery by Environmental Surcltarge Case No. 2011-00161 

Dear Mr. DeRouen:

Enclosed please find an original and fifteen (15) copies of Kentucky Utilities Company's (KU) supplemental response to Question No. 4 of the Attorney General's Supplemental Set of Data Request dated August 18, 2011, in the above-referenced matter.

Should you have any questions regarding the enclosed, please contact me at your convenience.

Sincerely,


Robert M. Conroy
cc: Parties of Record

## VERIFICATION

## COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY ) <br> ) SS: <br> COUNTY OF JEFFERSON

The undersigned, Daniel K. Arbough, being duly sworn, deposes and says that he is Treasurer for Kentucky Utilities Company and an employee of LG\&E and KU Services Company, and that he has personal knowledge of the matters set forth in the responses for which he is identified as the witness, and the answers contained therein are true and correct to the best of his information, knowledge and belief.


Subscribed and sworn to before me, a Notary Public in and before said County and State, this $1 \geqslant \frac{n d}{}$ day of $\qquad$ 2011.


My Commission Expires:


KENTUCKY UTILITIES COMPANY

# Response to Attorney General's Supplemental Data Requests Dated August 18,2011 

Supplemental Response filed September 22,2011
Case No. 2011-00161
Question No. 4
Witness: Daniel K. Arbough / Counsel

Q-4. Please provide copies of all presentations made to rating agencies and/or investment firms by PPL and/or the Company between January 1, 2011 and the present. If this information has been provided in response to another data request, please indicate the appropriate data request number, the document title, and the page number(s).

## A-4. Original Response:

Reference is made to the objections filed on August 24, 2011. Without waiver of these objections, the Company is providing copies of three rating agency presentations made during 2011 under seal and pursuant to a petition for confidential protection. Also, the Companies are providing copies of additional presentations on CD in folder titled Question No. 4.

## Supplemental Response filed September 9,2011:

Please see the attached presentation delivered by PPL on September 8,2011.

## Supplemental Response:

Please see the attached presentation delivered by PPL on September 20,2011.





|  | Our Strengths |
| :---: | :---: |
| - Strong regulatory re lationships |  |
| - Best in class reliability, customer se rvica |  |
| - Strong operating performanc ${ }^{\mu}$ - regulate $d$ and competitive |  |
| - Strong environme ntal position by competitive generation fleet |  |
| - Exce lle nt cost-manage ment |  |
| - Knowle djo able , de dicate d employees |  |

© PPL Corporation 2011

w Plan also seeks recovery of O\&M associated with all projects
LG\&E O\&M expense \$55M in 2016
KU O\&M expense $\$ 87 M$ in 2016
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2011 Earnings from Ongoing -


(1) Based on mid-point of forecasted earnings range.

Note: See appendix for reconciliation of earnings from ongoing operations to reported earnings.

Millions of Dollars




July 16 - Filed Application for ECR Plan

- Discovery began in July; LG\&E and KU filed responses to data requests between July 25 and August 10
Early September
a Public Meetings to be he din Louisv lim. Lexington. He nde rson and CoO in aress
- Sp ptember 1
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2013

54.4
46.0
8.4
$69 \%$
$69 \%$
$72 \%$


6.3
$19 \%$
2012
54.7

| $N$ |
| :---: |
| $\underset{\sim}{\circ}$ |

            8.5
    $97 \%$

$\$ 54-55$
$\$ 53-54$
$96 \%$
$95 \%$
$100 \%$
$\$ 76-80$
$\$ 23-29$
$\begin{array}{lr}7.6 & 6.2 \\ 87 \% & 32 \%\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{lr}7.6 & 6.2 \\ 87 \% & 32 \%\end{array}$
2013, respectivg y.
${ }^{2011^{(4)}}$

