

October 29, 2010

Mr. Jeff Derouen
Executive Director
Kentucky Public Service Commission
211 Sower Boulevard
P. O. Box 615
Frankfort, KY 40602

RECEIVED

OCT 29 2010

PUBLIC SERVICE
COMMISSION

Re: Columbia Gas of Kentucky, Inc.
Gas Cost Adjustment Case No. 2010 -

Dear Mr. Derouen:

Pursuant to the Commission's Order dated January 30, 2001 in Administrative Case No. 384, Columbia Gas of Kentucky, Inc. ("Columbia") hereby encloses, for filing with the Commission, an original and six (6) copies of data submitted pursuant to the requirements of the Gas Cost Adjustment Provision contained in Columbia's tariff for its December quarterly Gas Cost Adjustment ("GCA").

Columbia proposes to increase its current rates to tariff sales customers by \$0.0427 per Mcf effective with its December 2010 billing cycle on November 29, 2010. The increase is composed of a decrease of \$0.0747 per Mcf in the Average Commodity Cost of Gas, an increase of \$0.1193 per Mcf in the Average Demand Cost of Gas, and an increase of (\$0.0019) per Mcf in the Refund Adjustment. Please feel free to contact me at 859-288-0242 or jmcoop@nisource.com if there are any questions.

Sincerely,



Judy M. Cooper
Director, Regulatory Policy

Enclosures

BEFORE THE
PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION
OF KENTUCKY

COLUMBIA GAS OF KENTUCKY, INC.

CASE 2010 -

GAS COST ADJUSTMENT AND REVISED RATES OF
COLUMBIA GAS OF KENTUCKY, INC. PROPOSED TO BECOME
EFFECTIVE DECEMBER 2010 BILLINGS

Columbia Gas of Kentucky, Inc.
Comparison of Current and Proposed GCAs

Line No.	September 2010 <u>CURRENT</u>	December-10 <u>PROPOSED</u>	<u>DIFFERENCE</u>	
1	Commodity Cost of Gas	\$5.8441	\$5.7694	(\$0.0747)
2	Demand Cost of Gas	<u>\$1.3321</u>	<u>\$1.4514</u>	<u>\$0.1193</u>
3	Total: Expected Gas Cost (EGC)	\$7.1762	\$7.2208	\$0.0446
4	SAS Refund Adjustment	(\$0.0002)	(\$0.0002)	\$0.0000
5	Balancing Adjustment	\$0.0299	\$0.0299	\$0.0000
6	Supplier Refund Adjustment	(\$0.0081)	(\$0.0100)	(\$0.0019)
7	Actual Cost Adjustment	(\$0.2466)	(\$0.2466)	\$0.0000
8	Gas Cost Incentive Adjustment	<u>\$0.0042</u>	<u>\$0.0042</u>	<u>\$0.0000</u>
9	Cost of Gas to Tariff Customers (GCA)	\$6.9554	\$6.9981	\$0.0427
10	Transportation TOP Refund Adjustment	\$0.0000	\$0.0000	\$0.0000
11	Banking and Balancing Service	\$0.0207	\$0.0207	\$0.0000
12	Rate Schedule FI and GSO			
13	Customer Demand Charge	\$6.5273	\$6.5279	\$0.0006

Columbia Gas of Kentucky, Inc.
Gas Cost Adjustment Clause
Gas Cost Recovery Rate
 Dec 10 - Feb 11

<u>Line No.</u>	<u>Description</u>	<u>Amount</u>	<u>Expires</u>
1	Expected Gas Cost (EGC) Schedule No. 1	\$7.2208	
2	Actual Cost Adjustment (ACA) Schedule No. 2	(\$0.2466)	8-31-11
3	SAS Refund Adjustment (RA) Schedule No. 5	(\$0.0002)	8-31-11
4	Supplier Refund Adjustment (RA) Schedule No. 4	(\$0.0019)	11-30-11
	Case No. 2010-00186	(\$0.0019)	05-31-11
	Case No. 2010-00041	(\$0.0062)	02-28-11
	Total Refunds	<u>(\$0.0100)</u>	
5	Balancing Adjustment (BA) Schedule No. 3	\$0.0299	2-28-11
6	Gas Cost Incentive Adjustment Schedule No. 6	\$0.0042	2-28-11
7	Gas Cost Adjustment		
8	Dec 10 - Feb 11	<u>\$6,9981</u>	
9	Expected Demand Cost (EDC) per Mcf		
10	(Applicable to Rate Schedule IS/SS and GSO) Schedule No. 1, Sheet 4	<u>\$6,5279</u>	

DATE FILED: October 29, 2010

BY: J. M. Cooper

Columbia Gas of Kentucky, Inc.
Expected Gas Cost for Sales Customers
Dec 10 - Feb 11

Schedule No. 1
Sheet 1

Line No.	Description	Reference	Volume A/		Rate		Cost (5)
			Mcf (1)	Dth (2)	Per Mcf (3)	Per Dth (4)	
Storage Supply							
Includes storage activity for sales customers only							
Commodity Charge							
1	Withdrawal		(4,613,000)			\$0.0153	\$70,579
2	Injection			18,000		\$0.0153	\$275
3	Withdrawals: gas cost includes pipeline fuel and commodity charges			4,595,000		\$4.9466	\$22,729,700
Total							
4	Volume	= 3		4,595,000			
5	Cost	sum(1:3)					\$22,800,554
6	Summary	4 or 5		4,595,000			\$22,800,554
Flowing Supply							
Excludes volumes injected into or withdrawn from storage.							
Net of pipeline retention volumes and cost. Add unit retention cost on line 18							
7	Non-Appalachian	Sch.1, Sht. 5, Ln. 4		1,079,000			\$6,258,200
8	Appalachian Supplies	Sch.1, Sht. 6, Ln. 4		207,000			\$809,000
9	Less Fuel Retention By Interstate Pipelines	Sch. 1,Sheet 7, Lines 21, 22		(165,000)			(\$719,129)
10	Total	7 + 8 + 9		1,121,000			\$6,348,071
Total Supply							
11	At City-Gate	Line 6 + 10		5,716,000			\$29,148,625
Lost and Unaccounted For							
12	Factor					-0.9%	
13	Volume	Line 11 * 12		(51,444)			
14	At Customer Meter	Line 11 + 13	5,399,958	5,664,556			
15	Less: Right-of-Way Contract Volume			2,435			
16	Sales Volume	Line 14-15	5,397,523				
Unit Costs \$/MCF							
Commodity Cost							
17	Excluding Cost of Pipeline Retention	Line 11 / Line 16				\$5.4004	
18	Annualized Unit Cost of Retention	Sch. 1,Sheet 7, Line 24				\$0.2888	
19	Including Cost of Pipeline Retention	Line 17 + 18				\$5.6892	
20	Uncollectible Ratio	CN 2009-00141				0.01410552	
21	Gas Cost Uncollectible Charge	Line 19 * Line 20				\$0.0802	
22	Total Commodity Cost	line 19 + line 21				\$5.7694	
23	Demand Cost	Sch.1, Sht. 2, Line 10				\$1.4514	
24	Total Expected Gas Cost (EGC)	Line 22 + 23				\$7.2208	

