# Meade County RECC 

February 15, 2012

Mr. Jeff Derouen
Executive Director
Public Service Commission
211 Sower Boulevard
Frankfort, KY 40602

## RECEIVED

FEB 172012
PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

Re: Commission Request for Supplemental Information Dated February 10, 2012, To Meade County Rural Electric Cooperative Corporation in the Application for Pass-Through of Big Rivers Electric Corporation Wholesale Rate Adjustment- PSC Case No. 2011-00038

Dear Mr. Derouen:
Please find enclosed for filing with the Commission an original and 10 copies of Meade County Rural Electric Cooperative Corporation response to the Commission's request for supplemental information dated February 10, 2012, in the Application for Pass-Through of Big Rivers Electric Corporation Wholesale Rate Adjustment.

Respectfully submitted,


Burns E. Mercer
President / CEO

C: Thomas C. Brite, Attorney for Meade County RECC
MEADE COUNTY RURAL ELECTRIC COOPERATIVE CORP
RESPONSE TO COMMISSION STAFF FOR SUPPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION
IN CASE NO. 2011-00038
DATED FEBRUARY 10, 2012
Item 1.
The amount of customer charge billing determinants, kWh, and kW billed by Meade
County during the refund period by rate class. The information was not included in
the filing.
Response:
RECEIVED
See Exhibit 1, page 1 of 1FEB 172012
Witness: Karen Brown
PUBLIC SERVICECOMMISSION

|  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Cycle billing rate differential used for |  |  |
| 2 | Days of usage September 1st and after |  |  |
| 3 |  | Total | Total |
| 4 |  | Determinants | Refund |
| 5 |  |  |  |
| 6 | Schedule 1 - Residential |  |  |
| 7 | Facilities Charge / day | 1,597,114 | (11,986.40) |
| 8 | Energy Charge | 41,536,301 | ( $52,938.31$ ) |
| 9 |  |  |  |
| 10 | Schedule 2 Small Commercial Single Phase |  |  |
| 11 | Facilities Charge / day | 107,673 | $(1,076.73)$ |
| 12 | Energy Charge | 4,328,763 | $(5,804.76)$ |
| 13 |  |  |  |
| 14 | Schedule 3R Three Phase 0-100 KVA |  |  |
| 15 | Facilities Charge / day | 12,396 | (247.88) |
| 16 | Energy Charge | 1,889,654 | (1,152.13) |
| 17 | Demand Charge | 7,125 | (776.75) |
| 18 |  |  |  |
| 19 | Schedule 3S Three Phase 101-1000KVA |  |  |
| 20 | Facilities Charge / day | 7,327 | (212.34) |
| 21 | Demand Charge | 28,684 | $(3,128.54)$ |
| 22 | All Energy: | 8,335,831 | $(5,21.2 .10)$ |
| 23 |  |  |  |
| 24 | Schedule 3T Three Phase - Over 1000KVA |  |  |
| 25 | Facilities Charge / day | 557 | (27.50) |
| 26 | Demand Charge | 10,187 | $(1,119.24)$ |
| 27 | All Energy: | 2,705,221 | $(1,718.07)$ |
| 28 |  |  |  |
| 29 | Schedule 3A Three Phase-0-999 KVA TOD R |  |  |
| 30 | Facilities Charge / day | 45 | (1.20) |
| 31 | Demand Charge | 107 | (10.36) |
| 32 | All Energy: | 2,433 | (1.20) |
| 33 |  |  |  |
| 34 | Schedule 41000 KVA or Larger TOD Rate |  |  |
| 35 | Facilities Charge - Equipment provided by Seller | - | - |
| 36 | Facilities Charge - customer provided equipment | * | - |
| 37 | Demand Charge | - | - |
| 38 | First 300 kWh of billing demand | - | - |
| 39 | All remaining kWh | - | - |
| 40 |  |  |  |
| 41 | Schedule 5-Outdoor Lighting - individual con |  |  |
| 42 |  |  |  |
| 43 | 175 Watt unmetered, per month | 16,504 | $(2,218.00)$ |
| 44 | 175 Watt metered, per month | 96 | (5.52) |
| 45 | 400 Watt unmetered, per month | 3,361 | (678.50) |
| 46 | 400 Watt metered, per month | 17 | (1.13) |
| 47 |  |  |  |
| 48 | Schedule 6-Street Lighting - Community, Municipalities, T |  |  |
| 49 | 175 Watt, per month | 659 | (72.51) |
| 50 | 400 Watt, per month | 705 | (123.35) |
| 51 |  |  |  |
| 52 | Pole rental | - | - |
| 5 |  |  |  |
| 54 | Renewable Energy, per block of 100 |  |  |

Exhibit $\qquad$

## MEADE COUNTY RURAL ELECTRIC COOPERATIVE CORP

## RESPONSE TO COMMISSION STAFF FOR SUPPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION

IN CASE NO. 2011-00038

DATED FEBRUARY 10, 2012

Item 2.

For Exhibit 1, page 1 of 1, provide an explanation of how the amounts in the last two columns are calculated. Those columns are titled "Cycle 3 \& 4 billed 10/10 Prorated Differential" and Cycle 1 \& 2 billed 9/30 Prorated Differential." Meade County should include a discussion of why, for "Cycle $1 \& 2$ billed 9/30 Prorated Differential" column, the calculation of the amounts for the four Schedule 3 rate classes appears to differ from the calculation of the amounts for the other classes.

Response:

Rates put into effect on September 1, 2011 were prorated based on the following criteria:

Other than
3R, 3S, Sch $3 R, 3 S_{\text {, }}$
3T,3A 3T,3A
Cycle 1 \& 2 Cycle 2 Cycle 3 \& 4
Date billed
Last Read Date - August
9/30/11 $\quad 9 / 30 / 11 \quad 10 / 10 / 11$
$8 / 23 / 11 \quad 8 / 13 / 11 \quad 9 / 3 / 11$
Last Read Date - Sept
$9 / 23 / 11 \quad 9 / 13 / 11 \quad 10 / 3 / 11$
Days in Billing Period
$\begin{array}{lll}31 & 31 & 30\end{array}$
Days in Billing Period
$23 \quad 13 \quad 33$
Proration factor (line 24 divided by line 23)
Base Date
$0.74194 \quad 0.4193548 \quad 1.10000$
$8 / 31 / 2011<---$ This is the last day at the old rate.

Rates billed were calculated using the proration factor shown above for each schedule in each cycle.

After approval the rates were again calculated using the proration factors shown above and the
difference was taken to arrive at the Prorated Differential values show in Exhibit 1, last two columns.

Schedule 3 rates have a different proration factor based on the reading dates for those schedules.

Witness: Karen Brown