47.9
40.1
7.8
7.8

$97 \%$
$\$ 57$
$\$ 54$
$100 \%$
$100 \%$
$100 \%$
$100 \%$
$100 \%$
$100 \%$
$\$ 73-74$
$\$ 23-27$
As of June 30,2011
(1) Represents expected sales based on current business plan assumptions.
(2) The 2011 average hedge energy prices are based on the fixed price swaps as of June 30,2011 ; the prior collars have all been converted to fixed swaps.
(3) The 2012 and 20013 ranges of average energy prices for existing hedges were estimated by determining the impact on the existing collars resulting from 2012 a a a 13 power prices at the
5 5th and 954 percentie confidence levels.
(4) Includes six months of actual results.
(5) Transportation contract in negotiation.
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Average $H^{\rho} \mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{d}} \mathrm{\rho}^{\mathrm{d}}$ Pric $\rho\left(\text { Energy only) }^{(\$ / \mathrm{MWh}}\right)^{(2)(3)}$
East
Current Ooal H H dges (\%)
East
West
Current Ooal He dges (\%)
East
West
Current Hedges (\%)
East
Expected Generation ${ }^{(1)}$ (MiI D O MWh=y
East
West
West
East
Average Hedged OonJumd 0 I Price (Delivered \$/Ton)
East
Intermediate/Peaking
$\frac{\text { Intermediate/Peaking }}{\text { Expected Generation }}{ }^{(1)}$ (Mi Ii on MWh $\overline{\text { I }}$ )
Current Hedges (\%)
Baseload
Curr
West
Cap 2011
As of June 30,2011
(1) Represents expected sales based on current business plan assumptions.
(2) The 2011 average hedge energy prices are based on the fixed price swaps as of June 30,2011 ; the erior collars have all been converted to fixed swaps.
(3) The 2012 and 20013 ranges of average energy prices for existing hedges were estimated by determining the impact on the existing collars resulting from 2012 a a 13 power prices at the
5th and $95 t$ percentile confidence levels.
(4) Includes six months af actual results.
(5) Transportation contract in negotiation.
(C) PPL Corporation 2011
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$\begin{array}{lr}7.6 & 6.2 \\ 87 \% & 32 \%\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{lr}7.6 & 6.2 \\ 87 \% & 32 \%\end{array}$
Capscty rev s owes are exp ct c d to b $\$ 430$ milion. $\$ 385$ million and $\$ 590$ milion or Z011 2012 and 2013, respectiv $\mu$ y.
ppl
Enhancing Value Through Active Hedging
2012

$96 \%$
$95 \%$
$100 \%$
$\$ 76-80$
$\$ 23-29$

|  | Balance of 2011 | 2012 | 2013 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ELECTRIC |  |  |  |
| PJM |  |  |  |
| On-Peak | \$54 | \$54 | \$55 |
| Off-Peak | \$38 | \$39 | \$41 |
| ATC ${ }^{(1)}$ | \$46 | \$46 | \$48 |
| Mid-Columbia |  |  |  |
| On-Peak | \$38 | \$39 | \$44 |
| Off-Peak | \$26 | \$28 | \$31 |
| ATC ${ }^{(1)}$ | \$31 | \$34 | \$38 |
| GAS ${ }^{(2)}$ |  |  |  |
| NYMEX | \$4.47 | \$4.84 | \$5.16 |
| TZ6NNY | \$4.98 | \$5.57 | \$5.88 |
| PJM MARKET |  |  |  |
| HEAT RATE ${ }^{(3)}$ | 10.9 | 9.6 | 9.4 |
| CAPACITY PRICES (Per MWD) | \$136.79 | \$123.63 | \$187.49 |
| EQA | 91.0\% | 89.8\% | 91.3\% |
| (1) 24-hour average. |  |  |  |
| NYMEX and TZ6NNY forward gas prices on 6/30/2011. |  |  |  |
| Market Heat Rate $=$ PJM on-peak power price divided by TZ6NNY gas price. |  |  |  |