A/ BTU Factor = 1.0490 Dth/MCF

Columbia Gas of Kentucky, Inc.
GCA Unit Demand Cost
Dec 10 - Feb 11

Schedule No. 1
 Sheet 2

<u>Line No.</u>	<u>Description</u>	<u>Reference</u>	
1	Expected Demand Cost: Annual Dec 2010 - Nov 2011	Sch. No.1, Sheet 3, Ln. 41	\$20,079,812
2	Less Rate Schedule IS/SS and GSO Customer Demand Charge Recovery	Sch. No.1, Sheet 4, Ln. 10	-\$92,827
3	Less Storage Service Recovery from Delivery Service Customers		-\$195,858
4	Net Demand Cost Applicable 1 + 2 + 3		\$19,791,127
	Projected Annual Demand: Sales + Choice		
	At city-gate		
	In Dth		14,439,000 Dth
	Heat content		1.0490 Dth/MCF
5	In MCF		13,764,538 MCF
	Lost and Unaccounted - For		
6	Factor		0.9%
7	Volume	5 * 6	123,881 MCF
8	Right of way Volumes		<u>4,854</u>
9	At Customer Meter	5 - 7- 8	<u>13,635,803 MCF</u>
10	Unit Demand Cost (4/ 9)	To Sheet 1, line 23	\$1.4514 per MCF

Columbia Gas of Kentucky, Inc.
Annual Demand Cost of Interstate Pipeline Capacity
 Dec 2010 - Nov 2011

Schedule No. 1
 Sheet 3

Line No.	Description	Dth	Monthly Rate \$/Dth	# Months	Expected Annual Demand Cost
Columbia Gas Transmission Corporation					
Firm Storage Service (FSS)					
1	FSS Max Daily Storage Quantity (MDSQ)	220,880	\$1.5060	12	\$3,991,743
2	FSS Seasonal Contract Quantity (SCQ)	11,264,911	\$0.0289	12	\$3,906,671
Storage Service Transportation (SST)					
3	Summer	110,440	\$4.1850	6	\$2,773,148
4	Winter	220,880	\$4.1850	6	\$5,546,297
5	Firm Transportation Service (FTS)	20,014	\$6.0750	12	\$1,459,021
6	Subtotal			sum(1:5)	\$17,676,880
Columbia Gulf Transmission Company					
11	FTS - 1 (Mainline)	28,991	\$3.1450	12	\$1,094,120
Tennessee Gas					
21	Firm Transportation	20,506	\$4.6238	12	\$1,137,788
Central Kentucky Transmission					
31	Firm Transportation	28,000	\$0.5090	12	\$171,024
41	Total. Used on Sheet 2, line 1				\$20,079,812

Columbia Gas of Kentucky, Inc.

Schedule No. 1

Gas Cost Adjustment Clause

Sheet 4

Expected Demand Costs Recovered Annually From Rate Schedule IS/SS and GSO Customers

Dec 2010 - Nov 2011

Line No.	Description	Capacity			Units	Annual Cost
		Daily Dth (1)	# Months (2)	Annualized Dth (3) = (1) x (2)		
1	Expected Demand Costs (Per Sheet 3)					\$20,079,812
	City-Gate Capacity:					
	Columbia Gas Transmission					
2	Firm Storage Service - FSS	220,880	12	2,650,560		
3	Firm Transportation Service - FTS	20,014	12	240,168		
4	Central Kentucky Transportation	28,000	12	336,000		
5	Total			3,226,728	Dth	
6	Divided by Average BTU Factor			1.049	Dth/MCF	
7	Total Capacity - Annualized			3,076,004	Mcf	
	Monthly Unit Expected Demand Cost (EDC) of Daily Capacity					
8	Applicable to Rate Schedules IS/SS and GSO Line 1 / Line 7			\$6.5279	/Mcf	
9	Firm Volumes of IS/SS and GSO Customers	1,185	12	14,220	Mcf	
10	Expected Demand Charges to be Recovered Annually from Rate Schedule IS/SS and GSO Customers Line 8 * Line 9				to Sheet 2, line 2	\$92,827

Columbia Gas of Kentucky, Inc.
Non-Appalachian Supply: Volume and Cost
Dec 10 - Feb 11

Schedule No. 1
Sheet 5

Cost includes transportation commodity cost and retention by the interstate pipelines,
but excludes pipeline demand costs.
The volumes and costs shown are for sales customers only.

Line No.	Month	Total Flowing Supply Including Gas Injected Into Storage			Net Storage Injection Dth (4)	Net Flowing Supply for Current Consumption	
		Volume A/ Dth (1)	Cost (2)	Unit Cost \$/Dth (3) = (2) / (1)		Volume Dth (5) = (1) + (4)	Cost (6) = (3) x (5)
1	Dec-10	813,000	\$3,792,000		0	813,000	
2	Jan-11	260,000	\$1,754,000		0	260,000	
3	Feb-11	6,000	\$716,000		0	6,000	
4	Total 1+2+3	1,079,000	\$6,262,000	\$5.80	0	1,079,000	\$6,258,200

A/ Gross, before retention.

Columbia Gas of Kentucky, Inc.
Appalachian Supply: Volume and Cost
Dec 10 - Feb 11

Schedule No. 1
Sheet 6

<u>Line</u> <u>No.</u>	<u>Month</u>	<u>Dth</u> (2)	<u>Cost</u> (3)
1	Dec-10	67,000	\$248,000
2	Jan-11	74,000	\$295,000
3	Feb-11	66,000	\$266,000
4	Total 1 + 2 + 3	207,000	\$809,000

Columbia Gas of Kentucky, Inc.
Annualized Unit Charge for Gas Retained by Upstream Pipelines
Dec 10 - Feb 11

Schedule No. 1
Sheet 7

Retention costs are incurred proportionally to the volumes purchased, but recovery of the costs is allocated to quarter by volume consumed.

			Annual					
			Dec 10 - Feb 11	Mar - May 11	June - Aug 11	Sept - Nov 11	Dec 2010 - Nov 2011	
			<u>Units</u>					
Gas purchased by CKY for the remaining sales customers								
1	Volume		Dth	1,286,000	3,207,000	4,401,000	2,282,000	11,176,000
2	Commodity Cost Including Transportation			\$7,071,000	\$13,544,000	\$18,182,000	\$9,912,000	\$48,709,000
3	Unit cost		\$/Dth					\$4.3584
Consumption by the remaining sales customers								
11	At city gate		Dth	5,715,000	2,350,000	583,000	1,703,000	10,351,000
12	Lost and unaccounted for portion At customer meters			0.90%	0.90%	0.90%	0.90%	
13	In Dth	(100% - 12) * 11	Dth	5,663,565	2,328,850	577,753	1,687,673	10,257,841
14	Heat content		Dth/MCF	1.0490	1.0490	1.0490	1.0490	
15	In MCF	13 / 14	MCF	5,399,013	2,220,067	550,765	1,608,840	9,778,685
16	Portion of annual	line 15, quarterly / annual		55.2%	22.7%	5.6%	16.5%	100.0%
Gas retained by upstream pipelines								
21	Volume		Dth	165,000	159,000	203,000	121,000	648,000
			Cost	To Sheet 1, line 9				
22	Quarterly. Deduct from Sheet 1	3 * 21		\$719,129	\$692,979	\$884,747	\$527,361	\$2,824,215
23	Allocated to quarters by consumption			\$1,559,307	\$641,185	\$159,068	\$464,655	\$2,824,215
				To Sheet 1, line 18				
24	Annualized unit charge	23 / 15	\$/MCF	\$0.2888	\$0.2888	\$0.2888	\$0.2888	\$0.2888

COLUMBIA GAS OF KENTUCKY, INC.

