

Mr. Jeff DeRouen Executive Director Kentucky Public Service Commission 211 Sower Boulevard Frankfort, KY 40602

RECEIVED

JUN 28 2011

PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

Louisville Gas and Electric Company State Regulation and Rates 220 West Main Street PO Box 32010 Louisville, Kentucky 40232 www.lge-ku.com

Robert M. Conroy Director - Rates T 502-627-3324 F 502-627-3213 robert.conroy@lge-ku.com

June 28, 2011

RE: VERIFIED APPLICATION OF LOUISVILLE GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY FOR AN ORDER PURSUANT TO KRS 278.300 AND FOR APPROVAL OF LONG-TERM PURCHASE CONTRACT - CASE NO. 2011-00099

Dear Mr. DeRouen:

Please find enclosed and accept for filing the original and ten (10) copies of the response of Louisville Gas and Electric Company to the Supplemental Information Request of Commission Staff dated June 14, 2011, in the above-referenced matter.

Also, enclosed is an original and ten (10) copies of a Joint Petition for Confidential Protection regarding certain information requested in Question No. 3.

Should you have any questions concerning the enclosed, please contact me at your convenience.

Sincerely,

Robert M. Conroy

Enclosures

cc: Parties of Record

VERIFICATION

COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY)	
)	SS
COUNTY OF JEFFERSON)	

The undersigned, **Charles R. Schram**, being duly sworn, deposes and says that he is Director – Energy Planning, Analysis and Forecasting for LG&E and KU Services Company, and that he has personal knowledge of the matters set forth in the responses for which he is identified as the witness, and the answers contained therein are true and correct to the best of his information, knowledge and belief.

Charles R. Schram

Subscribed and sworn to before me, a Notary Public in and before said County and State, this 27th day of June 2011.

Notary Public (SEAL)

My Commission Expires:

November 9, 2014

VERIFICATION

COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY)	
)	SS:
COUNTY OF JEFFERSON)	

The undersigned, **Lonnie E. Bellar**, being duly sworn, deposes and says that he is Vice President, State Regulation and Rates for Louisville Gas and Electric Company and an employee of LG&E and KU Services Company, and that he has personal knowledge of the matters set forth in the responses for which he is identified as the witness, and the answers contained therein are true and correct to the best of his information, knowledge and belief.

Lonnie E. Bellar

Subscribed and sworn to before me, a Notary Public in and before said County and State, this 27th day of Tune 2011.

Notary Public (SEAL)

My Commission Expires:

November 9, 2014

COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY

BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In the Matter of:

VERIFIED APPLICATION OF LOUISVILLE GAS AND)
ELECTRIC COMPANY FOR AN ORDER PURSUANT) CASE NO.
TO KRS 278.300 AND FOR APPROVAL OF LONG) 2011-00099
TERM PURCHASE CONTRACT)

RESPONSE OF LOUISVILLE GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY TO THE SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION REQUEST OF COMMISSION STAFF DATED JUNE 14, 2011

FILED: June 28, 2011

Response to the Supplemental Information Request of Commission Staff Dated June 14, 2011

Case No. 2011-00099

Question No. 1

Witness: Lonnie E. Bellar

- Q-1. Refer to the second paragraph of the response to Item 2.a of the Initial Request for Information of Commission Staff ("Staff's First Request"). As soon as it becomes available, provide the draft report being prepared by URS Corporation ("URS") for the Ohio Valley Electric Corporation ("OVEC") on the remaining life and production capabilities of the OVEC generating assets.
- A-1. The URS draft will be provided when it becomes available.

Response to the Supplemental Information Request of Commission Staff Dated June 14, 2011

Case No. 2011-00099

Question No. 2

Witness: Lonnie E. Bellar

- Q-2. Will the report being prepared by URS address the need for, and cost of, new environmental facilities to allow the OVEC generating assets to continue in operation through: a) 2026; and b) 2040? If not, describe any other technical report that will contain such information.
- A-2. The URS draft is expected to address the changes required to comply with current and expected environmental regulations.

	,	

CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION REDACTED

LOUISVILLE GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY

Response to the Supplemental Information Request of Commission Staff Dated June 14, 2011

Case No. 2011-00099

Question No. 3

Witness: Charles R. Schram

- Q-3. Refer to the response top Item 5 of Staff's First Request, which compares OVEC's 2010 average variable cost of generation, from its coal units, with LG&E/KU's 2010 average variable cost of generation from coal-fired and gas-fired units. Provide separately LG&E/KU's 2010 average variable costs for coal-fired and gas fired generation.
- A-3. LG&E/KU's 2010 average variable costs for coal-fired and gas-fired generation are /MWh and /MWh, respectively.

Response to the Supplemental Information Request of Commission Staff Dated June 14, 2011

Case No. 2011-00099

Question No. 4

Witness: Charles R. Schram

- Q-4. Refer to the responses to Items 4 and 5 of Staff's First Request, which show how OVEC's variable cost of generation compares to LG&E/KU's variable cost of generation in 2010. Refer also to the response to Item 10 of Staff's First Request, which shows OVEC's projected costs of generation for the period covered by the proposed contract extension. Describe how LG&E/KU's projected costs of generation from now until 2040 compare with OVEC's projected costs for the same time period.
- A-4. Between now and 2040, the difference between OVEC's average variable cost and the average variable cost of LG&E/KU's coal-fired generation is expected to narrow (with the addition of flue-gas-desulfurization equipment on the OVEC units) and then remain fairly constant. After flue-gas-desulfurization equipment is installed on the OVEC units, the impacts of changing fuel costs and subsequent environmental costs are expected to be comparable for OVEC's and LG&E/KU's coal-fired units.