Note: Per share amounts are based on diluted shares outstanding. ther:
Mon
Monetization of certain full-requirement sales contracts Anticipated sale of certain non-core generation facilities
2010 Bridge Facility costs
Discontinued cash flow hedges and ineffectiveness
Reduction of credit facility
Reduction of credit facility
Other acquisition-related costs
Workforce reductions
Workforce reductions
Other:
Montana hydroelectric litigation
Change in U.K. tax rate
U.S. Tax Court ruling (U.K. Windfall Profits Tax)
Litigation settlement - spent nuclear fuel storage
Total Special Items
Reported Earnings Net hedge gains
Hedge ineffectivene
U.K. stamp duty tax
Other acquisition-related co
Emission allowances
Other asset impairment
WPD Midlands acquisition-related costs:
2011 Bridge Facility costs
Foreign currency loss on 2011 Bridge Facility
Net hedge gains Net hedge gains
Earnings from Ongoing Operations
Enecial Items.
Sales of assets:
Maine hydroelectric generation business
Long island generation busin
Latin American businesses
Interest in Wyman Unit 4
Other acquisition-re

## da

PpL Corporation Reconciliation of Cash from
Operations to Free Gash Flow before Dividends
(Millions of Dollars)
$\underline{2011}$

|  | Nois | + | ¢ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |


| $\underline{2010}$ |
| ---: |
| $\$ 2,0 \S 4$ |
| $(1,644)$ |
| 161 |
| $(20)$ |
| $\$ 531$ |



(2,
"Earnings from ongoing operations" should not be considered as an alternative to reported earnings, or net income attributable to PPL, which is an buңeлado 10 ノоңе ongoing operations," although a non-GAAP financial measure, is also useful and meaningful to investors because it provides management's view of PPL's fundamental earnings performance as another criterion in making investment decisions. PPL's management also uses "earnings from ongoing operations" in measuring certain corporate performance goals. Other companies may use different measures to present financial performance.
"Earnings from ongoing operations" is adjusted for the impact of special items. Special items include:

- Energy-related economic activity (as discussed below).
Foreign currency-related economic hedges.
Gains and losses on sales of assets not in the ordinary course of business.
Impairment charges (including impairments of securities in the company's nut Workforce reduction and other restructuring impacts.
Acquisition-related costs and charges.
Other charges or credits that are, in management's view, not reflective of the company's ongoing operations.

Exchange Commission for additional information on energy-related economic activity.
Energy-related economic activity includes the changes in fair value of positions used economically to hedge a portion of the economic value of PPL's generation assets, full-requirement sales contracts and retail activities. This economic value is subject to changes in fair value due to market price volatility of the input and output commodities (e.g., fuel and power) prior to the delivery period that was hedged. Also included in energy-related economic activity is the ineffective portion of qualifying cash flow hedges, the monetization of certain full-requirement sales contracts and premium amortization associated with options. This economic activity is deferred, with the exception of the full-requirement sales contracts that were monetized. and included in earnings from ongoing operations over the delivery period of the item that was hedged or upon realization. Management believes that adjusting for such amounts provides a better matching of earnings from ongoing operations to the actual amounts settled for PPL's underlying hedged assets. Please refer to the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements and MD\&A in PPL Corporation's periodic filings with the Securities and
"Free cash flow before dividends" is derived by deducting capital expenditures and other investing activities-net, from cash flow from operations. Free cash flow before dividends should not be considered as an alternative to cash flow from operations, which is determined in accordance with GAAP. PPL believes that free cash flow before dividends, although a non-GAAP measure, is an important measure to both management and investors, as it is an indicator of the company's ability to sustain operations and growth without additional outside financing beyond the requirement to fund maturing debt obligations. Other companies may calculate free cash flow before dividends in a different manner.


[^0]:    - October 13
    Intervener responses to be filed
    - $\quad \begin{aligned} & \text { October } 24 \\ & \text { a }\end{aligned}$ Rebuttal testimony to be filed
    - $\quad \begin{aligned} & \text { November } 9-10 \text { (tentative) } \\ & \text { a } \\ & \text { Public Hearing at PSC in Frankfort }\end{aligned}$
    - December 16
    Statutory deadline to issue final Order

    > September 16
    $\quad$ Intervener testimony to be filed
    September 30
    $\quad$ Data requests issued to Interveners
    > September 16
    Intervener testimony to be filed
    September 30
    Data requests issued to Interveners
    > September 16
    Intervener testimony to be filed
    September 30
    Data requests issued to Interveners
    > "Data requests issued to Interveners