Schedule No. 1

Sheet 8

**DETERMINATION OF THE BANKING AND
BALANCING CHARGE
FOR THE PERIOD BEGINNING DECEMBER 2010**

<u>Description</u>	<u>Dth</u>	<u>Detail</u>	<u>Amount For Transportation Customers</u>
Total Storage Capacity. Sheet 3, line 2	11,264,911		
Net Transportation Volume	9,904,224		
Contract Tolerance Level @ 5%	495,211		
Percent of Annual Storage Applicable to Transportation Customers		4.40%	
Seasonal Contract Quantity (SCQ) Rate		\$0.0289	
SCQ Charge - Annualized		<u>\$3,906,671</u>	
Amount Applicable To Transportation Customers			\$171,894
FSS Injection and Withdrawal Charge Rate		0.0306	
Total Cost		<u>\$344,706</u>	
Amount Applicable To Transportation Customers			\$15,167
SST Commodity Charge Rate		0.0243	
Projected Annual Storage Withdrawal, Dth		8,228,000	
Total Cost		<u>\$199,940</u>	
Amount Applicable To Transportation Customers			<u>\$8,797</u>
Total Cost Applicable To Transportation Customers			<u>\$195,858</u>
Total Transportation Volume - Mcf			18,658,484
Flex and Special Contract Transportation Volume - Mcf			(9,216,898)
Net Transportation Volume - Mcf	line 20 + line 21		9,441,586
Banking and Balancing Rate - Mcf.	Line 19 / line 22. To line 11 of the GCA Comparison		<u>\$0.0207</u>

DETAIL SUPPORTING
DEMAND/COMMODITY SPLIT

COLUMBIA GAS OF KENTUCKY
CASE NO. 2010- Effective December 2010 Billing Cycle

CALCULATION OF DEMAND/COMMODITY SPLIT OF GAS COST ADJUSTMENT FOR TARIFFS

	\$/MCF	
Demand Component of Gas Cost Adjustment		
Demand Cost of Gas (Schedule No. 1, Sheet 1, Line 23)	\$1.4514	
Demand ACA (Schedule No. 2 Case No. 2010-00307)	(\$0.1550)	
Total Refund Adjustment (Schedule No. 4)	(\$0.0100)	
SAS Refund Adjustment (Schedule No. 5 Case No. 2010-00307)	<u>(\$0.0002)</u>	
Total Demand Rate per Mcf	\$1.2862	<--- to Att. E, line 21

Commodity Component of Gas Cost Adjustment

Commodity Cost of Gas (Schedule No. 1, Sheet 1, Line 22)	\$5.7694
Commodity ACA (Schedule No. 2 Case No. 2010-00307)	(\$0.0916)
Balancing Adjustment (Schedule No. 3 Case No. 2010-00307)	\$0.0299
Gas Cost Incentive Adjustment (Schedule 6 Case No. 2010-00041)	<u>\$0.0042</u>
Total Commodity Rate per Mcf	\$5.7119

CHECK:	\$1.2862
	<u>\$5.7119</u>
COST OF GAS TO TARIFF CUSTOMERS (GCA)	\$6.9981

Calculation of Rate Schedule SVGTS - Actual Gas Cost Adjustment

Commodity ACA (Schedule No. 2 Case No. 2010-00307)	(\$0.0916)
Balancing Adjustment (Schedule No. 3 Case No. 2010-00307)	\$0.0299
Gas Cost Incentive Adjustment (Schedule No. 6 Case No. 2010-00041)	<u>\$0.0042</u>
Total Commodity Rate per Mcf	(\$0.0575)

REFUND ADJUSTMENT

SCHEDULE NO. 4

COLUMBIA GAS OF KENTUCKY, INC.**SUPPLIER REFUND ADJUSTMENT**

<u>Line No.</u>	<u>Description</u>	<u>Amount</u>
1	Quarterly Tennessee Gas Pipeline PCB Payment	(\$26,296.22)
2	Interest on Refund Balances	\$0.00
3	Total Refund	(\$26,296.22)
4	Projected Sales for the Twelve Months Ended November 30, 2011	13,635,803
5	TOTAL SUPPLIER REFUND TO EXPIRE November 30, 2011	<u>(\$0.0019)</u>

CKY RATE REFUND INTEREST CALCULATION
 SELECTED INTEREST RATES
 COMMERCIAL PAPER - 3-MONTH

<u>RATE</u>	<u>MONTH</u>	<u>DAYS</u>	x	<u>DAILY RATE</u>	x	<u>Tenn. Gas Pipeline PCB Settlement</u>	=	<u>INTEREST</u>
0.13	JANUARY 2010	31		(0.000008)		26,296.22		(6.52)
0.15	FEBRUARY 2010	28		(0.000008)		26,296.22		(5.89)
0.20	MARCH 2010	31		(0.000008)		26,296.22		(6.52)
0.23	APRIL 2010	30		(0.000008)		26,296.22		(6.31)
0.28	MAY 2010	31		(0.000008)		26,296.22		(6.52)
0.32	JUNE 2010	30		(0.000008)		26,296.22		(6.31)
0.27	JULY 2010	31		(0.000008)		26,296.22		(6.52)
0.25	AUGUST 2010	31		(0.000008)		26,296.22		(6.52)
0.24	SEPTEMBER 2010	30		(0.000008)		26,296.22		(6.31)
0.19	OCTOBER 2009	31		(0.000008)		26,296.22		(6.52)
0.15	NOVEMBER 2009	30		(0.000008)		26,296.22		(6.31)
<u>0.16</u>	DECEMBER 2009	31		(0.000008)		26,296.22		(6.52)
2.57	TOTAL					TOTAL		(76.77)
(0.000008)	DAILY RATE							



April 13, 2009

Ms. Kimberly D. Bose, Secretary
Federal Energy Regulatory Commission
888 First Street, N.E.
Washington, D.C. 20426

Re: Tennessee Gas Pipeline Company
Docket Nos. RP91-203-076 and RP92-132-064

Dear Ms. Bose:

Pursuant to Rule 602 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, 18 C.F.R. § 385.602, Tennessee Gas Pipeline Company ("Tennessee") hereby submits an Offer of Settlement in the above-referenced dockets. Accordingly, Tennessee respectfully requests that a copy of this filing be transmitted to Presiding Administrative Law Judge Cannen A. Cintron ("Administrative Law Judge").

Included herewith for filing is an original and fourteen (14) copies of the following documents:

- (1) Explanatory Statement Concerning Amendment to Stipulation and Agreement;
- (2) Amendment to Stipulation and Agreement (including *pro forma* tariff sheets); and
- (3) Supporting Exhibits A and B.

Copies of the above documents are being served in accordance with Rule 602(d)(1). In addition, in accordance with Rule 602(d)(2), Tennessee notifies all parties and participants in this proceeding that comments on the Offer of Settlement are due by May 4, 2009 and reply comments are due by May 14, 2009 unless otherwise ordered by provided by the Administrative Law Judge.¹

If you have any questions regarding this filing, please contact the undersigned at 713-420-3496. Thank you for your assistance in this matter.

Respectfully submitted,

TENNESSEE GAS PIPELINE COMPANY

/s/ Melissa G. Freeman
Melissa G. Freeman
Senior Counsel

Enclosures

cc: All Parties and Participants

¹ Tennessee contemporaneously herewith in the above-captioned dockets submitted its Motion to Shorten Comment Period and Dispense with Answer Period on its Offer of Settlement to April 23rd and April 28th for comments and reply comments, respectively.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA
BEFORE THE
FEDERAL ENERGY REGULATORY COMMISSION

In the Matter of)

Tennessee Gas Pipeline Company)

Docket Nos. RP91-203-076
RP92-132-064

EXPLANATORY STATEMENT CONCERNING
AMENDMENT TO STIPULATION AND AGREEMENT

Pursuant to Rule 602 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, 18 C.F.R. § 385.602 (2007), Tennessee Gas Pipeline Company ("Tennessee") hereby submits this Explanatory Statement in support of the concurrently filed Amendment to Stipulation and Agreement ("Settlement"), which is submitted to resolve the issues in this proceeding for which settlement judge procedures ("Settlement Proceedings") were established by the Commission in its order issued on November 12, 2008.¹ Specifically, this Settlement resolves the issues regarding Tennessee's over-collected Recoverable Cost/Revenue Account under the Stipulation and Agreement filed with the Commission on May 15, 1995 related to the "PCB/HSL Project" as defined therein for the period beginning February 1, 1992 through the present. This Settlement is supported by all of the active participants in the Settlement Proceedings, including the Commission Staff, and they urge prompt approval of the Settlement, without modification or condition, by the Commission.