Response to the Supplemental Information Request of Commission Staff Dated June 14, 2011

Case No. 2011-00099

Question No. 5

Witness: Lonnie E. Bellar

- Q-5. Refer to OVEC's Environmental Compliance Strategy document provided in response to Item 9 of Staff's First Request, which contains projected capital costs for projects not reflected in the billable cost summary projections included in the response to Item 10 of Staff's First Request. Describe, generally, the magnitude of increase in OVEC's generation costs if the costs of the projects in the Environmental Compliance Strategy document were reflected in the billable cost summary projections.
- A-5. OVEC has not provided a detailed estimate of expected cost increases that could result from making the investments detailed in the Environmental Compliance Strategy document. But assuming such investments were made in a manner similar to OVEC's other environmental projects, LG&E and KU believe the increase in OVEC's power costs resulting from Environmental Compliance Strategy investments is expected to be less than \$2/MWh.

RECEIVED

COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY

BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

JUN 28 2011

PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In	the	Matter	of.
	1.116	VIZILIE	411:

VERIFIED APPLICATION OF LOUISVILLE)	
GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY FOR AN)	CASE NO. 2011-00099
ORDER PURSUANT TO KRS 278.300 AND)	
FOR APPROVAL OF LONG TERM)	
PURCHASE CONTRACT)	
In the Matter of:		
VERIFIED APPLICATION OF KENTUCKY)	
UTILITIES COMPANY FOR AN ORDER)	CASE NO. 2011-00100
PURSUANT TO KRS 278.300 AND FOR)	
APPROVAL OF LONG TERM)	
PURCHASE CONTRACT	``	

JOINT PETITION FOR CONFIDENTIAL PROTECTION

Louisville Gas and Electric Company ("LG&E") and Kentucky Utilities Company ("KU") (collectively "Companies") hereby petition the Kentucky Public Service Commission ("Commission") pursuant to 807 KAR 5:001, Section 7, and KRS 61.878(1)(c) to grant confidential protection for the items described herein, which the Companies seek to provide in response to the Supplemental Information Request of Commission Staff No. 3 propounded to each Company. In support of this Joint Petition, the Companies state as follows:

- 1. Under the Kentucky Open Records Act, the Commission is entitled to withhold from public disclosure commercially sensitive information to the extent that open disclosure would permit an unfair commercial advantage to competitors of the entity disclosing the information to the Commission. See KRS 61.878(1)(c). Public disclosure of the information identified herein would, in fact, prompt such a result for the reasons set forth below.
- 2. The confidential information contained in the cited responses includes the average variable operating costs of the Companies' coal- and gas-fired generating units. If the

Commission grants public access to this information, LG&E and KU could be disadvantaged in the wholesale energy market, with resulting cost detriments to their customers.

- 3. The information for which the Companies are seeking confidential treatment is not known outside of LG&E and KU, and is not disseminated within LG&E and KU except to those employees with a legitimate business need to know and act upon the information, and is generally recognized as confidential and proprietary information in the energy industry.
- 4. The Companies do not object to limited disclosure of the confidential information described herein, pursuant to an acceptable protective agreement, to intervenors with legitimate interests in reviewing the same for the purpose of participating in this case.
- 5. The Commission has given confidential treatment to projected fuel cost information numerous times before, which is identical in import and commercial sensitivity to generating units' variable operating costs. For example, see the Commission's letter to the Companies dated May 1, 2008, concerning the Companies' 2008 IRP case (Case No. 2008-00148); the Commission's letter to the Companies dated April 28, 2005, concerning the Companies' 2005 IRP case (Case No. 2005-00162); the Commission's letter to the Companies dated October 24, 2002, concerning the Companies' 2002 IRP case (Case No. 2002-00367); and the Commission's letter to the Companies dated March 6, 2000, concerning the Companies' 1999 IRP case (Case No. 99-430).
- 6. If the Commission disagrees with this request for confidential protection, it must hold an evidentiary hearing (a) to protect the Companies' due process rights and (b) to supply the Commission with a complete record to enable it to reach a decision with regard to this matter.

 <u>Utility Regulatory Commission v. Kentucky Water Service Company, Inc.</u>, Ky. App., 642

 S.W.2d 591, 592-94 (1982).

7. In accordance with the provisions of 807 KAR 5:001, Section 7, LG&E and KU are filing with the Commission one copy of the Confidential Information highlighted and ten (10) copies without the Confidential Information.

WHEREFORE, Louisville Gas and Electric Company and Kentucky Utilities Company respectfully requests that the Commission grant confidential protection to the information designated as confidential.

Dated: June 28, 2011

Respectfully submitted,

Kendrick R Riggs

W. Duncan Crosby III

Stoll Keenon Ogden PLLC

2000 PNC Plaza

500 West Jefferson Street

Louisville, Kentucky 40202-2828

Telephone: (502) 333-6000

Allyson K. Sturgeon Senior Corporate Attorney LG&E and KU Energy LLC 220 West Main Street

Louisville, Kentucky 40202

Telephone: (502) 627-2088

Counsel for Louisville Gas and Electric Company and Kentucky Utilities Company