I. PROCEDURAL HISTORY

On May 15, 1995, Tennessee filed with the Commission in the above-captioned dockets a comprehensive settlement agreement ("Stipulation and Agreement" or "Stipulation") to resolve outstanding issues relating to Tennessee's recovery through rates charged to its

¹ *Tennessee Gas Pipeline Company*, 125 FERC ¶ 61,164 (2008) ("November 12th Settlement Conference Order").

customers of the costs of remediating polychlorinated biphenyl ("PCB") and other hazardous substance list ("HSL") contamination at specified locations on its pipeline system. The Stipulation established a PCB/HSL cost recovery mechanism that is to apply throughout the duration of Tennessee's federal and state mandated programs to assess and remediate the PCB/HSL contamination ("PCB/HSL Project" or "Project"). The Commission approved the Stipulation by Orders dated November 29, 1995, and February 20, 1996.²

As provided by the Stipulation, on May 30, 2008, Tennessee filed for an extension of the PCB Adjustment Period established by the Stipulation until June 30, 2010 ("2008 Filing"), to allow for recovery of ongoing remediation costs on its system. Tennessee stated that it has made significant progress to date toward completion of the targeted PCB/HSL Project, but that additional remediation and monitoring efforts will be required for the foreseeable future notwithstanding this progress. Tennessee also acknowledged that the existing cost recovery balance may very well exceed what is needed to complete the Project.³ As such, Tennessee indicated its willingness to discuss with its customers the feasibility of amending the Stipulation to provide for an earlier disposition of some portion of the over-collected balance while providing protection should the retained RCRA balance be insufficient in the event more eligible costs than are predicted are ultimately incurred to complete the Project. Tennessee proposed to report back to the Commission on the results of any such discussions by October 1, 2008.

On June 30, 2008, the Commission issued an order accepting Tennessee's proposed tariff sheets reflecting a 24-month extension of the PCB Adjustment Period until July 1, 2010

² *Tennessee Gas Pipeline Co.*, 73 FERC ¶ 61,222 (1995); *Tennessee Gas Pipeline Co.*, 74 FERC ¶ 61,174 (1996).

³ Stipulation, Article IV (B)(4)(b), p. 17. The Stipulation further provides for the establishment of a Recoverable Cost/Revenue Account ("RCRA") to keep track of the eligible costs incurred by Tennessee, the amount collected through the PCB surcharge, third party (insurance) recoveries and any carrying charges on the net balance in the RCRA. The balance in the RCRA is to be calculated after adjusting all cost and revenue amounts to 1992 dollars.

“June 30th Order”).⁴ The Commission conditioned its acceptance upon Tennessee meeting with its customers to discuss amending the Stipulation and reporting back to the Commission by October 1, 2008, as Tennessee proposed in its filing.

On October 1, 2008, Tennessee filed a report in compliance with the June 30th Order (“Status Report”) wherein it described the status of its discussions with customers. Three parties filed comments in response to Tennessee’s Status Report.⁵ New Jersey Natural and the Tennessee Customer Group each suggested that the settlement discussions would be enhanced by Tennessee convening a meeting of all parties as opposed to the limited group and individual discussions held by Tennessee to date.

On November 12, 2008 in its November 12th Settlement Conference Order, the Commission found that Tennessee had complied with the Commission’s June 30th Order to meet with its customers, but that sufficient progress had not been made toward settlement.⁶ In an effort to assist the parties in their settlement efforts, the Commission ordered the appointment of a settlement judge.

On November 17, 2008, Tennessee filed its “Motion of Tennessee Gas Pipeline Company for Stay of Settlement Judge Procedures” requesting the Commission to stay the appointment of a settlement judge in light of the dramatic changes to the economic environment in which Tennessee was willing to informally pursue discussions with its customers as to the feasibility of amending the Stipulation in favor of the status quo under the Stipulation (“Motion for Stay”).

On November 20, 2008, the Chief Administrative Law Judge, Curtis L. Wagner, Jr.,

⁴ *Tennessee Gas Pipeline Co.*, 123 FERC ¶ 61,318 (June 30, 2008).

⁵ Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. and Orange and Rockland Utilities, Inc. (collectively “ConEd”), New Jersey Natural Gas Company (“New Jersey Natural”) and the Tennessee Customer Group filed comments.

⁶ 125 FERC ¶ 61,164.

issued an "Order of Chief Judge Holding Appointment of Settlement Judge in Abeyance Pending Commission Action on Motion to Stay Settlement Judge Procedures." Subsequently, on November 26, 2008, in response to the opposition of several parties to Tennessee's Motion for Stay,⁷ the Commission issued an order denying Tennessee's request for stay and directing the Chief Administrative Law Judge to appoint a settlement judge to convene a settlement conference as soon as practicable ("November 26th Order").⁸

Following the December 4, 2008 appointment of Judge Carmen A. Cintron as the settlement judge in the proceeding, Tennessee and its customers participated in settlement conferences convened by Judge Cintron in Washington, D.C. on December 15, 2008, February 2, 2009, and February 18, 2009. This Settlement is the product of those settlement conferences.

II. TERMS OF THE SETTLEMENT

In order to resolve and settle the issues in this proceeding, the attached Settlement provides the following:⁹

Article I provides that the Settlement is intended to modify the underlying Stipulation only as expressly provided by the terms of the Settlement and that the Stipulation otherwise will remain in full force and effect.

Article II provides that Article IV of the Stipulation is modified to provide for interim refunds (hereinafter, "Interim Refunds") to shippers of \$156.6 million ("Interim Refund Amount") which reflects Tennessee's representation of the balance in the Recoverable Cost/Revenue Account as of December 31, 2008, plus estimated carrying charges at an annual

⁷ National Fuel Gas Distribution Corporation and The Dominion LDCs filed answers opposing Tennessee's Motion on the basis that settlement discussions should be continued with the aid of a Settlement Judge.

⁸ *Tennessee Gas Pipeline Company*, 125 FERC ¶ 61,232 (2008) ("November 26th Order").

⁹ This section is for explanatory purposes. While this section accurately describes the terms of the Settlement, if a dispute arises regarding any matter related to the interpretation of the Settlement, the terms of the Settlement shall control over this Explanatory Statement.

interest rate of 10 percent through June 30, 2009, net of \$10 million to be retained by Tennessee for the customers' share of additional Eligible Costs ("Retained Amount").

The Interim Refund Amount is to be paid in quarterly installments over a three year period amortized at an annual interest rate of 8 percent with the first of the quarterly payments to be made on July 1, 2009. The subsequent quarterly payments will be made on the first business day of each calendar quarter thereafter over a three year period. The first six quarterly installments will be fixed at \$9.60 million, and the last six quarterly installments will be fixed at \$20.06 million to maintain an annual interest rate on the balance at 8 percent throughout the three year period. To the extent the effective date of the Settlement is later than June 10, 2009, the first quarterly installment will be paid with interest no earlier than July 1, 2009 and no later than 20 days after the effective date of the Settlement. Subsequent quarterly installments will only be impacted to the extent the effective date is delayed beyond the date the installment would otherwise be due in which case a similar adjustment will be made to the amount and timing of payment.

The Interim Refund Amount is to be allocated to shippers pro rata based on surcharge collections during the PCB Adjustment Period as provided by the Stipulation and further detailed in Exhibit B to the Settlement. Tennessee will make Interim Refunds by wire transfer to the individual shippers specified on Exhibit B unless the parties agree otherwise or the wire transfer information has not been provided to Tennessee in which event, Tennessee will issue the Interim Refunds at the parties' last known mailing address.

The Settlement provides that the Interim Refund Amount and the remaining balance of the Recoverable Cost/Revenue Account shall be accounted for through the Recoverable Cost/Revenue Account. Additionally, Tennessee may, without penalty, refund all or any portion of the Interim Refund Amount and/or the remaining balance of the Recoverable

Cost/Revenue Account to all shippers subject to the Stipulation at any time and from time to time during the term of the Stipulation. In the event Tennessee makes Interim Refunds early, Tennessee is entitled to re-determine the Interim Refund Amount in accordance with the Settlement. In addition, Tennessee shall be entitled to make Interim Refunds earlier than ~~otherwise required to all shippers whose allocated share of the Interim Refund Amount does~~ not exceed \$10,000. Such early refunds shall have no adverse impact on the allocation to other shippers of the remaining Interim Refund Amount or their respective shares of any Additional Eligible Costs.

The Settlement further provides the manner in which Tennessee shall handle the incurrence or recognition of additional Eligible Costs for which the shippers' share exceeds the \$10 million which has been retained.¹⁰ Specifically, such additional customer share of Eligible Costs shall first be netted against any remaining balance in the Interim Refund Amount in equal amounts over the remaining quarterly installments. To the extent the remaining balance of the Interim Refund Amount is insufficient, Tennessee shall reinstate the PCB adjustment as provided under the Stipulation.

The Settlement provides for the underlying Stipulation to be modified to reflect that effective July 1, 2009, the carrying charges under the Stipulation shall be computed by using the greater of (1) an annual interest rate of 8%; or (2) the then-applicable FERC-prescribed interest rate for pipeline refunds. The 8% annual interest rate replaces the currently existing 10% annual interest rate under the Stipulation.

¹⁰ It is Tennessee's opinion that the shippers' share of the Eligible Costs during the remaining term of the Stipulation will be adequately funded by the Retained Amount. In the unlikely event the Retained Amount is ultimately determined to be insufficient, the Settlement provides the manner in which a shortfall will be recovered.

Finally, the Settlement clarifies the language in the Stipulation to reflect that the Stipulation's term shall continue as long as (1) Tennessee is incurring Eligible Costs; or (2) cost recovery or the payment of refunds, including Interim Refunds, is incomplete.

Article III specifies the impact of the Commission's order approving the Settlement and the date upon which the Settlement becomes effective and binding. Article III also provides for the filing of the revised tariff sheets included as Exhibit A to the Settlement. Additionally, Article III of the Settlement specifies the clear and indisputable intent of the parties to enter into an Amendment to the Stipulation which is indivisible from the performance under the Stipulation and the applicable burden of proof for any changes to the Settlement during the term of the Stipulation.

III. SUPPORT FOR THE AMENDMENT

The Settlement represents an across-the-board compromise among the conflicting positions of Tennessee and its customers related to the underlying Stipulation and the parties' rights and obligations thereunder. Tennessee submits that this Settlement strikes an appropriate balance among these competing interests.

IV. INFORMATION TO BE PROVIDED WITH SETTLEMENT AGREEMENTS

By order issued October 23, 2003, the Chief Administrative Law Judge requires that the following five questions be answered as part of every Explanatory Statement that is submitted in support of a proposed settlement agreement. The questions, and Tennessee's responses, are as follows:

- A. What are the issues underlying the settlement and what are the major implications?

The primary issue underlying the Settlement is Tennessee's over-collection of the Recoverable Cost/Revenue Account under the terms of the underlying Stipulation. Because Tennessee is currently incurring Eligible Costs under the Stipulation and anticipates incurring such costs for the foreseeable future, the ~~Stipulation remains in effect. Although Tennessee reduced the PCB surcharge~~ to \$0.00 effective July 1, 2000, and has maintained it at that level to date, the Recoverable Cost/Revenue Account has outpaced Tennessee's incurrence of Eligible Costs under the Stipulation, resulting in an over-collected balance. Accordingly, at the request of Tennessee's customers and as required by the Commission's November 12th Settlement Conference Order, Tennessee and its customers undertook negotiations which resulted in this Settlement. Tennessee submits that the Settlement addresses the concerns raised by its customers with regard to Tennessee's over-collection of the Recoverable Cost/Revenue Account.

B. Whether any of the issues raise policy implications?

Tennessee does not believe that the Settlement presents any policy implications for the Commission.

C. Whether other pending cases may be affected?

This Settlement is tailored to address the specific issues in this proceeding, and it is the product of negotiation between Tennessee and its customers who were active participants in the Settlement Proceedings. Thus, the Settlement only addresses the specific issues contemplated by its terms and does not affect any pending cases.

D. Whether the settlement involves issues of first impression, or if there are any previous reversals on the issues involved?

Tennessee does not believe that the Settlement raises any issues of first impression nor is Tennessee aware of any reversals on the issues involved in the Settlement.

E. Whether the proceeding is subject to the just and reasonable standard or whether there is Mobile-Sierra language?

As identified in the Settlement, changes to the Settlement during the Term of the Stipulation will be subject to the Mobile-Sierra "public interest" standard.

V. CONCLUSION

WHEREFORE, for all the foregoing reasons, Tennessee respectfully requests that the Commission accept the Settlement without condition or modification.

Respectfully submitted,

TENNESSEE GAS PIPELINE COMPANY

/s/ Melissa G. Freeman

Melissa G. Freeman
Its Attorney
Tennessee Gas Pipeline Company
1001 Louisiana Street
Houston, Texas 77002
713-420-3496
713-420-6058 (fax)
missy.freeman@elpaso.com

Dated: April 13, 2009

Tennessee Gas Pipeline Company
 PCB/HSL Interim Refund Allocation
 Docket Nos. RP91-203 and RP92-132

Line No.	Shipper Name	Holding Company	PCB Revenue		Interim Refund Amount at \$156.6 MM	Jul 1, 2009 refund with Interest	Oct 1, 2009 refund with Interest	Jan 1, 2010 refund	Total Dec. 18, 2009 Installment
			Collected	%					
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)
455	UNICOI COUNTY UTILITY DISTRICT	UNICOI COUNTY UTILITY DISTRICT	\$21,304.76	0.0241%	\$37,749.88	\$2,401.18	\$2,353.72	\$2,314.16	\$7,069.06
456	UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY	UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY	\$101,868.84	0.1153%	\$180,500.52	\$11,481.27	\$11,254.34	\$11,065.17	\$33,800.77
457	FITCHBURG GAS AND ELECTRIC LIGHT CO	Utiliti Corporation	\$360,065.93	0.4074%	\$637,997.10	\$40,681.70	\$39,779.57	\$39,110.83	\$119,472.20
458	UNITED STATES GYPSUM COMPANY	USG Corporation	\$65,301.45	0.0740%	\$115,866.44	\$7,370.03	\$7,224.35	\$7,102.92	\$21,697.31
459	USGEN NEW ENGLAND, INC.	USGEN NEW ENGLAND, INC.	\$24,780.00	0.0280%	\$43,807.43	\$2,792.86	\$2,737.66	\$2,691.64	\$8,222.16
460	VAIL TRADING, L.L.C.	VAIL TRADING COMPANY	\$64,724.83	0.0732%	\$114,665.26	\$7,294.90	\$7,150.71	\$7,030.51	\$21,476.12
461	SIGCORP ENERGY SERVICES, INC.	Vadren Corporation	\$20,004.91	0.0226%	\$35,446.49	\$2,254.68	\$2,210.11	\$2,172.97	\$6,637.76
462	VERNON PARISH, GAS UTILITY	VERNON PARISH, GAS UTILITY	\$912.44	0.0010%	\$1,818.75	\$1,677.55			\$1,677.55
463	VHA GAS BOARD OF THE TOWN OF	VHA GAS BOARD OF THE TOWN OF	\$1,715.44	0.0019%	\$3,038.56	\$3,153.87			\$3,153.87
464	VISTA RESOURCES INC	Vista Resources Inc.	\$2,041.80	0.0023%	\$3,817.84	\$3,753.89			\$3,753.89
465	VISY PAPER, INC.	Visy Industries	\$3.31	0.0000%	\$5.88	\$6.09			\$6.09
466	WALNUT TOWN OF	WALNUT TOWN OF	\$5,408.00	0.0082%	\$9,690.47	\$10,054.88			\$10,054.88
467	SELMER UTILITY DIVISION	Walter Oil and Gas Corporation	\$18,230.10	0.0206%	\$32,301.73	\$2,054.65	\$2,014.04	\$1,980.18	\$6,048.87
468	WALTER OIL & GAS CORPORATION	Walter Oil and Gas Corporation	\$480.00	0.0005%	\$815.07	\$845.72			\$845.72
469	WARD MANUFACTURING INC	Ward Manufacturing	\$1,526.50	0.0017%	\$2,704.79	\$2,806.50			\$2,806.50
470	WAYNESBORO CITY OF	WAYNESBORO CITY OF	\$6,697.29	0.0076%	\$11,866.87	\$764.83	\$739.91	\$727.47	\$2,222.21
471	WHEELER ELECTRIC POWER COMPANY	Wepco	\$2.19	0.0000%	\$3.88	\$4.03			\$4.03
472	WEST TENNESSEE PUBLIC UTILITY DISTRICT	WEST TENNESSEE PUBLIC UTILITY DISTRICT	\$168,867.81	0.1889%	\$295,671.35	\$18,807.05	\$18,435.32	\$18,125.45	\$55,367.82
473	WESTFIELD, CITY OF, GAS & ELECTRIC LIGHT	WESTFIELD, CITY OF, GAS & ELECTRIC LIGHT	\$155,190.84	0.1766%	\$274,981.05	\$17,490.99	\$17,145.26	\$16,857.08	\$51,493.33
474	WEYERHAEUSER COMPANY	Weyerhaeuser Company	\$1,250.50	0.0014%	\$2,215.75	\$2,299.07			\$2,299.07
475	WASHINGTON GAS LIGHT CO	WGL Holdings Inc.	\$165,110.58	0.1868%	\$292,557.70	\$18,809.00	\$18,241.18	\$17,934.57	\$54,784.75
476	CALEDONIA POWER I, LLC	Wood Group Power Solutions	\$7,060.32	0.0080%	\$12,510.11	\$795.74	\$760.01	\$766.90	\$2,342.66
477	E PRIME INC.	Xcel Energy Inc	\$4,850.76	0.0055%	\$8,595.00	\$8,918.21			\$8,918.21
478	CENERPRISE, INC.	Xcel Energy Inc	\$2,122.36	0.0024%	\$3,760.59	\$3,902.00			\$3,902.00
479	NORTH AMERICAN ENERGY CONSERVATION INC.	York Research Corporation	\$1,479.94	0.0017%	\$2,622.29	\$2,720.90			\$2,720.90
480	YUMA GAS CORPORATION	YUMA GAS CORPORATION	\$558.79	0.0006%	\$980.12	\$1,027.35			\$1,027.35
481	Grand Total		\$88,380,220	100.0000%	\$156,600,000	\$10,452,411	\$9,732,663	\$9,569,071	\$29,754,146

CKY RATE REFUND INTEREST CALCULATION
 SELECTED INTEREST RATES
 COMMERCIAL PAPER - 3-MONTH

<u>RATE</u>	<u>MONTH</u>	<u>DAYS</u>	x	<u>DAILY RATE</u>	x	<u>Tenn. Gas Pipeline PCB Settlement</u>	=	<u>INTEREST</u>
0.13	JANUARY 2010	31		(0.000008)		26,296.22		(6.52)
0.15	FEBRUARY 2010	28		(0.000008)		26,296.22		(5.89)
0.20	MARCH 2010	31		(0.000008)		26,296.22		(6.52)
0.23	APRIL 2010	30		(0.000008)		26,296.22		(6.31)
0.28	MAY 2010	31		(0.000008)		26,296.22		(6.52)
0.32	JUNE 2010	30		(0.000008)		26,296.22		(6.31)
0.27	JULY 2010	31		(0.000008)		26,296.22		(6.52)
0.25	AUGUST 2010	31		(0.000008)		26,296.22		(6.52)
0.24	SEPTEMBER 2010	30		(0.000008)		26,296.22		(6.31)
0.19	OCTOBER 2009	31		(0.000008)		26,296.22		(6.52)
0.15	NOVEMBER 2009	30		(0.000008)		26,296.22		(6.31)
<u>0.16</u>	DECEMBER 2009	31		(0.000008)		26,296.22		(6.52)
2.57	TOTAL					TOTAL		(76.77)
(0.000008)	DAILY RATE							

PIPELINE COMPANY TARIFF SHEETS

Columbia Gulf Transmission Company
 FERC Tariff
 Third Revised Volume No. 1

V.1.
 Currently Effective Rates
 FTS-1 Rates
 Version 2.0.0

Currently Effective Rates
 Applicable to Rate Schedule FTS-1
 Rates per Dth

	Base Rate (1) \$	Annual Charge Adjustment (2) \$ 1/	Subtotal (3) \$	Total Effective Rate (4) \$	Daily Rate (5) \$
Rate Schedule FTS-1 Rayne, LA To Points North					
Reservation Charge 2/ Commodity	3.1450	-	3.1450	3.1450	0.1034
Maximum	0.0170	0.0019	0.0189	0.0189	0.0189
Minimum	0.0170	0.0019	0.0189	0.0189	0.0189
Overrun	0.1204	0.0019	0.1223	0.1223	0.1223

1/ Pursuant to Section 154.402 of the Commission's Regulations. Rate applies to all Gas Delivered and is non-cumulative, i.e., when transportation involves more than one zone, rate will be applied only one time.

2/ The Minimum Rate under Reservation Charge is zero (0).

Issued On: July 16, 2010

Effective On: August 1, 2010

Currently Effective Rates
 Applicable to Rate Schedule FTS, NTS and NTS-S
 Rate Per Dth

	Base Tariff Rate 1/	Transportation Cost Rate Adjustment		Electric Power Costs Adjustment		Annual Charge Adjustment 2/	Total Effective Rate	Daily Rate
		Current	Surcharge	Current	Surcharge			
Rate Schedule FTS								
Reservation Charge 3/	\$ 5.615	0.338	0.048	0.057	0.017	-	6.075	0.1998
Commodity								
Maximum	¢ 1.04	0.43	0.13	0.61	0.05	0.19	2.45	2.45
Minimum	¢ 1.04	0.43	0.13	0.61	0.05	0.19	2.45	2.45
Overrun	¢ 19.50	1.54	0.29	0.80	0.11	0.19	22.43	22.43
Rate Schedule NTS								
Reservation Charge 3/4/	\$ 7.130	0.338	0.048	0.057	0.017	-	7.590	0.2496
Commodity								
Maximum	¢ 1.04	0.43	0.13	0.61	0.05	0.19	2.45	2.45
Minimum	¢ 1.04	0.43	0.13	0.61	0.05	0.19	2.45	2.45
Overrun	¢ 24.48	1.54	0.29	0.80	0.11	0.19	27.41	27.41

- 1/ Excludes Account 858 expenses and Electric Power Costs which are recovered through Columbia's Transportation Costs Rate Adjustment (TCRA) and Electric Power Costs Adjustment (EPCA), respectively. For rates by function, see Sheet No. 35.
- 2/ ACA assessed where applicable pursuant to Section 154.402 of the Commission's Regulations.
- 3/ Minimum reservation charge is \$0.00.
- 4/ The rates shown above for Service under Rate Schedule NTS shall be applicable to Service under Rate Schedule NTS-S except that the maximum Reservation Fee shall be adjusted to reflect the applicable expedited period of gas flow (EPF) utilizing the following formula, rounded to 3 decimal places:

$$NTS-S = NTS * (24/EPF)$$
 where:
 NTS-S = NTS-S Reservation Fee
 NTS = Applicable NTS Reservation Fee
 24 = Number of Hours in a Gas Day
 EPF = MDQ/MHQ

Currently Effective Rates
 Applicable to Rate Schedule SST and GTS
 Rate Per Dth

	Base Tariff Rate 1/	Transportation Cost Rate Adjustment		Electric Power Costs Adjustment		Annual Charge Adjustment 2/	Total Effective Rate	Daily Rate
		Current	Surcharge	Current	Surcharge			
Rate Schedule SST								
Reservation Charge 3/ 4/\$	5.445	0.338	0.048	0.057	0.017	-	5.905	0.1942
Commodity								
Maximum	¢ 1.02	0.43	0.13	0.61	0.05	0.19	2.43	2.43
Minimum	¢ 1.02	0.43	0.13	0.61	0.05	0.19	2.43	2.43
Overrun 4/	¢ 18.92	1.54	0.29	0.80	0.11	0.19	21.85	21.85
Rate Schedule GTS								
Commodity								
Maximum	¢ 74.84	2.65	0.45	0.98	0.16	0.19	79.27	79.27
Minimum	¢ 3.08	0.43	0.13	0.61	0.05	0.19	4.49	4.49
MFCC	¢ 71.76	2.22	0.32	0.37	0.11	-	74.78	74.78

1/ Excludes Account 858 expenses and Electric Power Costs which are recovered through Columbia's Transportation Costs Rate Adjustment (TCRA) and Electric Power Costs Adjustment (EPCA), respectively. For rates by function, see Sheet No. 35.

2/ ACA assessed where applicable pursuant to Section 154.402 of the Commission's Regulations.

3/ Minimum reservation charge is \$0.00.

4/ In addition to the above reflected Base Tariff SST Demand Rate, shippers utilizing the Eastern Market Expansion (EME) facilities for Rate Schedule SST service will pay an additional demand charge of \$12.180 per Dth per month, for a total SST reservation charge of \$17.625. If EME customers incur an overrun for SST services that is provided under their EME Project service agreements, they will pay an additional 40.05 cents for such overruns, for a total overrun rate of 58.97 cents. The applicable EME demand charge and EME overrun charge can be added to the Total Effective Rate above to calculate the EME Total Effective Rates.

Currently Effective Rates
 Applicable to Rate Schedule FSS, ISS, and SIT
 Rate Per Dth

	Base Tariff Rate 1/	Transportation Cost Rate Adjustment		Electric Power Costs Adjustment		Annual Charge Adjustment 2/	Total Effective Rate	Daily Rate
		Current	Surcharge	Current	Surcharge			
Rate Schedule FSS								
Reservation Charge 3/	\$ 1.506	-	-	-	-	-	1.506	0.0495
Capacity 3/	¢ 2.89	-	-	-	-	-	2.89	2.89
Injection	¢ 1.53	-	-	-	-	-	1.53	1.53
Withdrawal	¢ 1.53	-	-	-	-	-	1.53	1.53
Overrun 3/	¢ 10.90	-	-	-	-	-	10.90	10.90
Rate Schedule ISS								
Commodity	¢ 5.95	-	-	-	-	-	5.95	5.95
Maximum	¢ 0.00	-	-	-	-	-	0.00	0.00
Minimum	¢ 1.53	-	-	-	-	-	1.53	1.53
Injection	¢ 1.53	-	-	-	-	-	1.53	1.53
Withdrawal	¢ 1.53	-	-	-	-	-	1.53	1.53
Rate Schedule SIT								
Commodity	¢ 4.12	-	-	-	-	-	4.12	4.12
Maximum	¢ 1.53	-	-	-	-	-	1.53	1.53
Minimum	¢ 1.53	-	-	-	-	-	1.53	1.53

1/ Excludes Account 858 expenses and Electric Power Costs which are recovered through Columbia's Transportation Costs Rate Adjustment (TCRA) and Electric Power Costs Adjustment (EPCA), respectively.

2/ ACA assessed where applicable pursuant to Section 154.402 of the Commission's Regulations.

3/ In addition to the above reflected Base Tariff Reservation Charge (MDSQ) and Capacity (SCQ) Rate, shippers utilizing the Eastern Market Expansion (EME) facilities for FSS service will pay an additional incremental reservation charge of \$7.624 per Dth per month, for a total FSS MDSQ reservation charge of \$4.130 and an additional 3.91 cents per Dth per month, for a total FSS SCQ capacity rate of 5.80 cents. If EME customers incur an overrun for FSS services that is provided under their EME Project service agreements, they will pay an additional 12.54 cents for such overruns, for a total FSS overrun rate of 23.44 cents. The additional EME demand charges and EME overrun charges can be added to the Total Effective Rate above to develop the EME Total Effective Rate.

44

RETAINAGE PERCENTAGES

Transportation Retainage	2.062%
Gathering Retainage	0.628%
Storage Gas Loss Retainage	0.150%
Columbia Processing Retainage/1	0%

1/ The Columbia Processing Retainage shall be assessed separately from the processing retainage applicable to third party processing plants set forth in Section 25.3 (f) of the General Terms and Conditions.

Currently Effective Rates Applicable to Rate Schedules FTS and ITS Rate per Dth					
	Base Tariff Rate	Annual Charge Adjustment	Total Effective Rate	Daily Rate	
Rate Schedule FTS					
Reservation Charge					
Maximum	\$ 0.509	-	0.509	0.0167	
Minimum	\$ 0.509	-	0.509	0.0167	
Commodity Charge					
Maximum	¢ 0.00	0.19	0.19	0.19	
Minimum	¢ 0.00	0.19	0.19	0.19	
Overrun	¢ 1.67	0.19	1.86	1.86	
Rate Schedule ITS					
Commodity Charge					
Maximum	¢ 1.67	0.19	1.86	1.86	
Minimum	¢ 1.67	0.19	1.86	1.86	
RETAINAGE PERCENTAGE					
Transportation Retainage		0.677%			

FUEL AND LOSS RETENTION PERCENTAGE 1\,2\,3\
 =====

NOVEMBER - MARCH

RECEIPT ZONE	Delivery Zone							
	0	L	1	2	3	4	5	6
0	0.89%		2.79%	5.16%	5.88%	6.79%	7.88%	8.71%
L		1.01%						
1	1.74%		1.91%	4.28%	4.99%	5.90%	6.99%	7.82%
2	4.59%		2.13%	1.43%	2.15%	3.05%	4.15%	4.98%
3	6.06%		3.60%	1.23%	0.69%	2.64%	3.69%	4.52%
4	7.43%		4.97%	2.68%	3.07%	1.09%	1.33%	2.17%
5	7.51%		5.05%	2.76%	3.14%	1.16%	1.28%	2.09%
6	8.93%		6.47%	4.18%	4.56%	2.50%	1.40%	0.89%

APRIL - OCTOBER

RECEIPT ZONE	Delivery Zone							
	0	L	1	2	3	4	5	6
0	0.84%		2.44%	4.43%	5.04%	5.80%	6.72%	7.42%
L		0.95%						
1	1.56%		1.70%	3.69%	4.29%	5.06%	5.97%	6.67%
2	3.95%		1.88%	1.30%	1.90%	2.66%	3.58%	4.28%
3	5.19%		3.12%	1.13%	0.67%	2.32%	3.19%	3.90%
4	6.34%		4.28%	2.35%	2.67%	1.01%	1.21%	1.92%
5	6.41%		4.34%	2.41%	2.74%	1.07%	1.17%	1.86%
6	7.61%		5.53%	3.61%	3.93%	2.20%	1.27%	0.85%

- 1\ Included in the above Fuel and Loss Retention Percentages is the quantity of gas associated with losses of 0.5%.
- 2\ For service that is rendered entirely by displacement shipper shall render only the quantity of gas associated with losses of 0.5%.
- 3\ The above percentages are applicable to (IT) Interruptible Transportation, (FT-A) Firm Transportation, (FT-GS) Firm Transportation-GS, (PAT) Preferred Access Transportation, (IT-X) Interruptible Transportation-X, (FT-G) Firm Transportation-G.

PROPOSED TARIFF SHEETS

CURRENTLY EFFECTIVE BILLING RATES

<u>SALES SERVICE</u>	<u>Base Rate</u>	<u>Gas Cost Adjustment^{1/}</u>		<u>Total</u>	
	<u>Charge</u>	<u>Demand</u>	<u>Commodity</u>	<u>Billing</u>	
	\$	\$	\$	\$	
<u>RATE SCHEDULE GSR</u>					
Customer Charge per billing period	12.35			12.35	
Delivery Charge per Mcf	1.8715	1.2862	5.7119	8.8696	I
<u>RATE SCHEDULE GSO</u>					
<u>Commercial or Industrial</u>					
Customer Charge per billing period	25.13			25.13	
Delivery Charge per Mcf -					
First 50 Mcf or less per billing period	1.8715	1.2862	5.7119	8.8696	I
Next 350 Mcf per billing period	1.8153	1.2862	5.7119	8.8134	I
Next 600 Mcf per billing period	1.7296	1.2862	5.7119	8.7277	I
Over 1,000 Mcf per billing period	1.5802	1.2862	5.7119	8.5783	I
<u>RATE SCHEDULE IS</u>					
Customer Charge per billing period	583.39			583.39	
Delivery Charge per Mcf					
First 30,000 Mcf per billing period	0.5467		5.7119 ^{2/}	6.2586	R
Over 30,000 Mcf per billing period	0.2905		5.7119 ^{2/}	6.0024	R
Firm Service Demand Charge					
Demand Charge times Daily Firm					
Volume (Mcf) in Customer Service Agreement		6.5279		6.5279	R
<u>RATE SCHEDULE IUS</u>					
Customer Charge per billing period	331.50			331.50	
Delivery Charge per Mcf					
For All Volumes Delivered	0.7750	1.2862	5.7119	7.7731	I
<p>1/ The Gas Cost Adjustment, as shown, is an adjustment per Mcf determined in accordance with the "Gas Cost Adjustment Clause" as set forth on Sheets 48 through 51 of this Tariff. The Gas Cost Adjustment applicable to a customer who is receiving service under Rate Schedule GS or IUS and received service under Rate Schedule SVGTS shall be \$7.2208 per Mcf only for those months of the prior twelve months during which they were served under Rate Schedule SVGTS</p> <p>2/ IS Customers may be subject to the Demand Gas Cost, under the conditions set forth on Sheets 14 and 15 of this tariff.</p>					
<p>I – Increase R - Reduction</p>					

DATE OF ISSUE: October 29, 2010

DATE EFFECTIVE: November 29, 2010
(December Unit 1 Billing)

ISSUED BY: *Andrew A. Milling*

President

CURRENTLY EFFECTIVE BILLING RATES

(Continued)

<u>TRANSPORTATION SERVICE</u>	<u>Base Rate Charge</u> \$	<u>Gas Cost Adjustment^{1/}</u> <u>Demand</u> <u>Commodity</u> \$ \$	<u>Total Billing Rate</u> \$
<u>RATE SCHEDULE SS</u>			
Standby Service Demand Charge per Mcf			
Demand Charge times Daily Firm			
Volume (Mcf) in Customer Service Agreement		6.5273	6.5273
Standby Service Commodity Charge per Mcf		5.7119	5.7119
<u>RATE SCHEDULE DS</u>			
Administrative Charge per account per billing period			55.90
Customer Charge per billing period ^{2/}			583.39
Customer Charge per billing period (GDS only)			25.13
Customer Charge per billing period (IUDS only)			331.50
<u>Delivery Charge per Mcf^{2/}</u>			
First 30,000 Mcf	0.5467		0.5467
Over 30,000 Mcf	0.2905		0.2905
– Grandfathered Delivery Service			
First 50 Mcf or less per billing period			1.8715
Next 350 Mcf per billing period			1.8153
Next 600 Mcf per billing period			1.7296
All Over 1,000 Mcf per billing period			1.5802
– Intrastate Utility Delivery Service			
All Volumes per billing period			0.7750
Banking and Balancing Service			
Rate per Mcf		0.0207	0.0207
<u>RATE SCHEDULE MLDS</u>			
Administrative Charge per account each billing period			55.90
Customer Charge per billing period			200.00
Delivery Charge per Mcf			0.0858
Banking and Balancing Service			
Rate per Mcf		0.0207	0.0207
^{1/} The Gas Cost Adjustment, as shown, is an adjustment per Mcf determined in accordance with the "Gas Cost Adjustment Clause" as set forth on Sheets 48 through 51 of this Tariff. ^{2/} Applicable to all Rate Schedule DS customers except those served under Grandfathered Delivery Service or Intrastate Utility Delivery Service.			
I – Increase		R – Reduction	

R

DATE OF ISSUE: October 29, 2010

DATE EFFECTIVE: November 29, 2010
(December Unit 1 Billing)
President

ISSUED BY: *Harold A. Melby*

CURRENTLY EFFECTIVE BILLING RATES	
<u>RATE SCHEDULE SVGTS</u>	<u>Billing Rate</u>
	\$
<u>General Service Residential</u>	
Customer Charge per billing period	12.35
Delivery Charge per Mcf	1.8715
<u>General Service Other - Commercial or Industrial</u>	
Customer Charge per billing period	25.13
Delivery Charge per Mcf -	
First 50 Mcf or less per billing period	1.8715
Next 350 Mcf per billing period	1.8153
Next 600 Mcf per billing period	1.7296
Over 1,000 Mcf per billing period	1.5802
<u>Intrastate Utility Service</u>	
Customer Charge per billing period	331.50
Delivery Charge per Mcf	\$ 0.7750
<u>Actual Gas Cost Adjustment ^{1/}</u>	
For all volumes per billing period per Mcf	(\$ 0.0575)
<u>RATE SCHEDULE SVAS</u>	
Balancing Charge – per Mcf	\$ 1.1583
<p>I – Increase R - Reduction</p>	
<p>1/ The Gas Cost Adjustment is applicable to a customer who is receiving service under Rate Schedule SVGTS and received service under Rate Schedule GS, IS, or IUS for only those months of the prior twelve months during which they were served under Rate Schedule GS, IS or IUS.</p>	

DATE OF ISSUE: October 29, 2010

DATE EFFECTIVE: November 29, 2010
(December Unit 1 Billing)

ISSUED BY: *Harold A. Milroy*

President